arXiv:1610.04172v1 [math.AP] 13 Oct 2016

Decay of the Weyl curvature in expanding black hole cosmologies

Volker Schlue*

Université Pierre et Marie Curie

schlue@ljll.math.upmc.fr

October 14, 2016

Abstract

This paper presents a partial proof of the non-linear stability of the expanding region of Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmologies. We show that under dynamically realistic assumptions the conformal Weyl curvature decays towards null infinity. Our assumptions are consistent with the expectation that a dynamical solution to Einstein's equations with positive cosmological constant does *not* converge globally to a member of the Kerr de Sitter family. In particular in this problem the geometry of null infinity is *a priori* unknown. One expects this result to form an essential part of a global existence proof for the cosmological region, which — along with the precise asymptotics of these solutions — is the subject of a subsequent paper.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

1.1 Basic Difficulties 9

1.2 Assumptions on the foliation 11

1.3 Main result 14

1.4 Comments on the proof 15

1.5 Preview 19

1.6 Relation to earlier work 21

*The author gratefully acknowledges the support of *ERC advanced grant 291214 BLOWDISOL*, and the support of the *Fondation Sciences Mathématiques de Paris*.

2 Geometry of the de Sitter solution **23**
2.1 Representations of the de Sitter geometry 24
2.2 Double null foliations of de Sitter 28
2.3 Examples of non-spherically symmetric foliations 30

3 Einstein's equations with cosmological constant **39**
3.1 Weyl curvature 39
3.2 Null decomposition of the Weyl curvature 39
3.3 Bianchi identities 41
3.4 Double null gauge 42
3.5 Areal time function 43

4 Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmology **46**
4.1 General properties 46
4.2 Eddington-Finkelstein gauge 47
4.3 Gauge transformations and "regular" coordinates 48

5 Global redshift effect **52**
5.1 Energy identity 52
5.2 Global redshift vectorfield 56
5.3 Global redshift estimate 65

6 First order redshift **69**
6.1 Commutations 73
6.2 Weyl Currents 76
6.3 Positive Current 78
6.4 Integral inequality 103

7 Electromagnetic decomposition **107**
7.1 Bianchi equations 107
7.2 Relation to null decomposition 109
7.3 Elliptic estimate for Maxwell system 114

8 Sobolev inequalties **117**
8.1 Preliminaries 117
8.2 Isoperimetric Sobolev Inequalities 118
8.3 Applications 120

1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in solutions to Einstein's field equations with a positive cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$,

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \Lambda g\,. \tag{1.1}$$

These equations — in fact a more general form including sources of matter — were proposed by Einstein to model the universe in the large [Ein17], $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ being an unknown $3+1$ dimensional Lorentzian manifold which represents the geometry of spacetime. [1] The simplest solutions to (1.1) have non-trivial topology, and are not asymptotically flat: The Einstein universe (which contains a homogeneous fluid) is topologically a cylinder $\mathbb{S}^3 \times \mathbb{R}$, and thus represents a *closed* universe. While Einstein's solution is *static* (in time), de Sitter found a solution to the vacuum equations (1.1) shortly after the cosmological constant was introduced [dS17], which is *expanding*:[2] The de Sitter spacetime can be embedded as a (time-like) hyperboloid H in 5-dimensional Minkowski space $(\mathbb{R}^{4+1}, m)$, with metric h simply induced by the ambient metric m; see Fig. 7 below. Its geometric properties are central to this paper, and will be discussed in Section 2.

A model of a *black hole* in an expanding universe is provided by the Schwarzschild de Sitter geometry [Kot18, Wey19] discussed in Section 4. It is a solution to (1.1) with less symmetries than the de Sitter solution but still spherically symmetric, which means that the metric takes the form:

$$g = \overset{Q}{g} + r^2\, \mathring{\gamma} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\overset{Q}{g}$ is a Lorentzian metric on a $1+1$-dimensional manifold Q, $r : Q \to (0, \infty), q \mapsto r(q)$ is the radius of a sphere $q \in Q$, and $\mathring{\gamma}$ the standard metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Its causal geometry is best understood if we depict the level sets of r in Q while keeping the null lines of $\overset{Q}{g}$ at $45°$, namely in the form of the Penrose diagram of Fig. 1.

On Schwarzschild de Sitter we distinguish between the black hole region $\mathcal{B}$, the stationary black hole exterior $\mathcal{S}$, and the cosmological region $\mathcal{R}$. The stationary region $\mathcal{S}$ has a time-like Killing vectorfield T, and is bounded by an event horizon $\mathcal{H}$ towards the interior at $r = r_{\mathcal{H}}$, and a *cosmological horizon* $\mathcal{C}$ towards the exterior at $r = r_{\mathcal{C}}$. Beyond $\mathcal{C}$ lies the cosmological region, whose future component $\mathcal{R}^+$ we depict separately in Fig. 2. $\mathcal{R}^+$ is bounded to the past by the null hypersurfaces $\mathcal{C}^+ \cup \bar{\mathcal{C}}^+$, and foliated by the level sets Σ_r of r:

$$\mathcal{R} = \bigcup_{r > r_{\mathcal{C}}} \Sigma_r \tag{1.3}$$

[1] The equations (1.1) in its more general form including sources of matter can be thought of as a general relativistic version of the classical analogue $\triangle\psi - \Lambda\psi = 4\pi\rho$, namely a modification of Newton's law which Einstein considered to model homogeneous mass densities ρ in space [Ein17].

[2] Neither was this solution discovered in the form presented in Section 2, nor was it immediately understood that this "universe" is expanding. This was realized only later by Lemaître [Lem27]. For a historical discussion of the confusions and controversies surrounding its discovery see e.g. [NB09].



Figure 1: Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de Sitter geometry.



Figure 2: Expanding region of a Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmology.

Each leaf Σ_r is topologically a cylinder $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, and a *spacelike* hypersurface for $r > r_{\mathcal{C}}$. Since r is increasing along any future-directed causal curve in $\mathcal{R}^+$ we also call this region *expanding*. It is future geodesically complete, yet has the property that any two observers are eventually causally disconnected.[3] This has the consequence that the "ideal boundary at infinity" $\mathcal{I}^+$ is a *spacelike* surface. [4] ($\mathcal{I}^+$ is not part of the spacetime, but it is intrinsically a cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ and can be thought of as attached to the spacetime in the topology of the Penrose diagram.) Finally $\mathcal{B}$ is referred to as the black hole region, because it lies in the complement of the past of $\mathcal{I}^+$.

The maximal extension of the Schwarzschild de Sitter spacetime consists of an infinite chain of black hole regions $\mathcal{B}$, separated by exteriors $\mathcal{S}$ to the future and past of which lie the cosmological regions $\mathcal{R}$. We shall restrict attention to a given cosmological region, and its adjacent black hole exteriors, up to the event horizons; in particular the interior of the black hole is not considered here.

[3]Here we simply mean that given any two time-like geodesics Γ_1, Γ_2 in $\mathcal{R}^+$ (with different "end points" on $\mathcal{I}^+$) parametrized by arc length, we can find proper times s_1, and s_2 such that the causal futures of $\Gamma_1(s_1)$ and $\Gamma_2(s_2)$ have *empty* intersection.

[4]Here $\mathcal{I}^+$ can be identified with the endpoints all outgoing null geodesics from $\mathcal{S}$ along which $r \to \infty$, and is thus still referred to us *null infinity*. It being spacelike (as a surface in a suitably extended spacetime) marks an important difference to the asymptotically flat setting, and is prototypical for $\Lambda > 0$.



Figure 3: Cauchy problem in the domain of dependence of Σ.

While all classical solutions to (1.1) referred to here were found explicitly, a natural question to ask from the evolutionary point of view is the following:

> *Is the picture of Fig. 3 dynamically stable?*
>
> *In other words, does a perturbation of Schwarzschild de Sitter data on a Cauchy hypersurface Σ give rise to a maximal development $\mathcal{D}$ with similar features? In particular does $\mathcal{D}$ contain a* future geodesically complete *region $\mathcal{R}$ with* spacelike *boundary $\mathcal{I}^+$ at infinity, relative to which $\mathcal{D}$ contains a black hole regions $\mathcal{B}$. Moreover, is the black hole exterior $\mathcal{S} = I^-(\mathcal{C}) \cap I^-(\mathcal{H})$ — where $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ are defined to be the future boundary of the past of $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{I}^+$, respectively — asymptotically* stationary*?*

In view of the domain of dependence property of solutions to (1.1) the *stability of the black hole exterior* $\mathcal{S}$ can be treated *independently* of the cosmological region $\mathcal{R}$ (and the black hole interior $\mathcal{B}$). Indeed, since $\mathcal{S}$ is contained in the domain of dependence of a compact subset $\Sigma_c \subset \Sigma$, the behavior of the solution in $\mathcal{S}$ is not influenced by data in the complement of Σ_c. In a remarkable series of papers [HV16b, Hin16, HV16a, HV14, Vas13] Hintz and Vasy have recently proven that solutions to the Cauchy problem for (1.1) arising from a perturbation of Schwarzschild de Sitter data on Σ_c *converge exponentially fast to a member of the Kerr de Sitter family* on $\mathcal{S} \cup \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{C}$, (and in particular become stationary). [5]

The Kerr de Sitter geometry — given by an explicit 2-parameter family of *axi-symmetric* solutions to (1.1), containing Schwarzschild de Sitter as a subfamily — plays a central role for the understanding of solutions in $\mathcal{S}$. Indeed, the result of Hintz and Vasy shows that they parametrize all possible final states for the evolution of perturbations of Schwarzschild de Sitter data, *in the domain enclosed by the event horizon $\mathcal{H}$ and the cosmological horizon $\mathcal{C}$.* We will see that for the evolution beyond the cosmological horizon this explicit family of solutions does *not* play an equally prominent role.

The problem approached in this paper is then the following:

[5] Here $\mathcal{S}$ is of course not defined with the help of $\mathcal{I}^+$, but instead found dynamically as the stationary region of the Kerr geometry that the solution asymptotes to, and the solution is controlled in a slightly larger domain, as indicated in Fig. 3.



Figure 4: Goursat problem from the cosmological horizons.

> *Consider the characteristic initial value problem (or* Goursat *problem) for* (1.1) *with data on the cosmological horizons $\mathcal{C} \cup \bar{\mathcal{C}}$, c.f. Fig. 4. Suppose the characteristic data converges exponentially fast to the geometry induced by a Kerr de Sitter horizon, then is the maximal development* future geodesically complete*, and can future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ be attached at infinity as a* spacelike *surface in a suitably regular manner?*

Note that the assumption — that the data be exponentially decaying to a Kerr de Sitter geometry along the cosmological horizons — is justified by virtue of the result of Hintz and Vasy [HV16b]. However, also note that in this formulation no reference is made to the Kerr de Sitter solution in the discussion of our expectation for the asymptotics:

In [Sch15] I have considered a linear model problem, namely the corresponding Cauchy problem for the linear wave equation

$$\Box_g \psi = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

on a fixed Kerr de Sitter background $(\mathcal{M}, g)$. It was shown that any solution to (1.4) arising from finite energy data on Σ, remains globally bounded yet has a limit ψ^+ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ which as a function on the standard cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$ has finite energy. Moreover, if exponential decay is assumed along the cosmological horizons (which in this setting is justified by the results of Dyatlov [Dya11a, Dya11b]), then this "rescaled" energy of ψ^+ on $\mathcal{I}^+$ decays towards time-like infinity ι^+, but still need not vanish globally on $\mathcal{I}^+$. This means that even in the context of the linear theory, *there is a non-trivial degree of freedom at infinity.* Finally, the results in [Sch15] depend by no means on the symmetries of Kerr de Sitter, and have been proven therein for a large class of spacetimes without any symmetries near the Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmology.

The intuition gained in the linear problem tells us that *in the context of the fully non-linear problem we cannot expect convergence to a member of the Kerr de Sitter*

family, but merely a "nearby" geometry, which is however a priori unknown. In fact, the setting to be presented in this paper is consistent with the asymptotic geometry to differ from Kerr de Sitter — indeed *de Sitter* — even at the "leading order". [6]

This view is echoed in an instructive series of papers by Ashtekar, Bonga and Kesavon [ABK16, ABK15a, ABK15b]. In [ABK15a] it is argued that future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ cannot be conformally flat in the presence of gravitational waves. They show in particular that the condition that $\mathcal{I}^+$ be intrinsically conformally flat (as it is for Schwarzschild de Sitter) would suppress "half" of the gravitational degrees of freedom. This is consistent with the setting in this paper, where will will allow the spheres foliating $\mathcal{I}^+$ to be *not perfectly round*.

Now we will treat Einstein's equations not as a system of wave equations for the metric, but rather using the *electromagnetic analogy*, which has been employed so successfully in the seminal work of Christodoulou and Klainerman [CK93]. In other words, we use that (1.1) imply the homogeneous contracted Binachi equations for the Riemann curvature tensor R:

$$\operatorname{div} R = \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{Ric} = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

The Riemann curvature however — in the role of the Faraday tensor F — is not a suitable quantity to consider in this setting, and cannot be expected to decay; indeed de Sitter is a *constant curvature space*. A central idea in this work is to pass from the Riemannian curvature R to the *conformal Weyl curvature* W, which for any solution to (1.1) is related to R by[7]

$$W(X,Y,U,V) = R(X,Y,U,V) + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big[g(X,V)g(Y,U) - g(X,U)g(Y,V)\Big] \tag{1.6}$$

and thus also satisfies

$$\operatorname{div} W = 0\,. \tag{1.7}$$

The conformal Weyl curvature is *the* prototypical Weyl field W in the sense of [CK93] and its algebraic properties allow us to construct energies using the *Bel-Robinson tensor* $Q(W)$, which can be viewed as a generalisation of the energy-momentum tensor of electromagnetic theory. A key advantage of this approach is then that certain methods

[6] As we shall see the geometry *is* expected to be asymptotically de Sitter *locally* in the past of any time-like geodesic, however it is not expected to agree *globally*. Indeed the results in [HV16b] could be applied to the past of any point p on the future boundary at infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$, to infer — see in particular Theorem C.4 therein — that *up to a gauge choice that depends on the solution in* $I^-(p)$, the spacetime converges to de Sitter as the tip p of the backwards cone is approached. However the gauge modification depends on $p \in \mathcal{I}^+$, and with a given gauge choice for in $I^-(p)$, the spacetime does *not* converge to de Sitter at any point $q \in \mathcal{I}^+$ in a neighborhood of p.

[7] In Section 2 we will recall that de Sitter is in fact *conformally flat*. The passing from R to W can thus be thought of as a renormalisation of the curvature by its de Sitter values. Note also that for $\Lambda = 0$ the Weyl and Riemann curvature coincide, and thus play a notably different role only in the cosmological setting.

developed for the treatment of the linear equation (1.4) — in particular our understanding of the decay mechanism for solution to (1.4) in the cosmological region — carry over to the study of solutions to (1.7). We will elaborate on this in more detail in Section 1.4.

In this paper we will accomplish the first part of the global non-linear stability problem, as formulated above as a characteristic initial value problem for the cosmological region. Following the strategy laid out in [CK93], we will make certain assumptions — as part of an overarching bootstrap argument — on the metric g, and the connection coefficients,[8] and then prove a non-trivial statement for the Weyl curvature, which will allow us — and this is the subject of the next paper — to recover all assumptions initially made, thus yielding a full existence result.

A significant challenge of this part lies in *identifying* a set of assumptions which are on one hand sufficiently general to encompass the actual dynamics of the metric under the evolution of (1.1) (too restrictive assumptions would be inconsistent, and have no chance of being recovered), while on the other hand sufficiently restrictive for the decay mechanism to come into play. The latter is predominantly the *expansion*, which can be captured adequately on the level of *mean curvatures*.

Informally speaking, we establish the following:

> *The conformal Weyl curvature decays uniformly in the cosmological region $\mathcal{R}$, provided the metric and connection coefficients satisfy a set of assumptions which capture in particular the expansion of the spacetime.*

In Schwarzschild de Sitter the Weyl curvature has only one non-vanishing component

$$\rho[W] = -\frac{2m}{r^3} \tag{1.8}$$

where m is a constant, the mass of the black hole; c.f. Section 3, 4.

"Decay", and its "uniformity" refer to a parameter like r in the Schwarzschild de Sitter example, but as we shall see even the definition of a suitable time function is non-trivial, because depening on the choice of a function

$$r : \mathcal{R} \to (0, \infty) \,,$$

the set of points at "infinity" where $r = \infty$ is located on a different "surface", which may or may not be partly contained in the spacetime manifold.[9] With a "good choice"

[8] Connection coefficients are on the level of *one* derivative of the metric. Alternatively these assumptions can be thought of as conditions on the geometric properties of a chosen *foliation*, or as conditions on the deformation tensors of a number of relevant vectorfields.

[9] We will discuss this issue further in Section 1.1, and at length with an example in de Sitter in Section 2.2. Interestingly, in a different context, namely in the study of relativistic compressible fluids, a similar issue appears for the characterisation of the "singular hypersurface" in the formation of shocks; see Chapter 15 in [Chr07].

of a time function r, we will then establish that under our assumptions all components of the Weyl curvature decay at "*almost* the rate" indicated in (1.8).

In Section 1.1 we discuss the basic difficulties related to a suitable choice of coordinates which covers the domain of development, and correctly parametrizes future null infinity. In Section 1.2 we highlight some of the assumptions made in this paper, in particular we identify a suitable notion of expansion at the level of mean curvatures. Then we proceed to a more precise statement of the result in Section 1.3, and discuss some of the ideas and difficulties of the proof in Section 1.4. In Section 1.5 we briefly sketch some of the ideas relevant for the recovery of the assumptions, which is the topic of a subsequent paper. (Nonetheless, it is important to illustrate the consistency of the assumptions already at this stage.) Finally, we discuss the relation of this result to earlier work, in particular Friedrich's proof of the stability of de Sitter, in Section 1.6.

1.1 Basic Difficulties

In view of the expectation that the geometry of a dynamical solution to (1.1) in the cosmological region *does not* globally converge to a member of the Kerr de Sitter family, but merely to a "nearby geometry" which is a priori unknown, the choice of suitable coordinate system — which covers the entire region of existence — is non-trivial.

Consider for example any given coordinate system $(x^a; y^A)$ on $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ for the Schwarzschild de Sitter solution. A naïve approach would be to formulate the assumptions on the metric in this coordinate system, and try to establish the decay with respect to a parameter $r = r(x^a)$ formally defined as in Schwarzschild de Sitter. However, such an approach turns out to be *inconsistent*, the reason being that the surface $r = \infty$ thus defined does not coincide with the true future boundary found in evolution.

To overcome this problem we work in a *double null gauge*,[10] namely coordinates $(u, v; \vartheta^1, \vartheta^1)$ such that the level sets of u, v are null hypersurfaces whose intersections $S_{u,v}$ are diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. This choice is natural for the treatment of a characteristic initial value problem, and it allows us in particular to introduce the function r as the *area radius* of the spheres of intersection $(S_{u,v}, \not{g})$,

$$4\pi r^2(u, v) = \int_{S_{u,v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \,. \tag{1.9}$$

It is then tempting to define future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ as the collection of spheres with infinite area radius. However, a general double null foliation still allows considerable freedom in the choice of the spheres of intersection, and it can happen that a sphere with infinite area radius is in fact partly contained in the spacetime. In such a scenario future null infinity is not correctly identified by the set of points where $r = \infty$.

[10] This gauge — and its very general geometric formulation suitable for the study of the Einstein equations outside spherical symmetry — has been introduced by Christodoulou and used notably in his [Chr09], whose conventions we follow closely in this paper.



Figure 5: Sphere with infinite area radius partially contained in the spacetime.

Since this is a subtle yet imporant point, we have included in Section 2 an explicit construction of a double null foliation of de Sitter which illustrates this phenomenon:

> *There exist double null foliations of de Sitter such that the union of all spheres with area radius $r \in (r_{\mathcal{C}}, \infty)$ is contained, but does not exhaust the cosmological region.*

In fact, these examples are constructed as explicit solutions to the eikonal equation

$$h(\nabla u, \nabla u) = 0 \tag{1.10}$$

with the property that the level sets C_u intersect the cosmological horizon $\mathcal{C}$ in a small ellipsoidal deformation $C_u \cap \mathcal{C}$ of the round sphere, yet the "corresponding sphere near infinity" — namely the intersection $S_{u,0} = C_u \cap \underline{C}_0$ with a fixed "incoming" null hypersurface $\underline{C}_0$ — is only partially contained in H. In these examples, $S_{u,0}$ first "touches infinity at a point" and then gradually "disappears on annular regions" as u varies, see Fig. 5.

We expect this to be the generic behavior, and it is thus important that our assumptions on the foliations to be discussed in Section 1.2 rule out such behavior of the spheres near infinity. In Section 2 we study also the transformation of the structure coefficients under the gauge transformations provided by these examples, and demonstrate explicitly that some of the assumptions we make in Section 1.2 are *not satisfied*.[11] The assumptions of Section 1.2 then ensure that the spheres of the foliation indeed exhaust the expanding region.

[11] Essentially, any assumption that requires the smallness of the deviation of a quantity $q(u, v, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ from its average $\overline{q}(u, v)$ on the sphere $S_{u,v}$ cannot be satisfied if the area radius of $S_{u,v}$ diverges while a subset of points $q \in S_{u,v}$ remain in the spacetime.

Even with a "good" choice of a foliaton with the property that all spheres with infinite area radius are indeed "at infinity", it would be too restrictive to assume that the spheres foliating null infinity are intrinsically round. Our assumptions are certainly consistent with such a non-trivial asymptotic geometry, and we will already see in Section 1.5 an obstruction to the roundness of the spheres, but the precise geometric characterisation of future null infinity is the subject of a subsequent paper.[12]

1.2 Assumptions on the foliation

Consider a $3+1$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ with past boundary $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, two null hypersurfaces intersecting in a sphere S; we think of initial data prescribed along $\mathcal{C}$ and $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$, and of $\mathcal{R} = J^+(\mathcal{C} \cup \underline{\mathcal{C}})$ — the "cosmological region" — as its future development.

Consider further a double null foliation of $\mathcal{R}$ by null hypersurfaces C_u, and $\underline{C}_v$, namely the level sets of functions

$$u : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow (0, \infty) \qquad v : \mathcal{R} \longrightarrow (0, \infty) \tag{1.11}$$

satisfying the eikonal equations

$$g(\nabla u, \nabla u) = 0 \qquad g(\nabla v, \nabla v) = 0 \tag{1.12}$$

which are increasing towards the future, such that $\mathcal{C} = C_0$, $\underline{\mathcal{C}} = \underline{C}_0$, and

$$\left(S_{u,v} = C_u \cap \underline{C}_v, \not{g} = g|_{\mathrm{T}S_{u,v}} \right) \tag{1.13}$$

is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Following the conventions in [Chr09] we define

$$L' = -\mathrm{d}u^\sharp \qquad \underline{L}' = -\mathrm{d}v^\sharp \tag{1.14}$$

to be the null geodesic normals, and Ω to be the null lapse:

$$\Omega = \sqrt{\frac{2}{-g(\underline{L}', L')}} \tag{1.15}$$

Then normalised null normals are given by

$$\hat{\underline{L}} = \Omega \underline{L}' \qquad \hat{L} = \Omega L' \tag{1.16}$$

and used to define the null second fundamental forms of the spheres $S_{u,v}$ as surfaces embedded in C_u, and $\underline{C}_v$ respectively:

$$\chi(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \hat{L}, Y) \qquad \underline{\chi}(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \hat{\underline{L}}, Y) \tag{1.17}$$

[12] See also the discussion in [ABK16]. The prospect of a fundamental obstruction to the roundness of the spheres composing null infinity raises a number of interesting questions, in particular related to the definition of asymptotic quantites, such as mass, and angular momentum, whose classical definitions all require the asymptotic roundness, which here appears to fail, at least globally. See also [ST15, CI16].

The null expansions, namely the traces $\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$, and $\mathrm{tr}\,\chi$ (with respect to $\not{g}$), measure pointwise the change of the area element $\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}}$, in the null directions $\hat{\underline{L}}$, and $\hat{L}$, respectively. Our first main assumption is that "the cosmological region is expanding":

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\chi > 0 \qquad \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} > 0 \qquad : \text{on } \mathcal{R} \tag{\textbf{\textit{BA:I.i}}}$$

The positivity of the null expansions alone is not enough, and we will assume that they are always close to the "geodesic accelerations" $2\underline{\hat{\omega}}$, and $2\hat{\omega}$ defined by

$$\nabla_{\hat{L}}\hat{L} = \hat{\omega}\hat{L} \qquad \nabla_{\hat{\underline{L}}}\hat{\underline{L}} = \underline{\hat{\omega}}\hat{\underline{L}} \tag{1.18}$$

Equivalently, they are given by

$$\hat{\omega} = \hat{L}\log\Omega \qquad \underline{\hat{\omega}} = \hat{\underline{L}}\log\Omega \tag{1.19}$$

and our second assumption is that for some constant $C_0 > 0$:

$$\Omega|2\hat{\omega} - \mathrm{tr}\,\chi| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad \Omega|2\underline{\hat{\omega}} - \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \tag{\textbf{\textit{BA:I.ii}}}$$

Our third assumption is crucially related to the discussion in Section 1.1, and amounts to the condition that the null expansions are pointwise close to their spherical averages

$$\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi} = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\int_{S_{u,v}} \Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \qquad \overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}} = \frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\int_{S_{u,v}} \Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}}\,. \tag{1.20}$$

We require that for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$

$$|\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - \overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi}| \leq \epsilon_0\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi} \qquad |\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon_0\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}} \tag{\textbf{\textit{BA:I.iii}}}$$

Finally we assume for the remaing connection coefficients, namely the trace-free parts of the null second fundamental forms above, and the torsion

$$\zeta(X) = \frac{1}{2}g(\nabla_X\hat{L}, \hat{\underline{L}}) \tag{1.21}$$

that

$$\Omega|\hat{\chi}|_{\not{g}} \leq C_0 \qquad \Omega|\hat{\underline{\chi}}| \leq C_0 \qquad \Omega|\zeta| \leq C_0 \tag{\textbf{\textit{BA:I.iv}}}$$

The assumptions (***BA:I***) are L^∞-bounds on $S_{u,v}$. They already allow us to prove that the $L^2(\Sigma_r)$- norm of the Weyl curvature decays; see Section 5.3. However, to recover the assumptions made here we will need the Weyl curvature to decay in $\mathrm{L}^4(S_{u,v})$; see discussion below. This requires us to obtain information on one derivative of the Weyl curvature as well, which can only be proven under additional assumptions on the *derivatives of the connection coefficients*. These assumptions, schematically bounds on

$$\|\nabla\Gamma\|_{\mathrm{L}^4(S_{u,v})} \tag{\textbf{\textit{BA:II}}}$$

are too numerous and technical to state conveniently here and will instead be collected under the label (***BA:II***) below. They will allow us to prove that all tangential derivatives of W to Σ_r decay in $\mathrm{L}^2(\Sigma_r)$.

Finally, several assumptions will be needed to deduce the decay rates of the energy and for the application of the elliptic theory in Sections 7, 8. Most importantly,

$$C_0^{-1}\, r \leq \Omega \leq C_0\, r \qquad (\textbf{\textit{BA:III}}.i)$$

and the remaining assumptions will be collected under the label (***BA:III***).

We emphasize that none of the assumptions make explicit reference to the Schwarzschild de Sitter geometry, and capture only some of the features that the relevant "nearby" geometries have in common.

In the coordinates $(u, v; \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ thus introduced[13] the spacetime metric takes the form

$$g = -2\Omega^2\big(\mathrm{d}u \otimes \mathrm{d}v + \mathrm{d}v \otimes \mathrm{d}u\big) + \not{g}_{AB}\big(\mathrm{d}\vartheta^A - b^A\mathrm{d}v\big) \otimes \big(\mathrm{d}\vartheta^B - b^B\mathrm{d}v\big) \qquad (1.22)$$

In the special case that $b^A = 0$, $\not{g} = r^2\ \mathring{\gamma}$, and Ω is independent of ϑ^A, the metric reduces to the spherically symmetric form (1.2). In Section 4 we will discuss various specific choices of the functions u, v on $\mathcal{Q}$ for the Schwarzschild de Sitter metric, and the associated values of the connection coefficients above.

In Section 3.5 we will discuss yet another form of the metric adapted to the decomposition relative to the level sets of the area radius

$$\Sigma_r = \Big\{ q \in \mathcal{R} : r(q) = r \Big\}.$$

By (***BA:I***.i) these hypersurfaces are always *spacelike*, and the area radius plays the role of a time-function:

$$g = -\phi^2 \mathrm{d}r^2 + \overline{g}_r \qquad (1.23)$$

where $\overline{g}_r$ denotes the induced Riemannian metric on Σ_r.[14] While this form of the metric is advantageous in some parts of this paper,[15] and of some importance for the discussion of the asymptotics, we have chosen the double null gauge as the underlying differential structure, because it will allow us to formulate all assumptions that fix the gauge and specify the initial data only on $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Moreover, unlike in "non-local" gauges such as "maximal" gauges which fix the mean curvature of the surfaces Σ_r, the double null gauge allows us to localise any argument to the domain of dependence of any subset of Σ_r; this will be of importance for the recovery of the assumptions made in this Section.

[13] The coordinates $(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ are chosen arbitrarily on a domain of $S = \mathcal{C} \cap \underline{\mathcal{C}}$, and then transported first along the null geodesics generating $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$, and then those of $\underline{C}_v$.

[14] Here we choose for simplicity coordinates on Σ_r which are transported along the normal geodesics, which justifies the absence of a shift term.

[15] In Section 5 we will consider the "energy flux" of the Weyl curvature through Σ_r, and the form (1.23) is particularly well adapted to the application of the *co-area formula* for integration on domains foliated by Σ_r. Also the Sobolev inequality of Section 8 is applied on the manifold Σ_r.

1.3 Main result

We are interested in the "cosmological region" $\mathcal{R}$ to the future of the cosmological horizons $\mathcal{C} \cup \underline{\mathcal{C}}$; see Fig. 4. Above we have introduced the 2-dimensional closed Riemannian manifolds $(S_{u,v}, \not{g}) \subset \mathcal{R}$ as the intersections of "ingoing" and "outgoing" null hypersurfaces $\underline{C}_v$, and C_u, the leaves of a double null foliation of $\mathcal{R}$ discussed in Section 1.2. Each sphere $S_{u,v}$ has area $4\pi r^2(u,v)$ as discussed in Section 1.1, and we shall now introduce a dimensionless L^p- norm for tensors θ on the spheres:

$$\|\!|\theta|\!\|_{\mathrm{L}^p(S)} := \left(\frac{1}{4\pi r^2} \int_{S_{u,v}} |\theta|^p_{\not{g}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{1.24}$$

Theorem. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a $3+1$-dimensional Lorentzian manifold, $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$ a domain with past boundary $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\mathcal{C}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ are null hypersurfaces intersecting in a sphere S diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Assume that g can be expressed globally on $\mathcal{R}$ in the form* (1.22) *and that the double null foliation of $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies the assumptions (**BA:I**-**BA:III**).*

Suppose the Weyl field W is a solution to the Bianchi equations (1.7) *and $W \in H^1(\Sigma)$ where $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{R}$ is an arbitrary but fixed level set of r. Then W obeys*

$$\|\!|W|\!\|_{\mathrm{L}^4(S)} \leq \frac{C}{r^{3-\epsilon}} \|W\|_{\mathrm{H}^1(\Sigma)} \qquad : \text{on } \mathcal{R} \tag{1.25}$$

*where $0 < \epsilon < 1$, and $C > 0$ only depend on the constants in (**BA:I**-**BA:III**).*

Recall that for the example of Schwarzschild de Sitter the Weyl curvature satisfies (1.8). In terms of the decay *rate* the Theorem thus states almost optimal decay of *all* components of the conformal curvature.[16] In terms of *regularity*, we do not estimate the curvature in $\mathrm{L}^\infty(S_{u,v})$ (which would require assumptions on the connection coefficients at second order of differentiablity). However, it suffices to control the curvature merely in $\mathrm{L}^4(S_{u,v})$ to obtain a full existence result for the Einstein equations (1.1).[17]

In this paper we do not yet give an existence proof of solutions to (1.1) in $\mathcal{R}$. The theorem establishes what one expects to be the first part of a larger *bootstrap*, or *continuous induction* argument. The task of the second part is to establish the converse, namely that *assuming* a L^4-bound on the Weyl curvature, we can *prove* the L^∞, and L^4-estimates (***BA***) on the connection coefficients, *under suitable assumptions on the characterisitc initial data*. This, and the resulting asymptotics, are the subject of a forthcoming paper; see also Section 1.5.

[16] In Schwarzschild de Sitter there is really only *one* non-vanishing component of the Weyl curvature. In this result all components of the Weyl curvature are on an equal footing, and at present there is no indication of any "peeling" behavior. This is consistent with the expectations formulated in [ABK16], and we will revisit this point in Section 7 in the context of the electro-magnetic decomposition of the Weyl curvature.

[17] This is an insight gained in [BZ09], which has provided a significant simplification of the original proof of the non-linear stability of Minkowski space in [CK93]. It eliminates in particular the need to work with *second* derivatives of the curvature, but comes of course at the cost of less refined asymptotics; see [BZ09] and discussion therein.

1.4 Comments on the proof

The proof is largely a treatment of the Bianchi equations (1.7) for the Weyl curvature:

$$\nabla_\alpha W^\alpha{}_{\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 \tag{1.26}$$

In analogy to Maxwell's theory, one can construct an energy-momentum tensor $Q(W)$, the Bel-Robinson tensor

$$Q(W)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = W_{\alpha\rho\gamma\sigma}W_\beta{}^\rho{}_\delta{}^\sigma + {}^*W_{\alpha\rho\gamma\sigma}{}^*W_\beta{}^\rho{}_\delta{}^\sigma \tag{1.27}$$

which has the well-known properties — see for example Chapter 7.1 in [CK93] — that it is *positive* when evaluated on any causal future-directed vectors at a point, and *divergence-free* if W is a solution to (1.26):

$$\nabla^\alpha Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0\,. \tag{1.28}$$

This allows us define *energy currents* with the help of "multiplier vectorfields" X, Y, Z,

$$P[W]_\alpha^{(X,Y,Z)} = -Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}X^\beta Y^\gamma Z^\delta \tag{1.29}$$

which give rise to *energy identities*; see derivations in Section 5.1. An important example in the context of this paper are energy identities on space-time domains bounded by the level sets Σ_r:

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{r_1\le r\le r_2} \Sigma_r \tag{1.30}$$

The usefulness of the resulting identity, where n denotes the unit normal to Σ_r,

$$\int_{\Sigma_{r_2}} Q(n,X,Y,Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_2}} + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{div}(-P)[W]^{(X,Y,Z)}\mathrm{d}\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma_{r_1}} Q(n,X,Y,Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_1}} \tag{1.31}$$

depends crucially on the properties of the "divergence term", or "bulk term" on $\mathcal{D}$. By (1.28) the integrand is given purely by a contraction of $Q(W)$ with the "deformation tensor" of the multiplier vectorfields:

$${}^{(X)}\pi = \mathcal{L}_X g \tag{1.32}$$

In fact, since $Q(W)$ is *trace-free* (and symmetric) in all indices, only the "trace-free part" of these tensors appear:

$${}^{(X)}\hat{\pi} = {}^{(X)}\pi - \frac{1}{4}g\,\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(X)}\pi \tag{1.33}$$

In Section 5.2 we construct a multiplier vectorfield M and prove that the associated divergence terms have *a sign* which yields by (1.31) a *monotone* energy. In fact, we will use

$$M = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\hat{\underline{L}} + \hat{L}\Big) \tag{1.34}$$

to prove in Section 5.3 that the current

$$P[W]^M_\alpha := P[W]^{(M,M,M)}_\alpha \tag{1.35}$$

has the following positivity property:

> *Suppose the connection coefficients satisfy the assumptions (**BA:I**). Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$, such that for any solution W to* (1.26)*:*
>
> $$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{div}(-P)[W]^M \mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq 6(1-\epsilon) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma_r} Q[W](n, M, M, M) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_1}} \mathrm{d}r \tag{1.36}$$

The existence of such a positive current is obviously intimately related to the assumption (***BA:I***.i),[18] and the numerical prefactor in the above inequality is important, because it will directly translate into the decay rate of the energy associated to M.

This part of the argument is in close analogy to my treatment of linear waves on Kerr de Sitter cosmologies in [Sch15]; c.f. discussion in Section 5.2. The inequality (1.36) lends itself to the interpretation that M captures the classical *redshift effect* in the cosmological region,[19] in the language of "compatible currents"; we will thus often refer to M as the "global redshift vectorfield".

In the context of the linear wave equation on Schwarzschild de Sitter spacetimes, the treatment of *higher order* energies, and pointwise estimates is a trivial extension of the "global redshift estimate" because the tangent space to Σ_r is in this case spanned by Killing vectorfields. Indeed the commutation of (1.4) with the generators of the spherical isometries of $S_{u,v}$, and of the translational isometry T along Σ_r immediately gives the desired higher order energy estimates in [Sch15]. In the present context, however, this approach is not very fruitful, because even if we were to construct generators $\Omega_{(i)}$ and T of "spherical" and "translational" actions on Σ_r, these actions cannot be expected to generate *asymptotic symmetries* (as in [CK93]; because unlike in the asymptotically flat case future null infinity may not possess *any* symmetries).

In our approach then we use the global redshift vectorfield also as a *commutator*.[20]

[18] The assumption that *both* null expansions are positive fails in $\mathcal{S}$, where $\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} < 0$. Similarly in the study of *asymptotically flat* black hole exteriors, with $\Lambda = 0$, one has $\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} < 0$. In these settings the construction of *positive currents* is much more subtle, and in particular sensitive to the presence of "trapped" null geodesics; see [DHR16] and references therein.

[19] Much like the redshift observed in black hole spacetimes, the effect is due to the presence of horizons: An observer A who stays away from a black hole perceives a signal sent from an observer B who crosses the *event horizon* as redshifted, because B leaves the past of A in finite proper time; see e.g. [DR13]. In the cosmological region, where all observers are drifting away from each other (due to the *expansion* of space), each observer has its *own* cosmological horizon, which all other observers (in his past) cross in finite proper time. This effect is already present in the de Sitter cosmology, where each time-like geodesic has a cosmological horizon with positive surface gravity; c.f. Section 2 below and [Sch15].

[20] This is an idea due to Dafermos and Rodnianski that already appeared in the study of the linear wave equation on black hole spacetimes, related to the redshift effect on the event horizon; see [DR13].

In general, the conformal properties of the Bianchi equations — see e.g. Chapter 12.1 in [Chr09] — allow us to define a "modified Lie derivative" $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W$ with respect to any vectorfield X of a solution W to (1.26) which satisfies the *inhomogeneous* Bianchi equations

$$\nabla^\alpha \big(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W\big)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = {}^{(X)}J(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{1.37}$$

where ${}^{(X)}J(W)$ is a "Weyl current" which can be expressed in terms of contractions of ${}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}$ with ∇W, and contractions of $\nabla {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}$ with W; see e.g. Proposition 12.1 in [Chr09]. In the presence of an inhomogeneity in the Bianchi equations, the divergence-free property of the associated Bel-Robinson tensor fails, and (1.28) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^\alpha Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} =& W_\beta{}^\mu{}_\delta{}^{\nu(X)} J_{\mu\gamma\nu}(W) + W_\beta{}^\mu{}_\gamma{}^{\nu(X)} J_{\mu\delta\nu}(W) \\ &+ {}^*W_\beta{}^\mu{}_\delta{}^{\nu(X)} J^*_{\mu\gamma\nu}(W) + {}^*W_\beta{}^\mu{}_\gamma{}^{\nu(X)} J^*_{\mu\delta\nu}(W)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{1.38}$$

Consequently the energy identity derived from the current

$$P[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W]^M_\alpha = -Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} M^\beta M^\gamma M^\delta \tag{1.39}$$

contains an additional "divergence term" of the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W])(M,M,M)\mathrm{d}\mu_g\,. \tag{1.40}$$

A major difficulty in the proof lies in showing that this contribution to the "bulk term" can *also* be arranged to have a sign.

As already indicated above, one could choose $X = M$, and it is possible to show that (under suitable assumptions) "at the highest order of derivatives" the current (1.39) has the following positivity property:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_M W])(M,M,M)\mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq& \\ \geq 4(1-\epsilon)\int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{1}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r} Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_M W](n,M,M,M)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\mathrm{d}r + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{E}\mathrm{d}\mu_g&\end{aligned} \tag{1.41}$$

However, the "lower order terms" contained in the "error" $\mathcal{E}$ — which are on the level of W and can in principle be controlled by the energy associated to $P^M[W]$ — do not decay fast enough towards $\mathcal{I}^+$, for this energy identity to give the *rate of decay* of the energy associated to $P^M[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_M W]$ that would be required (in the application of the Sobolev inequality) to prove the L^4-bound stated in the theorem.

The treatment of the "commutation", or "first order energy" thus becomes the most complex part of this paper, because it requires us not only to find a sign in the divergence, but also to exhibit *various cancellations in the lower order terms*. This is the reason

why we are forced to compute very carefully most terms contained in (1.40), including the signs and prefactors.[21]

It turns out that a suitable choice of a commutation vectorfield is given by

$$X = \Omega^2 M_q \tag{1.42}$$

where

$$M_q = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(q\hat{\underline{L}} + q^{-1}\hat{L}\Big)\,, \qquad q = \sqrt{\frac{\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi}}{\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}}}}\,. \tag{1.43}$$

One can think of the map $M \mapsto M_q$ as induced by a Lorentz transformation with the effect of aligning M_q with the normal n to Σ_r. The final commutation vectorfield X, subsequently denoted by N, is then obtained from M_q by scaling with the weight Ω^2.

In Section 6 we shall prove the following:

*Suppose the connection coefficients satisfy the assumptions (**BA:I**) and (**BA:II**). Then there exists an $\epsilon > 0$, and a constant $C > 0$, such that for any solution W to* (1.26)*:*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{div}(-P)[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]^{M_q}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq 6(1-\epsilon)\int_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{1}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r} Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_{r_1}}\mathrm{d}r \\ - \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{1}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\big(\epsilon + \Omega^{-1}\big)Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\mathrm{d}r \\ - \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\frac{C}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}|\overline{\nabla}W|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\mathrm{d}r\end{aligned} \tag{1.44}$$

In this estimate we have achieved that the "error" is ϵ-small and controlled by the energy associated to $P[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]^{M_q}$ and $P[W]^{M_q}$ (first and second term on the r.h.s), up to terms which only involve *tangential derivatives* to Σ_r (third term). Next we prove that the latter can be controlled by the first two terms, but it is again a non-trivial statement that in this estimate no "lower order terms" appear, which would obstruct the "redshift" gained with the positivity of the first term on the r.h.s. of (1.44).

The "electromagnetic decomposition" of a Weyl field W relative to Σ_r — much like the decomposition of the Faraday tensor F in electric and magnetic fields E, and H

[21] In problems where this circle of ideas — the treatment of Bianchi equations using energies constructed from the Bel-Robinson tensor — has been applied, these terms are usually treated as "error terms". Notably for the "stability of Minkowski space" they have only been written out schematically in Chapter 8 in [Chr09]. Although they are also treated as error terms in proof of the "formation of black holes" in [Chr09], their precise nature, and "scaling", is much more important therein, and we take great advantage in this paper of the fact that at least in special cases precise algebraic expressions for the "divergence terms" have already been provided in Chapter 12-14 in [Chr09].

relative to a given frame of reference — recast the Bianchi equations in a system akin to Maxwell's equations:

$$\overline{\mathrm{div}}E = H \wedge k \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H} + \overline{\mathrm{curl}}E + \frac{1}{2}k \times H = \overline{\nabla}\log\phi \wedge E \tag{1.45a}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{div}}H = -E \wedge k \qquad \widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E} - \overline{\mathrm{curl}}H + \frac{1}{2}k \times E = -\overline{\nabla}\log\phi \wedge H \tag{1.45b}$$

In Section 7 we derive an elliptic estimate for this Hodge system on Σ_r [22] that allows us to control all tangential derivatives to Σ_r by the energy associated to $P[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]^{(M_q)}$. Here it is essential that the commutator vectorfield N has been aligned with the normal n to Σ_r, and carries a weight that leads again to exact cancellations with the "lower order terms" (on the level of the second fundamental form k of Σ_r) present in (1.45).

*Suppose the assumptions (**BA:I**) and (**BA:III**) hold. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all solutions to* (1.45)*,*

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{1}{\Omega^2}|\overline{\nabla}W|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \leq C\int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{1}{\Omega}Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} + C\int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{1}{\Omega}Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \tag{1.46}$$

In conclusion, we obtain under the assumptions (***BA:I-III***), stated with a slight abuse of notation and freely using (***BA:III***.i), that

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} |W|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}W|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{3-\epsilon}} \tag{1.47}$$

which then easily implies the statement of the theorem by a Sobolev trace inequality on Σ_r which we discuss in Section 8.

1.5 Preview

An important aspect of this paper is the requirement that the assumptions we make are *consistent* with the evolution of solutions to (1.1). This is necessary to be able to "upgrade" the results in this paper to a full existence result, which is the subject of a subsequent paper.

We illustrate this informally for the assumption (***BA:I***.ii), which is central to the redshift estimate of Section 5.3. In general, $\underline{\hat{\omega}}$, and $\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$ — the connection coefficients of a metric g expressed in the form (1.22), solving (1.1) — satisfy "propagation equations"

[22] The analysis of these systems has been developed in some generality in Chapter 2-4 of [CK93].

along the null geodesics generating C_u, of the form

$$\partial_v \underline{\omega} = \Omega^2 \Big(\frac{\Lambda}{3} - \rho[W] \Big) + \text{l.o.t.} \tag{1.48a}$$

$$\partial_v (\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}) = -\Omega^2 (\chi, \underline{\chi}) + 2\Omega^2 \rho[W] + 4\frac{\Lambda}{3}\Omega^2 + \text{l.o.t.} \tag{1.48b}$$

where terms associated to the cosmological constant contribute "dominantly" to the source terms. However, by virtue of the Gauss equation of the embedding of the spheres in the spacetime manifold,

$$K + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr} \chi \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\chi}, \underline{\hat{\chi}}) = -\rho[W] + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \tag{1.49}$$

these leading order terms *cancel* if we consider specifically the propagation equation for the difference:

$$\partial_v \big(2\underline{\omega} - \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} \big) = 2\Omega^2 \Big((\hat{\chi}, \underline{\hat{\chi}}) - K - 3\rho[W] \Big) + \text{l.o.t.} \tag{1.50}$$

A major undertaking of our forthcoming paper — involving an analysis of the null structure equations — is to show that the result (1.25) implies the following bounds on the "null shears":

$$\|\hat{\chi}\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(S)} \lesssim \frac{\Omega}{r^2} \qquad \|\underline{\hat{\chi}}\|_{\mathrm{L}^\infty(S)} \lesssim \frac{\Omega}{r^2} \tag{1.51}$$

Then (1.50) is integrable, using a suitable lower bound on $\partial_v r$, and we obtain

$$|\underline{\omega} - \Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}| \leq C \,, \tag{1.52}$$

in agreement with (***BA:I***.*ii*). These statements are of course sensitive both to initial gauge choices, and to the initial data, which we will discuss in a subsequent paper.

Note moreover that (1.51) states as expected that the "energy densities" $\underline{\hat{\chi}}$, and $\hat{\chi}$ of the "gravitational waves" decay at equal rates. This is in contrast to the asymptotically flat case, where the outgoing null direction is preferred, and the associated energy flux $\hat{\chi}$ decays faster in r, than $\underline{\hat{\chi}}$. Consequently, taking (1.50) and (***BA:III***.*i*) at face value, one has in this context

$$(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\hat{\chi}}) \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \tag{1.53}$$

and in view of the Gauss equation we have to expect that

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 K \neq 1 \,; \tag{1.54}$$

in contrast to the asymptotically flat case where $(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\hat{\chi}}) \lesssim r^{-3}$ and in a suitable gauge it can be arranged that $r^2 K \to 1$; c.f. Chapter 17 in [CK93].

This raises a number of interesting questions related to the definition of physically relevant asymptotic quantities; see also [ABK16, ST15, CI16, Pen11].

1.6 Relation to earlier work

We have already mentioned the work of Hintz and Vasy on the non-linear stability of the Kerr-de Sitter family in the black hole exterior on the domain bounded by the cosmological horizon [HV16b].[23] Another important result to be mentioned in this context is the work of Friedrich on the stability of the de Sitter spacetime [Fri86]. We will discuss briefly its relevance to the stability problem for *Schwarzschild*-de Sitter cosmologies outlined on page 5 above. Finally we will mention the work of Ringström [Rin08], and Rodnianski and Speck [Spe13, Spe12, RS13].

In [Fri86] Friedrich proved that the future development of Cauchy data on $\mathbb{S}^3$ is *geodesically complete*, provided the initial data is "a small perturbation" of the datum induced by the de Sitter solution; see Fig. 7, and Section 2 for a discussion of the hyperboloidal model. Now with regard to the initial data induced by a Schwarzschild de Sitter solution, a Cauchy hypersurface Σ as in Fig. 3 *cannot* be expressed as a "perturbation" of de Sitter data. However, a *truncation* $[\Sigma]$ of Σ *away from the event horizons* could be viewed as a perturbation of a suitable "segment" of de Sitter data, at least for small mass $0 < m \ll 1/(3\sqrt{\Lambda})$; see Fig. 6 (right). It is plausible that the resulting data on $[\Sigma] \simeq [\delta, \pi - \delta] \times \mathbb{S}^2$ can be glued to the "spherical caps" $[0, \delta] \times \mathbb{S}^2$ of an $\mathbb{S}^3$, to obtain an admissible initial data set for [Fri86]; see Fig. 6 (left).[24] The result of Friedrich would then yield a geodesically complete spacetime, which agrees with the future development of Σ *on the domain of dependence* of $[\Sigma]$; see Fig. 6 (shaded). Thus [Fri86] could be used to show the stability of regions $\mathcal{D}_F$ realized as the past of spatially compact segments Σ_c^+ of $\mathcal{I}^+$,

$$\mathcal{D}_F \subset I^-(\Sigma_c^+) \qquad \Sigma_c^+ \subset \mathcal{I}^+ \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2 \tag{1.55}$$

Notably, such an argument cannot achieve a stability statement "in a neighborhood of time-like infinity ι^+;" see Fig. 6.

While the results in [Fri86] are closely related to the conformal properties of (1.1), and achieve a global existence result by a reduction to a "local in time" problem, Ringström provided a treatment of the "Einstein-non-linear scalar field system" — which includes the Einstein vacuum equations with positive cosmological constant as a special case — that reproves the results in [Fri86], without resorting to a "conformal compactification", and without specific reference to the topology of the initial data [Rin08]. In fact, the set-up in [Rin08] exploits a causal feature of "accelerated expansion" already evident from the Penrose diagram of de Sitter, c.f. Fig. 6 (right): Consider a spacelike Cauchy hypersurface Σ in de Sitter, let $[\Sigma] \subset \mathcal{R}$ be a truncation of Σ contained in the expanding region, and $p \in [\Sigma]$. Choose $R > 0$ such that $B_{4R}(p) \subset [\Sigma]$, then the future of $B_R(p)$

[23] While the result is very relevant for this paper, the ideas of their proof are entirely different: In the stationary region $\mathcal{S}$ a "resonance expansion" is available for solutions to the linearized equations, and the authors succeed in relating all growing modes to pure gauge solutions; c.f. Section 4 in [HV16b].

[24] The author is not aware of paper where this procedure is carried out in detail, but one would expect that the outlined truncation/gluing steps can be achieved using known techniques; see e.g. [CBIP07].



Figure 6: *Bottom right:* Truncation $[\Sigma]$ of Cauchy hypersurface Σ away from the event horizons, and domain of dependence of $[\Sigma]$ (shaded). *Top right:* $[\Sigma]$ viewed as "perturbation" of a segment of a hypersurface in de Sitter spacetime. *Left:* Sketch of initial data for Friedrich's theorem (dash-dotted); Initial data corresponding to $[\Sigma]$ as a subset of $\mathbb{S}^3$ (bold, between "truncation spheres" depicted as squares).

is contained in the domain of dependence of $B_{4R}(p)$, $I^+(B_R(p)) \subset \mathcal{R} \setminus I^+(\Sigma \setminus B_{4R}(p))$, provided Σ is "at sufficiently late time", e.g. if $\min_{[\Sigma]} r \gg r_{\mathcal{C}}$. This allows Ringström to prove "global in time" results, from "local in space" assumptions on the inital data, which cover in particular perturbations of de Sitter, but are not restricted to the $\mathbb{S}^3$ topology. Notably, the "asymptotic expansions" of Theorem 2 in [Rin08] show the existence of "asymptotic functional degrees of freedom", namely that the solution converges to a metric which after rescaling by the expected behavior in time differs from the rescaled de Sitter metric, even at the leading order parametrized by a free "profile" function. [25]

This paper does not yet give a full global existence theorem for solutions to (1.1) on the level of [Fri86, Rin08, HV16b]. It does however accomplish what one expects to be an essential step towards the stability of the expanding region of *Schwarzschild-* de Sitter cosmologies: We show that the Weyl curvature decays under sufficiently general assumptions — roughly corresponding to Part II of the original proof of the non-linear stability of Minkowski space [CK93].

The underlying decay mechanism — namely the expansion of spacetime — has also played a prominent role in the work of Speck on Friedman-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker cosmologies: They were shown to be future stable in [RS13, Spe12, HS15] as solutions

[25] Also [Rin08] stops short of relating the "asymptotic profiles" to the details of the initial data, which is largely an open problem; see also [Ren04].

to the Euler-Einstein system, and it was observed in particular that the "de Sitter"-like expansion prevents the formation of shocks in relativistic fluids [Spe13]. For stiff fluids Rodnianski and Speck also showed stable "big bang" singularity formulation in the past [RS14b, RS14a]. Some elements of their proof — in particular the existence of a monotone energy at the level of the commuted equations, the resulting smallness of the Weyl curvature, and the functional degrees of freedoms associated to all possible "end states" — bear some resemblance to the approach pursued in this paper. [26]

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Mihalis Dafermos for drawing my attention to this problem already when I was a student, and for his continued encouragement and support. I would also like to thank Abhay Ashtekar, and Lydia Bieri for many stimulating discussions at a conference this January in Sanya, China, and Gustav Holzegel for several useful comments following a talk in London this May.

2 Geometry of the de Sitter solution

In the introduction we have already introduced the de Sitter spacetime as the one-sheeted hyperboloid in $\mathbb{R}^5$ with the induced metric of the ambient Minkowski space. Concretely,[27] with $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^4$ we denote by

$$H = \left\{(t, x) : -t^2 + |x|^2 = 1\right\} \tag{2.1}$$

the time-like hyperboloid in the ambient Minkowski spacetime $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$ with metric

$$m = -\mathrm{d}t^2 + |\mathrm{d}x|^2 . \tag{2.2}$$

The manifold

$$(\mathcal{M} = H, h = m|_H) \tag{2.3}$$

has topology $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^3$, and is called *de Sitter space*; c.f. Fig. 7.

In Section 2.1 we will briefly discuss other representations of the de Sitter geometry, derived from the above, which firstly make the *conformal flatness* of de Sitter manifest, and secondly relate this geometry to the Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de Sitter.

In Section 2.2 we will construct examples of non-trivial double null foliations that fail to capture correctly the geometry of future null infinity. This illustrates the subtle obstacles that have to be overcome for the definition of foliations that correctly parametrize null infinity, and further motivates our choices in Section 1.2.

[26] Albeit in [RS14b] a constant mean curvature gauge is used "to synchronize the singularity", while in this work we rely on a double null gauge to "parametrize null infinity".

[27] In this Section we set $\Lambda/3 = 1$ for simplicity. This allows us to consider the *unit* hyperboloid as model; the general case is of course obtained by scaling.



Figure 7: Standard model of de Sitter spacetime.

2.1 Representations of the de Sitter geometry

We will first introduce *stereographic coordinates* in which the spacetime is manifestly *conformally flat*. [28] Then we will introduce a *spherical* coordinates system in which the metric takes a similar form as in Schwarzschild de Sitter. In these coordinates it is evident that de Sitter contains a *static* patch.

2.1.1 Stereographic coordinates

Let us choose coordinates $(t, x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^5$, with $t, x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x' \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and fix $(0, -1, 0)$ as the base point of the projection. We then project the hyperboloid H on the plane $x = 1$, which is $3 + 1$-dimensional Minkowski space with the induced metric of the ambient space. In other words, we assign to every point $(t, x, x') \in H$ coordinates (u, y), such that $(u, 1, y)$ is on the line from $(0, -1, 0)$ to (t, x, x'), c.f. Fig 8. This implies that for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$: $\lambda\big((u, 1, y) - (0, -1, 0)\big) = (t, x, x') - (0, -1, 0)$, or

$$\lambda u = t \qquad 2\lambda = x + 1 \qquad \lambda y = x' \,. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, since $(t, x, x') \in H$, namely

$$1 = -t^2 + x^2 + |x'|^2 = -\lambda^2 u^2 + (2\lambda - 1)^2 + \lambda^2 |y|^2 \tag{2.5}$$

we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{4}{4 - u^2 + |y|^2} \tag{2.6}$$

[28] One can view the construction described here as the *hyperbolic* analogue of the classical stereographic projection of the sphere on the plane.



Figure 8: Stereographic projection of de Sitter spacetime on Minkowski space.

and conclude that

$$t = \frac{4u}{4 - u^2 + |y|^2}\,, \quad x = \frac{4 + u^2 - |y|^2}{4 - u^2 + |y|^2}\,, \quad x' = \frac{4y}{4 - u^2 + |y|^2}\,. \tag{2.7}$$

Note that the map thus defined

$$\phi : (u, y) \mapsto (t, x, x') \tag{2.8}$$

only maps a subset of $x = 1$ onto a subset of H, c.f. Fig. 9. More precisely, the domain is

$$\mathcal{D} = \big\{(u, y) : -u^2 + |y|^2 > -4\big\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{1+3} \tag{2.9}$$

and the image is

$$\mathcal{R} = \big\{(t, x, x') \in H : x > -1\big\} \subset H \subset \mathbb{R}^5\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.1. *In (u, y) coordinates the de Sitter metric takes the form*

$$h = e^{-2\Phi(u,y)}\Big(-\mathrm{d}u^2 + |\mathrm{d}y|^2\Big)\,, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$e^{\Phi(u,\phi)} = 1 + \frac{1}{4}(-u^2 + |y|^2)\,. \tag{2.12}$$



Figure 9: Domain and range of stereographic projection of de Sitter. The domain of ϕ is the region bounded by two sheets of spacelike hyperbolas in 3+1-dimensional Minkowski space (dashed curves), and the range of ϕ is the time-like hyperboloid in R^5 minus the future and past of the base point (shaded region).

Given that the map $\phi : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{R} \subset H$ is explicit, and $h = m|_H$ in induced by the Minkowski metric, the proof of the Lemma is an elementary calculation of the components of

$$h(v,w) = m(\mathrm{d}\phi \cdot v, \mathrm{d}\phi \cdot w)\,, \qquad v, w \in \mathrm{T}_{(u,y)} R^{3+1}\,. \tag{2.13}$$

In view of the conformal property of the Weyl curvature W, it follows in particular that

$$W[h] = e^{-2\Phi} W[m] = 0 \tag{2.14}$$

namely that h is conformally flat.

2.1.2 Static coordinates

The idea is to introduce a *spherical* coordinate system relative to a fixed observer. We choose this observer, or the origin of this coordinate system to be the curve

$$t \mapsto (t, x = \sqrt{1+t^2}, x' = 0) \tag{2.15}$$

in the coordinates of the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^5$. Each level set of t in H is an $\mathbb{S}^3$ of radius $1 + t^2$:

$$x^2 + |x'|^2 = 1 + t^2 \tag{2.16}$$

We can think of that $\mathbb{S}^3$ as $(0, \pi) \times \mathbb{S}^2$, where then $|x'|$ is the radius of the $\mathbb{S}^2$, c.f. Fig. 10. Thus we introduce as one coordinate



Figure 10: $\mathbb{S}^3$ as spherically symmetric space foliated by $\mathbb{S}^2$ of radius $|x'|$.

$$r = |x'| = \frac{4|y|}{4 - u^2 + |y|^2} \tag{2.17}$$

In addition we introduce a new time coordinate t' which is constant on the level sets of t/x. An appropriate choice is

$$t' = \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|\frac{x+t}{x-t}\Big| = \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|\frac{(2+u)^2 - |y|^2}{(2-u)^2 - |y|^2}\Big| . \tag{2.18}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The de Sitter metric in (t', r) coordinates reads*

$$h = -\big(1 - r^2\big)\mathrm{d}t'^2 + \frac{1}{1-r^2}\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2\,\mathring{\gamma}\ . \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

We have derived this form of the metric in the domain

$$\mathcal{S} = \Big\{(t, x, x') \in H : x > |t|\Big\} \tag{2.20}$$

None of the metric coefficients depend on t', and $\partial_{t'}$ is orthogonal to the level sets of t', thus the spacetime metric is indeed *static* on $\mathcal{S}$.

The metric in this form is *spherically symmetric*, the center $r = 0$ being a timelike curve that lies opposite to the base point of the stereographic projection; since the latter was chosen arbitrarily, static coordinate patches can be introduced for any given timelike geodesic in H. The static region $\mathcal{S}$ is the intersection of the past and future of the central line $r = 0$. (This is particularly easy to see in the stereographic coordinates.)

The boundary of $\mathcal{S}$ is a bifurcate null hypersurface $\mathcal{C}$: the *cosmological horizon*. Indeed, $r = 1$ implies $t = |x|$ which is a null line, namely the straight lines in $\mathbb{R}^5$ that rules the hyperboloid H. In the stereographic picture this is the set $u = \pm|2 - |y||$, a null hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{1+3}$.

We summarize the causal geometry thus described in the Penrose diagram; see Fig. 6 (top right).

2.2 Double null foliations of de Sitter

A convenient feature of the representation of de Sitter as a time-like hyperboloid embedded in Minkowski space is that the *causal structure* of de Sitter is then induced by the ambient Minkowski space. In particular cones in Minkowski space emanating from a point on the hyperboloid trace out null hypersurfaces in de Sitter spacetime. This yields an elegant approach to construct solutions of the eikonal equation in de Sitter, which we will employ in particular to construct *non*-spherical double null foliations.

2.2.1 Spherically symmetric foliations

We first construct spherically symmetric double null foliations by intersecting cones emanating from antipodal lines. This will lead to coordinates such that the metric takes the form

$$h = -4\big(r^2 - 1\big)\mathrm{d}u^*\mathrm{d}v^* + r^2\, \mathring{\gamma}\ . \tag{2.21}$$

Most importantly, we will find the function $u^*, v^* : H \to \mathbb{R}$ explicitly it terms of the ambient coordinates, which will be used in Section 2.3.

For any point $(t, x, x') \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ let us denote by $C^{\pm}_{(t,x,x')}$ the forward/backward cone emanating from (t, x, x') in $\mathbb{R}^{1+4}$:

$$C^{\pm}_{(t,x,x')} = \Big\{(t', y, y') : t' - t = \pm\sqrt{(y - x)^2 + |y' - x'|^2}\Big\} \tag{2.22}$$

Now consider the two anti-podal geodesics $\Gamma, \underline{\Gamma}$ in H:

$$\Gamma \cup \underline{\Gamma} = H \cap \{(t, x, x') : x' = 0\} = \{(t, x, 0) : -t^2 + x^2 = 1\} \tag{2.23}$$

Note that for $|y'| = 1$,

$$C^{\pm}_{(0,0,y')} \cap H = \{(t, x, x') : t = \pm|x|, |x'| = 1\} \tag{2.24}$$

and moreover with $\Gamma(t) = (t, \sqrt{1 + t^2}, 0)$,

$$\mathcal{C} = \lim_{t\to-\infty} C^{+}_{\Gamma(t)} \cap H = \{(t, x, x') : t = -x, |x'| = 1\}\,; \tag{2.25}$$

Let us now define

$$\underline{C}_t = C^{+}_{\underline{\Gamma}(t)} \cap H \qquad C_t = C^{+}_{\Gamma(t)} \cap H \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$\underline{\Gamma}(t) = (t, -\sqrt{1 + t^2}, 0) \qquad \Gamma(t) = (t, \sqrt{1 + t^2}, 0) \tag{2.27}$$

Lemma 2.3. *The intersections $S_{\underline{t},t} = \underline{C}_{\underline{t}} \cap C_t$ are round 2-spheres in H cocentric around Γ, and $\underline{\Gamma}$. Moreover, "future null infinity" can be identified with*[29]

$$\mathcal{I}^+ \doteq \bigcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} S_{t,-t} \tag{2.28}$$

We omit the proof here, but let us note that for any $(s, x, x') \in S_{\underline{t},t}$ we have

$$|x'| = \frac{|\sqrt{1+t^2} - x|}{|t|} = \frac{|\sqrt{1+\underline{t}^2} + x|}{|\underline{t}|} \tag{2.29}$$

which shows in particular that

$$C_t = \Big\{(s, x, x') : s = t + \sqrt{1+|t|^{-2}}|x - \sqrt{1+t^2}|, \\ |x'| = \frac{|\sqrt{1+t^2} - x|}{|t|}, -\infty < x < \sqrt{1+t^2}\Big\} \tag{2.30}$$

Recall that with $t' = t'(x, t)$ defined as in (2.18) the metric in (t', r)-coordinates takes the form (2.19). Since null coordinates are given by

$$u^* = \frac{1}{2}\big(t' - r^*\big) \qquad v^* = -\frac{1}{2}\big(t' + r^*\big) \tag{2.31}$$

where

$$r^* = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{1-r^2} = \frac{1}{2}\log\Big|\frac{1+r}{1-r}\Big| \tag{2.32}$$

we are lead to the following functions whose level sets are the null hypersurfaces C_t:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $u, v : H \to \mathbb{R}$ be the following functions:*

$$u(t, x, x') = \frac{x+t}{x-t}\frac{1-|x'|}{1+|x'|} \tag{2.33a}$$

$$v(t, x, x') = \frac{x+t}{x-t}\frac{1+|x'|}{1-|x'|} \tag{2.33b}$$

then for any $t, \underline{t} < 0$,

$$C_t = \Big\{(t, x, x') : u(t, x, x') = \frac{\langle t\rangle - |t|}{\langle t\rangle + |t|}\Big\} \tag{2.34a}$$

$$\underline{C}_t = \Big\{(t, x, x') : v(t, x, x') = \frac{\langle t\rangle + |t|}{\langle t\rangle - |t|}\Big\} \tag{2.34b}$$

where $\langle t\rangle := \sqrt{1+t^2}$.

[29] As we shall see, the null hypersurfaces C_t and $\underline{C}_{-t}$ *do not* intersect, in other words $S_{t,-t}$ is not a sphere in H, and can thus only be thought of as "attached" to H in a larger space $H \cup \mathcal{I}^+$.

Proof. Let us assume that $t < 0$, and observe that on C_t: $x - \langle t \rangle < 0$. Then using (2.30) it is easy to check that for $(s, x, x') \in C_t$:

$$\frac{1 + |x'|}{1 - |x'|} = \frac{t - \langle t \rangle + x}{t + \langle t \rangle - x} \tag{2.35a}$$

$$\frac{x + s}{x - s} = \frac{x\,(t + \langle t \rangle) - 1}{x\,(t - \langle t \rangle) + 1} \tag{2.35b}$$

and thus by direct computation:

$$\frac{x + s}{x - s}\frac{1 - |x'|}{1 + |x'|} = \frac{t + \langle t \rangle}{\langle t \rangle - t} \tag{2.36}$$

Similarly for points on $\underline{C}_t$. □

Note in particular that

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} u|_{C_t} = 0 \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} u|_{C_t} = 1 \tag{2.37a}$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} v|_{\underline{C}_t} = \infty \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} v|_{\underline{C}_t} = 1 \tag{2.37b}$$

A suitable set of null coordinates are thus obtained by setting

$$u^*(t, x, x') = \frac{1}{2}\log|u(t, x, x')| \qquad v^*(t, x, x') = -\frac{1}{2}\log|v(t, x, x')| \tag{2.38}$$

which then have the range

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} u^*|_{C_t} = -\infty \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} u^*|_{C_t} = 0 \tag{2.39a}$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} v^*|_{\underline{C}_t} = -\infty \qquad \lim_{t \to 0} v^*|_{\underline{C}_t} = 0 \tag{2.39b}$$

Moreover, these are of course precisely the double null coordinates introduced above, such that

$$h = -4\Omega^2 \mathrm{d}u^* \mathrm{d}v^* + r^2\, \mathring{\gamma} \tag{2.40}$$

where now

$$\Omega^2(t, x, x') = r^2 - 1 = |x'|^2 - 1\,. \tag{2.41}$$

2.3 Examples of non-spherically symmetric foliations

We shall now construct a double null foliation for which the intersections are not spheres but *ellipsoids*. Recall that the spherically symmetric foliation was constructed by considering intersections of cones emanating from antipodal geodesics Γ, $\underline{\Gamma}$. We shall now consider cones emanating from points which are slightly displaced from these geodesics.

For simplicity let us leave the null hypersurfaces C_t emanating from points $\Gamma(t) = (t, \langle t \rangle, 0)$ unchanged. In fact, let us fix in particular the null hypersurface $C_0 = C^+_{\Gamma(0)} \cap H$ emanating from $(0, 1, 0)$, and observe that C_0 is contained in the hyperplane $x = 1$:

$$C_0 = C^+_{\Gamma(0)} \cap H = \Big\{(t, 1, x') : t = |x'|\Big\} \tag{2.42}$$

Note that indeed on C_0 (where the subscript refers to $t = 0$) we have that $u|_{C_0} = 1$, and thus $u^*|_{C_0} = 0$. On C_0 we can also derive a simple relation between v^* and $t = |x'| > 1$:

$$v^* = \log \frac{t-1}{t+1} \qquad : \text{ on } C_0 \tag{2.43}$$

or

$$|x'| = t = -\frac{e^{v^*}+1}{e^{v^*}-1} \qquad v^* \in (-\infty, 0) \qquad : \text{ on } C_0\,. \tag{2.44}$$

In Section 2.2.1 we considered the cones intersected with H and vertices on $\underline{\Gamma}$:

$$\underline{C}_\epsilon = C^+_{\underline{\Gamma}(\epsilon)} \cap H\,, \quad \underline{\Gamma}(\epsilon) = (\epsilon, -\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}, 0)\,, \quad \epsilon < 0 \tag{2.45}$$

Then the intersection of the null hypersurfaces $\underline{C}_\epsilon$ and C_0 is a sphere

$$S_\epsilon = C_0 \cap \underline{C}_\epsilon = \Big\{(t, 1, x') : t = |x'| = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2}}{|\epsilon|}\Big\} \tag{2.46}$$

Also note that $v^*|_{\underline{C}_\epsilon} = \epsilon + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$. In particular the "sphere at infinity" where $\underline{C}_0$, and C_0 "meet in the Penrose diagram" is identified with S_0.

Let us now consider a null hypersurface in H emanating from a vertex slighly displaced from $\underline{\Gamma}$:

$$\underline{C}_{(\epsilon,\delta)} = C^+_{(\epsilon, x(\epsilon,\delta), x'_\delta)} \cap H \qquad \epsilon < 0, 0 < \delta < \sqrt{1+\epsilon^2} \tag{2.47}$$

where $x'_\delta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|x'_\delta| = \delta$, and $x(\epsilon, \delta) = -\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2-\delta^2}$. We also introduce an angle in the plane spanned by x'_δ, and x' such that

$$\langle x', x'_\delta \rangle = |x'||x'_\delta| \cos\vartheta \tag{2.48}$$

It is then easy to calculate that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\epsilon,\delta} &= \underline{C}_{(\epsilon,\delta)} \cap C_0 \\ &= \Big\{(t, 1, x') : \langle x', x'_\delta \rangle = |x'|\delta\cos\vartheta, |x'|(\vartheta) = t(\vartheta) = \frac{1+\sqrt{1+\epsilon^2-\delta^2}}{|\epsilon| + \delta\cos\vartheta}\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

This means in particular that *all sections $S_{(\epsilon,\delta)}$ are ellipsoids* (with eccentricity δ/ϵ). Moreover, for fixed $\epsilon < 0$ the deformation of the sphere S_ϵ (which lies in C_0 "away from



Figure 11: Construction of a double null foliation in de Sitter with ellipsoidal sections depicted in the ambient $4+1$-dimensional Minkowski space (left) and the Penrose diagram (right).

infinity") to the ellipsoid $S_{\epsilon,\delta}$ cannot "move any point to infinity" *as long as* $\delta < |\epsilon|$. However, if $\delta = |\epsilon|$ then $S_{\epsilon,\delta}$ "touches infinity" at exactly one point (the antipodal point to x'_δ); meanwhile it is clear that the intersections of $\underline{C}_{\delta,\epsilon}$ with the cosmological horizon $\mathcal{C}$ is a "small deformation" of $\mathcal{C} \cap \underline{C}_\epsilon$ (as we will show below). Finally, if $\delta > |\epsilon|$ then only the "hemisphere" $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \arccos \epsilon/\delta$ of $S_{\epsilon,\delta}$ remains in the spacetime. This case occurs also when we move the sphere $S_{\epsilon,\delta}$ "to infinty" by taking $\epsilon \to 0$ while keeping $\delta > 0$ fixed.

2.3.1 Explicit parametrizations of ellipsoidal foliations

Another way to parametrize the transformation of the foliation above is to introduce new coordinates $(t, \mathring{x}, \mathring{x}')$ such that the vertex of $\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\delta}$ is at $(\epsilon, -\langle\epsilon\rangle, 0)$ in the new coordinates: this can obviously be achieved by a *rotation*. Then in these coordinates we can write down the level set of the cone emanating from this point, which we then can express in the original coordinates (t, x, x') as desired.

To that end, consider coordinates $(t, \mathring{x}, \mathring{x}')$ as follows:

$$(t, \mathring{x}, \mathring{x}'_1, \mathring{x}'_2, \mathring{x}'_3) = (t, x\cos\varphi - x'_1 \sin\varphi, x\sin\varphi + x'_1\cos\varphi, x'_2, x'_3) \tag{2.50}$$

Then, for a given $0 < \varphi < \pi$ (now playing the role of δ above), consider the cone with vertex at $o = (t = \epsilon, \mathring{x} = -\langle\epsilon\rangle, \mathring{x}' = 0)$, $\epsilon < 0$. According to Lemma 2.4

$$\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi} := C^+_o \cap H = \left\{(t, x, x') : v_\varphi(t, x, x') = \frac{\langle\epsilon\rangle + |\epsilon|}{\langle\epsilon\rangle - |\epsilon|}\right\} \tag{2.51}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_\varphi(t,x,x') &= v(t,\mathring{x}(x,x'),\mathring{x}'(x,x')) \\
&= \frac{x\cos\varphi - x'_1\sin\varphi + t}{x\cos\varphi - x'_1\sin\varphi - t}\frac{1+|\mathring{x}'|}{1-|\mathring{x}'|}\,, \quad \mathring{x}' = (x\sin\varphi + x'_1\cos\varphi, x'_2, x'_3)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.52}
$$

We shall express the level sets of v_φ in $(u,v;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)$ coordinates. In fact, let $(\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)$ be polar coordinates on the spheres of radius $r=|x'|$ such that $x'_1 = r\cos\vartheta^1, x'_2 = r\sin\vartheta^1\cos\vartheta^2, x'_3 = r\sin\vartheta^1\sin\vartheta^2$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^1)$ be the (spherical) double null coordinates introduced above. Then for any $\epsilon<0$, and $-\pi<\varphi<\pi$, the level sets of*

$$
v^*_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) = -\frac{1}{2}\log v_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) \tag{2.53}
$$

are null hypersurfaces in H, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) = \frac{\cosh(\frac{u^*-v^*}{2}) + \sinh(\frac{u^*-v^*}{2})\cos\varphi - \cosh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2})\cos\vartheta^1\sin\varphi}{\cosh(\frac{u^*-v^*}{2}) - \sinh(\frac{u^*-v^*}{2})\cos\varphi + \cosh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2})\cos\vartheta^1\sin\varphi}\times \\
\times\frac{-\sinh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2}) + r_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)}{\sinh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2}) + r_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2)}
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.54a}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
2r^2_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) &= \cosh(u^*-v^*)\sin^2\varphi + \cos^2\varphi - \cos^2\vartheta^1\sin^2\varphi \\
&+ \cosh(u^*+v^*)\big(1-\cos^2\vartheta^1\sin^2\varphi\big) + 2\big(\sinh u^* - \sinh v^*\big)\cos\vartheta^1\sin\varphi\cos\varphi\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.54b}
$$

In fact,

$$
\Big\{(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) : v_\varphi(u^*,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) = \frac{\langle\epsilon\rangle + |\epsilon|}{\langle\epsilon\rangle - |\epsilon|}\Big\} = \underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\,. \tag{2.55}
$$

Proof. Ommited. □

Remark 2.6. For small displacement angles, $0<\varphi\ll 1$ we find that

$$
v_\varphi \simeq \frac{2e^{u^*} - \varphi\big(1+e^{u^*+v^*}\big)\cos\vartheta^1}{2e^{v^*} + \varphi\big(1+e^{u^*+v^*}\big)\cos\vartheta^1}\frac{-\sinh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2}) + r_\varphi}{\sinh(\frac{u^*+v^*}{2}) + r_\varphi} \tag{2.56}
$$

where

$$
2r^2_\varphi \simeq 1 + \cosh(u^*+v^*) + 2\varphi\big(\sinh u^* - \sinh v^*\big)\cos\vartheta^1 \tag{2.57}
$$

Moreover let us look at the situation when $v^*<0$, $|v^*|\ll 1$, and $u^*\to-\infty$:

$$
\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\cap\mathcal{C} = \lim_{t\to-\infty}\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\cap C_t \simeq \Big\{(-\infty,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) : v^\infty_\varphi(v^*,\vartheta^1) = \frac{1+|\epsilon|}{1-|\epsilon|}\Big\} \tag{2.58}
$$

where

$$v_\varphi^\infty = \frac{2-\varphi(1+v^*)\cos\vartheta^1}{2(1+v^*)^2+\varphi(1+v^*)\cos\vartheta^1} \tag{2.59}$$

One may solve approximately for $v^*(\vartheta^1)$ on a level set of v_φ to find that

$$(1+|\epsilon|)(1+v^*)^2+(1+v^*)\varphi\cos\vartheta^1-(1-|\epsilon|)\simeq 0 \tag{2.60a}$$

$$v^* \simeq -|\epsilon| - \frac{\varphi}{2(1+|\epsilon|)}\cos\vartheta^1 \qquad : \text{on } u^*=-\infty, v_\varphi^\infty = \frac{1+|\epsilon|}{1-|\epsilon|} \tag{2.60b}$$

which shows provided $|\varphi| \ll |\epsilon|$, then on a level set $v_\varphi^* \simeq -|\epsilon|$ on $\mathcal{C}$, values of v^* are sweeped out in the range $[-|\epsilon|-|\varphi|/2, -|\epsilon|+|\varphi|/2]$.

By comparison, on the intersection with C_0, where $u^*=0$, in the context of the small angle approximation:

$$\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\cap C_0 \simeq \left\{(0,v^*;\vartheta^1,\vartheta^2) : v_\varphi^0(v^*,\vartheta^1)=\frac{1+|\epsilon|}{1-|\epsilon|}\right\} \tag{2.61}$$

where, provided also $|v^*| \ll 1$,

$$v_\varphi^0 = \frac{2-\varphi(2+v^*)\cos\vartheta^1}{2(1+v^*)+\varphi(2+v^*)\cos\vartheta^1}\,\frac{2-v^*\varphi\cos\vartheta^1}{2(1+v^*)-v^*\varphi\cos\vartheta^1} \tag{2.62}$$

Again, an approximate solution for $v^*(\vartheta^1)$ on a level set of $v_\varphi^* \simeq \epsilon$ is given by

$$(1+|\epsilon|)(1+v^*)^2+2(1+v^*)\varphi\cos\vartheta^1-(1-|\epsilon|)\simeq 0 \tag{2.63a}$$

$$v^*(\vartheta^1) \simeq -|\epsilon| - \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}\cos\vartheta^1 \tag{2.63b}$$

Observe that while identical in form to the formula found on $\mathcal{C}$, the range sweeped out in v^* is now *twice as large*, namely contained in $[-|\epsilon|-|\varphi|, -|\epsilon|+|\varphi|]$. In particular if we choose $\varphi=\epsilon$, then $\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\cap\mathcal{C}$ is contained in the interval $v^*\in[-3|\epsilon|/2, -|\epsilon|/2]$, while it is possible for $\underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}\cap C_0$ to "touch infinity", namely at points where $v^*=0$.

2.3.2 Transformation of the optical structure coefficients

In the previous section we have constructed an explicit family gauge transformations (parametrized by $|\varphi|<\pi$)

$$\begin{aligned} u^* &\mapsto u = u^* \\ v^* &\mapsto v = v_\varphi^*(u^*,v^*;\vartheta_*^1,\vartheta_*^2) \\ \vartheta_*^A &\mapsto \vartheta^A = \vartheta_*^A \end{aligned} \tag{2.64}$$

such that the new level sets $\underline{C}_v$ of v are again null hypersurfaces in de Sitter. We have seen that for small $v=\epsilon<0, |\epsilon|\ll 1$ the intersection of the null hypersurface

$\underline{C}_v$ with the cosmological horizon $C_{-\infty}$ is a small ellipsoidal deformation of the round sphere (with eccentricity proportional to φ), while the intersection $S_{0,v}$ of $\underline{C}_v$ with a fixed incoming null hypersurface C_0 going to infinity is again an ellipsoidal deformation of a sphere near infinity, which however contains points (first a point, and then annular regions surrounding this point) which "run off to infinity" as $v \to 0$, (while keeping φ fixed).

We shall now calculate explicitly[30] the transformations of all optical structure coefficients associated to the gauge transformation (2.64), at least for "small displacement angles", i.e. for $|\varphi| \ll 1$; (the parameter φ measures the displacement of the basepoint of the cones, see Fig. 11, and corresponds to the eccentricity of the ellipsoid in the cosmological horizon). We are interested in the details of the gauge transformation on the sphere near infinity, i.e. for $v = \epsilon, |\epsilon| \ll 1$.

We begin with the calculation of the null normals; in general, given two optical functions u, v we define the corresponding null geodesic vectorfields by

$$L' = -2(\mathrm{d}u)^\sharp \qquad \underline{L}' = -2(\mathrm{d}v)^\sharp \,. \tag{2.65}$$

The null lapse is then defined by

$$\Omega^2 = -\frac{2}{g(L', \underline{L}')} \tag{2.66}$$

and

$$\underline{L} = \Omega^2 L' \qquad L = \Omega^2 L' \,. \tag{2.67}$$

Lemma 2.7. *For small displacement angles $|\varphi| \ll 1$, the null vectorfields on $S_{0,v}$ for $v = \epsilon < 0$, $|\epsilon| \ll 1$ are given by*

$$L \simeq \partial_{v^*} \qquad \underline{L} \simeq \partial_{u^*} + 2\varphi \sin\vartheta^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^1_*} \tag{2.68}$$

up to terms quadratic in (φ, ϵ). Moreover $\Omega \simeq \Omega_* \simeq r$.

Remark 2.8. The function r that appears in the approximation for Ω is by no means constant on $S_{0,\epsilon}$. We will derive below an explicit dependence of $r(\vartheta^1)$ for small displacement angles, using the formulas obtained in Section 2.3.

Proof. Ommited. □

It is now straighforward to calculate various connection coefficients:

$$\omega = L \log\Omega \qquad \underline{\omega} = \underline{L} \log\Omega \tag{2.69a}$$

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\omega \qquad \hat{\underline{\omega}} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{\omega} \tag{2.69b}$$

$$\chi(X,Y) = g(\nabla_X(\Omega L'), Y) \qquad \underline{\chi}(X,Y) = g(\nabla_X(\Omega \underline{L}'), Y) \tag{2.69c}$$

[30]Some of the proofs in this Section are computationally intensive, and have been ommitted for ease in presentation. Later sections of this paper do not rely on these results.

Lemma 2.9. *For small displacement angles $|\varphi| \ll 1$, the gauge transformation* (2.64) *induces the following transformations of the null structure coefficients* (2.69)*, on $S_{0,v}$ for $v = \epsilon < 0$, with $|\epsilon| \ll 1$:*

$$\hat{\omega}_* \mapsto \hat{\omega} \simeq \hat{\omega}_* \simeq 1 \qquad \underline{\hat{\omega}}_* \mapsto \underline{\hat{\omega}} \simeq \underline{\hat{\omega}}_* \simeq 1$$
$$\operatorname{tr}\chi_* \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\chi \simeq \operatorname{tr}\chi_* \simeq 2 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}_* \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \simeq 2 + \frac{4\varphi}{r}\cos\vartheta^1$$
$$\hat{\chi} \simeq 0 \qquad \underline{\hat{\chi}} \simeq 0$$

Proof. Omitted. □

We emphasize that in the statements of Lemma 2.7, 2.9 , the radius r is a *function* on $S_{0,v}$. In fact,

$$r = |x'| = \frac{1 + e^{v^*}}{1 - e^{v^*}} \qquad : \text{on } C_0 \tag{2.70}$$

and moreover, for small displacement angles we have found in (2.63b) the following relation between v^* and ϑ^1 on $S_{0,v}$, provided $v = \epsilon$, $|\epsilon| \ll 1$,

$$v^*(\vartheta^1) \simeq -|\epsilon| - \frac{\varphi}{1 + |\epsilon|}\cos\vartheta^1 \tag{2.71}$$

Thus

$$r(\vartheta^1) \simeq \frac{2 + v^*(\vartheta)}{-v^*(\vartheta^1)} \simeq \frac{2}{|\epsilon| + \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}\cos\vartheta^1} \quad : \text{on } S_{0,\epsilon} \tag{2.72}$$

This allows us to calculate the volume element on $S_{0,\epsilon}$.

Lemma 2.10. *The volume element on $S_{0,\epsilon} = C_0 \cap \underline{C}_{\epsilon,\varphi}$ is given by*

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} = r^2(\vartheta^1)\sin\vartheta^1 \mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2$$
$$r(\vartheta^1) \simeq \frac{2}{|\epsilon| + \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}\cos\vartheta^1}$$

provided that $|\varphi| \ll 1$, $|\epsilon| \ll 1$. In particular,

$$\mathit{Area}[S_{0,\epsilon}] = \frac{16\pi}{|\epsilon|^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{(1+|\epsilon|)^2}}$$

Remark 2.11. Note that as expected

$$\mathrm{Area}[S_{0,\epsilon}] \longrightarrow \infty \qquad |\epsilon| \searrow -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{1 + 4|\varphi|} \simeq |\varphi| \tag{2.73}$$

while keeping the displacement angle φ fixed.

Proof. Omitted. □

Finally we calculate the average of the above transformed null structure coefficients:

Lemma 2.12. *For small displacement angles $|\varphi| \ll 1$, we have*

$$\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} = \frac{4|\epsilon|}{|\epsilon|^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{(1+|\epsilon|)^2}} - 4|\epsilon|(1+|\epsilon|) - 2\varphi\Big(\frac{1+|\epsilon|}{\varphi}\Big)^2\Big(|\epsilon|^2 - \frac{\varphi^2}{(1+|\epsilon|)^2}\Big)\log\frac{|\epsilon| - \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}}{|\epsilon| + \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}}$$

on $S_{0,\epsilon}$, provided $|\epsilon| \ll 1$. In particular,

$$\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}[S_{0,\epsilon}] \longrightarrow \infty \qquad |\epsilon| \searrow |\varphi|$$

at the same rate as the area, namely

$$\lim_{|\epsilon|\searrow|\varphi|} \frac{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}}}{Area[S_{0,\epsilon}]} = \frac{|\varphi|}{4\pi}$$

Proof. Omitted. □

2.3.3 Comparison to bootstrap assumptions

The above statements are important for the interpretation of the bootstrap assumptions in Section 1.2, in particular the conditions

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi > 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} > 0 \tag{2.74a}$$

$$\Omega|2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi| \leq C \operatorname{tr}\chi \qquad \Omega|2\underline{\hat{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq C \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \tag{2.74b}$$

$$|\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi - \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi}| \leq \epsilon_0 \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi} \qquad |\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon_0 \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{2.74c}$$

These assumptions are all trivially satisfied in the spherically symmetric double null gauge discussed in Section 2.2.1. We shall now investigate if these assumptions are also satisfied for the above examples of non-spherically symmteric gauges.

In the following discussion we restrict ourselves to foliations with small eccentricity, or displacement angles $|\varphi| \ll 1$. Moreover we discuss these assumption on a "sphere" $S_{0,\epsilon}$ near infinity, $|\epsilon| \ll 1$, and are interested in particular in the limit as a point on this sphere "touches" infinity, corresponding to, approximately $|\epsilon| \searrow |\varphi|$.

According to Lemma 2.9 we have

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi \simeq 2 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \simeq 2 + \frac{4\varphi}{r}\cos\vartheta^1 \tag{2.75}$$

Recall here also from (2.72) that

$$\inf_{S_{0,\epsilon}} r \simeq \frac{2}{|\epsilon| + \frac{|\varphi|}{1+|\epsilon|}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{|\varphi|} \qquad |\epsilon| \searrow |\varphi| \tag{2.76}$$

so the assumption (2.74a) on the null expansions being positive is still satisfied, at least for $|\varphi| \ll 1$. Furthermore Lemma 2.9 also tells us that

$$\hat{\omega} \simeq 1 \qquad \hat{\underline{\omega}} \simeq 1 \tag{2.77}$$

and thus the assumption (2.74b) related to the redshift is in fact satisfied:

$$\Omega|2\hat{\underline{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq 4|\varphi|\frac{\Omega}{r}|\cos\vartheta^1| \leq 4|\varphi| \leq |2 - 4\varphi^2| \leq \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \tag{2.78}$$

at least for $|\varphi| \ll 1$.

However, the last assumption (2.74c) stipulating that the values of $\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$ remain close to its average on $S_{0,\epsilon}$ ceases to be satisfied. To see this, note that according to Lemma 2.7,

$$\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \simeq 2r(\vartheta^1) + 4\varphi\cos\vartheta^1 \tag{2.79}$$

where the function $r(\vartheta^1)$ is given in Lemma 2.10:

$$r(\vartheta^1) \simeq \frac{2}{|\epsilon| + \frac{\varphi}{1+|\epsilon|}\cos\vartheta^1} \tag{2.80}$$

In particular on the equator of the sphere $S_{0,\epsilon}$, for $\vartheta^1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, we have

$$\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big|_{S_{0,\epsilon}\cap\{\vartheta^1=\frac{\pi}{2}\}} \simeq \frac{4}{|\epsilon|} \longrightarrow \frac{4}{|\varphi|} \qquad |\epsilon| \searrow |\varphi| \tag{2.81}$$

So on one hand there are points on $S_{0,\epsilon}$ where $\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$ remains bounded (in fact all points except one) as the sphere is taken to infinity, while on the other hand according to Lemma 2.12,

$$\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}[S_{0,\epsilon}] \longrightarrow \infty \qquad |\epsilon| \searrow |\varphi| \tag{2.82}$$

In fact, it is also shown in Lemma 2.12 that

$$\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}[S_{0,\epsilon}] \gtrsim \operatorname{Area}[S_{u,\epsilon}] \tag{2.83}$$

so we are in a situation where

$$|\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}| \simeq \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{2.84}$$

and (2.74c) is *not* satisfied with $\epsilon_0 < 1$.

Since for any non-round spheres — not just of the ellipsoidal type discussed here — which "touch infinity" at one point the area blows up, we can expect that the assumption (2.74c) cannot be satisfied. This means that foliations that *do have the property* (2.74c) this behaviour cannot occur, and the spheres in these foliations approach null infinty "uniformly", and are eventually "contained" in null infinity. Indeed, if one point did "run off" the above argument shows that (2.74c) ceases to be satisfied.

3 Einstein's equations with cosmological constant

The Einstein vacuum equations with positive cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$ are

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \Lambda g \tag{3.1}$$

3.1 Weyl curvature

Presently we shall focus on the conformal decomposition of the curvature tensor, which plays an important role in this context.

Recall the *Schouten* tensor

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{n-2}\Big[\mathrm{Ric}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{R}{2(n-1)}g_{\alpha\beta}\Big] \tag{3.2}$$

where R denotes the scalar curvature. We observe that for any solution to (3.1) the Schouten tensor is simply

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\Lambda}{6}g_{\alpha\beta} \tag{3.3}$$

The *Weyl* curvature W, in general, is defined by

$$W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \Big[P_{\beta\mu}g_{\alpha\nu} + P_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu} - P_{\beta\nu}g_{\alpha\mu} - P_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\Big] \tag{3.4}$$

which for solutions to (3.1) then reduces to:

$$W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = R_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big[g_{\alpha\nu}g_{\beta\mu} - g_{\alpha\mu}g_{\beta\nu}\Big] \tag{3.5}$$

Note that W has the same algebraic symmetries as the curvature tensor R, and in addition is totally trace-free. We shall thus proceed in Section 3.2 with the null decompositon of the *Weyl* curvature.

3.2 Null decomposition of the Weyl curvature

We have already referred to the symmetries of the Weyl curvature. We note that the Weyl curvature (3.5) is a "Weyl field" in the sense of Chapter 12 in [Chr09]: It is anti-symmetric in the first two and last two indices, and satisfies the cyclic identity:

$$W_{\alpha[\beta\mu\nu]} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, the Weyl curvature satisfies the trace conditon:

$$g^{\alpha\mu}W_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \mathrm{Ric}_{\beta\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big[g_{\beta\nu} - 4g_{\beta\nu}\Big] = 0 \tag{3.7}$$

The dual of W is defined by (as we know, left and right duals coincide)

$$W^*_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma\delta} \epsilon_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu} \tag{3.8}$$

There are 10 algebraically independent components of a Weyl field. Let $(e_A : A = 1, 2; e_3, e_4)$ be an orthonormal null frame field. Then the 2-covariant tensorfields

$$\underline{\alpha}_{AB}[W] = W_{A3B3} \quad \alpha_{AB}[W] = W_{A4B4} \tag{3.9}$$

account for 2 components each, because they are symmetric and trace-free:

$$g^{AB} \underline{\alpha}_{AB} = g^{AB} W_{A3B3} = g^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu 3 \nu 3} = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

Also the 1-forms

$$\underline{\beta}_A[W] = \frac{1}{2} W_{A334} \quad \beta_A[W] = \frac{1}{2} W_{A434} \tag{3.11}$$

account for 2 components each, which leaves us with 2 functions

$$\rho[W] = \frac{1}{4} W_{3434} \quad \sigma[W] \epsilon_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} W_{AB34} \tag{3.12}$$

Note that with (3.5) we have

$$\underline{\alpha}_{AB}[W] = R_{A3B3} \qquad \alpha_{AB}[W] = R_{A4B4} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\underline{\beta}_A[W] = R_{A334} \qquad \beta_A[W] = R_{A434} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\rho[W] = \frac{1}{4} R_{3434} + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \qquad \sigma[W] \epsilon_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} R_{AB34} \tag{3.15}$$

Here we used $g_{33} = g_{3A} = g_{44} = g_{4A} = 0$, and $g_{34} = -2$.

Thus the only component that differs from the corresponding null decompositon of the curvature tensor R (which is *only* a Weyl field in the case $\Lambda = 0$) is ρ.

Note that $\sigma[W]$ can equally be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma[W] = \rho[{}^*W] = \frac{1}{4} {}^*W_{3434} = \frac{1}{4} W_{34}{}^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta 34} \\ = \frac{1}{4} W_{34}{}^{12} + \frac{1}{4} W_{34}{}^{21} (-1) = \frac{1}{2} R_{3412} \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

The remaining components of W are expressed as, c.f. (12.34) in [Chr09],

$$W_{A3BC} = g_{AB} \underline{\beta}_C[W] - g_{AC} \underline{\beta}_B[W] = \frac{1}{2} g_{AB} R_{C334} - \frac{1}{2} g_{AC} R_{B334} \tag{3.17a}$$

$$W_{A4BC} = -g_{AB} \beta_C[W] + g_{AC} \beta_B[W] = -\frac{1}{2} g_{AB} R_{C434} + \frac{1}{2} g_{AC} R_{B434} \tag{3.17b}$$

$$W_{A3B4} = -\rho[W] g_{AB} + \sigma[W] \epsilon_{AB} = -\frac{1}{4} R_{3434}\, g_{AB} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} g_{AB} + \frac{1}{2} R_{AB34} \tag{3.17c}$$

$$W_{ABCD} = -\rho[W] \epsilon_{AB} \epsilon_{CD} = -\Big(\frac{1}{4} R_{3434} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big) \big(g_{AC} g_{BD} - g_{AD} g_{BC}\big) \tag{3.17d}$$

3.3 Bianchi identities

Recall that in general the curvature tensor R satisfies the Bianchi identities:

$$\nabla_\mu R^\alpha{}_{\beta\nu\lambda} + \nabla_\nu R^\alpha{}_{\beta\lambda\mu} + \nabla_\lambda R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

which, by setting $\alpha = \nu$ and sum, yields the contracted Bianchi identies:

$$\nabla_\alpha R^\alpha{}_{\beta\lambda\mu} = \nabla_\lambda R_{\mu\beta} - \nabla_\mu R_{\lambda\beta} \tag{3.19}$$

Schematically, these equations say

$$\operatorname{div} \mathrm{Riem} = \operatorname{curl} \mathrm{Ric} \tag{3.20}$$

But here, of course, for any solution to the vacuum equations with positive cosmological constant, $\mathrm{Ric}(g) = \Lambda g$, and

$$\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\beta} = 0 \tag{3.21}$$

by metric compatibility of the connection. Thus, as in the case $\Lambda = 0$,

$$\nabla_\alpha R^\alpha{}_{\beta\nu\lambda} = 0 \tag{3.22}$$

This implies now that for a solution to (3.1) also the Weyl curvature is divergence free:

$$W^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = R^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big[\delta^\alpha_\nu g_{\beta\mu} - \delta^\alpha_\mu g_{\beta\nu}\Big] \tag{3.23}$$

$$\nabla_\alpha W^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{\Lambda}{3}\Big[\nabla_\nu g_{\beta\nu} - \nabla_\mu g_{\beta\nu}\Big] = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

With the same formula, (3.23), we see that the "Bianchi identity" (3.18) is also true for the Weyl curvature:

$$\nabla_\mu W^\alpha{}_{\beta\nu\lambda} + \nabla_\nu W^\alpha{}_{\beta\lambda\mu} + \nabla_\lambda W^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3.25}$$

or, for short, the homogeneous Bianchi equations hold:

$$\nabla_{[\mu} W_{\nu\lambda]}{}^\alpha{}_\beta = 0 \tag{3.26}$$

This is consistent with general principles, according to which the equation (3.26), for the Weyl field W, also written as

$$DW = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

is *equivalent* to

$$D^*W = 0\,, \tag{3.28}$$

by the symmetries of a Weyl field, which are precisely the equations (3.24).

Now we are in the situation where we have a Weyl field W satisfying the "inhomogeneous" Bianchi equations (3.24):

$$\nabla_\alpha W^\alpha{}_{\beta\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{3.29}$$

Therefore by Proposition 12.4 in [Chr09] the null decomposition of (3.29) takes precisely the form given therein. In other words, the Bianchi equations are *verbatim* those of the vacuum equations in the case $\Lambda = 0$, with the understanding that the null components refer to the null decomposition of the Weyl curvature tensor.

3.4 Double null gauge

We follow the conventions of Chapter 1 in [Chr09], for the definition of the double null foliation.

We have already introduced in Section 1.2 the *optical functions* u, v as solutions to the eikonal equations (1.12) such that the surfaces of intersection of the level sets of u, v, (1.13), are spheres diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. Null geodesic normals $\underline{L}'$, and L' are introduced as in (1.14), and with their help the null lapse function Ω in (1.15). Note that with

$$\underline{L} = \Omega^2 \underline{L}' \qquad L = \Omega^2 L' \tag{3.30}$$

we have

$$\underline{L}u = 1 \qquad \underline{L}v = 0 \tag{3.31a}$$

$$Lu = 0 \qquad Lv = 1 \tag{3.31b}$$

and

$$g(L, \underline{L}) = -2\Omega^2 \tag{3.32}$$

Moreover, local coordinates on $S_{u,v}$ are introduced as in Chapter 1.4 in [Chr09]: We choose coordinates $(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ on $S_{0,0}$, which are then transported first along the geodesics generated by $\underline{L}'$ on $\underline{C}$, and then along the null geodesics generated by L' on C_u. In this "canonical coordiante system" the metric takes the form (1.22).

3.4.1 Area radius

Recall that we have already introduced in (1.9) the area radius $r(u, v)$ of $S_{u,v}$. Since, by definition

$$4\pi r^2(u, v) = \int_{S_{u,v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} = \int_{S_{u,0}} \Phi_v^* \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} \tag{3.33}$$

where Φ_v is the 1-parameter group generated by L, we have

$$D(4\pi r^2) = \int_{S_{u,s}} \Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} \tag{3.34a}$$

where by definition $Df = Lf$ for any function f, and thus

$$Dr = \frac{r}{2} \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \chi} \tag{3.34b}$$

$$\underline{D}r = \frac{r}{2} \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi}} \tag{3.34c}$$

where $\bar{\cdot}$ denotes the average of a function on the sphere

$$\overline{f}(u, v) := \frac{1}{4\pi r^2(u, v)} \int_{S_{u,v}} f(u, v, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g}(\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) \tag{3.35}$$

3.5 Areal time function

Besides the double null gauge, which is particularly suited for the characteristic initial value problem, other gauges typically involve the choice of a *time function*. This concept appears here naturally in the form of the area radius which is increasing towards to future — this is one manifestation of the expansion of the cosmological region. However, while we do use the decomposition of the Einstein equations relative to a given time-function, we do not impose an equation on its level sets, such as in [CK93], or [RS14b, RS14a]; here the time function is chosen once the double null foliation is fixed.

Given an "areal time function" r, we define

$$V^\mu = -g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu r \tag{3.36}$$

and the associated lapse function by

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(V,V)}} \tag{3.37}$$

Then the unit normal to the level sets of r, Σ_r is

$$n = \phi V \tag{3.38}$$

In the following it will be useful to express these in terms of quantities associated to the double null foliation:

Lemma 3.1. *The lapse function of the foliation by level sets Σ_r is*

$$\phi = \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi}\,\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}}}} \tag{3.39}$$

and the normal n to each leaf Σ_r is given by

$$n = \frac{1}{2}\Big(q\hat{\underline{L}} + q^{-1}\hat{L}\Big) \qquad \text{where } q := \sqrt{\frac{\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi}}{\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}}}} \tag{3.40}$$

Proof. Here we need explicit expressions for the components of the inverse:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= -\frac{1}{2}\hat{\underline{L}}\otimes\hat{L} - \frac{1}{2}\hat{L}\otimes\hat{\underline{L}} + \sum_{A=1}^{2} E_A\otimes E_A \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\underline{L}\otimes L - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}L\otimes\underline{L} + \sum_{A=1}^{2} E_A\otimes E_A \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

so in particular

$$g^{uv} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^2} \qquad g^{uA} = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}b^A \qquad b^{vA} = 0\,. \tag{3.42}$$

This yields

$$V^u = -g^{uv}\partial_v r = \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2} r \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi} \quad V^v = \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega} r \overline{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{3.43}$$

$$V^A = -g^{Au}\partial_u r = \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2} b^A r \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{3.44}$$

or

$$V = \frac{r}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi}\,\underline{\hat{L}} + \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}\,\hat{L}\Big) \tag{3.45}$$

This implies

$$g(V,V) = -\frac{r^2}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\,\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi}\,\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{3.46}$$

and thus the statement of the Lemma.

□

3.5.1 Induced metric

Let us discuss here the metric on Σ_r, in particular as r tends to infinity. On Σ_r we may use $(u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ as coordinates. Recall from Lemma 3.1 the expression for the normal to Σ_r, and that in general $\overline{g}_r$, the induced metric on Σ_r is given by

$$\overline{g}_r(X,Y) = g(\Pi X, \Pi Y) \qquad \Pi X = X + g(n,X)n\,. \tag{3.47}$$

Lemma 3.2. *The metric on Σ_r in $(u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ coordinates, takes the form*

$$\overline{g}_r = q^{-2}\Omega^2 \mathrm{d}u^2 + \not{g}_{AB}\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \tag{3.48}$$

and the volume form on Σ_r is

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} = q^{-1}\Omega\,\mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = q^{-1}\Omega\sqrt{\det \not{g}}\,\mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \tag{3.49}$$

Proof. Since

$$\partial_u = \underline{L} = \Omega\underline{\hat{L}} \tag{3.50}$$

we have

$$\Pi\partial_u = \frac{1}{2}\underline{L} - \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}L \tag{3.51}$$

and so

$$(\overline{g}_r)_{uu} = q^{-2}\Omega^2\,. \tag{3.52}$$

Moreover,

$$(\overline{g}_r)_{u\vartheta^A} = g(\Pi\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta^A}) = 0 \tag{3.53}$$

$$(\overline{g}_r)_{\vartheta^A\vartheta^B} = \not{g}_{AB} \tag{3.54}$$

□

Remark 3.3. There appears no "shift" in the induced metric, because with our present choice the angular coordinates are Lie transported along the ingoing null geodesics.

3.5.2 Second fundamental forms

Following the discussion of the first fundamental form, $\overline{g}_r$, we now turn to the second fundamental form k_r of Σ_r.

Recall the *Codazzi equations*:

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X k)(Y,Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y k)(X,Z) = R(Z,n,X,Y) \tag{3.55}$$

where X, Y, Z are tangent to Σ_r.

We use a "convenient frame": $(E_0 = n, E_i)$ where $[\phi n, E_i] = 0$. [31] Then

$$g_{00} = -1 \quad g_{i0} = 0 \quad g_{ij} = (\overline{g}_r)_{ij} \tag{3.56}$$

$$\frac{\partial \overline{g}_{ij}}{\partial r} = 2\phi k_{ij} \tag{3.57}$$

and

$$\overline{\nabla}_i k_{jm} - \overline{\nabla}_j k_{im} = R_{m0ij} \tag{3.58a}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}^m k_{jm} - \overline{\nabla}_j \operatorname{tr} k = \operatorname{Ric}_{0j} = \Lambda \overline{g}_{0j} = 0 \tag{3.58b}$$

Moreover the *Gauss equations* are:

$$\overline{R}_{minj} + k_{mn}k_{ij} - k_{mj}k_{ni} = R_{minj} \tag{3.59a}$$

$$\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{ij} + \operatorname{tr} k k_{ij} - k_i{}^m k_{mj} = \operatorname{Ric}_{ij} + R_{0i0j} \tag{3.59b}$$

$$\overline{R} + (\operatorname{tr} k)^2 - |k|^2 = R + 2\operatorname{Ric}_{00} = 2\Lambda \tag{3.59c}$$

The "acceleration of the normal lines" is given by $\nabla_n n = \overline{\nabla} \log \phi$. In particular if ϕ is constant on Σ_r the normal lines are geodesics parametrized by arc length. The second variation equation reads in the above frame:

$$\frac{\partial k_{ij}}{\partial r} = \overline{\nabla}^2_{ij}\phi + \phi\Big\{-R_{i0j0} + k_i{}^m k_{mj}\Big\} \tag{3.60a}$$

$$\frac{\partial k_{ij}}{\partial r} = \overline{\nabla}^2_{ij}\phi + \phi\Big\{-\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{ij} - \operatorname{tr} k k_{ij} + 2k_i{}^m k_{mj} + \Lambda g_{ij}\Big\} \tag{3.60b}$$

$$\frac{\partial \operatorname{tr} k}{\partial r} = \overline{\triangle}\phi - \phi\Big\{\overline{R} + (\operatorname{tr} k)^2 - 3\Lambda\Big\} = \overline{\triangle}\phi + \phi\Big\{\Lambda - |k|^2\Big\} \tag{3.60c}$$

Finally we note the associated connection coefficients:

$$\Gamma^0_{00} = 0 \quad \Gamma^i_{00} = \overline{\nabla}^i \log \phi \tag{3.61a}$$

$$\Gamma^0_{i0} = 0 \quad \Gamma^j_{i0} = k^j_i \tag{3.61b}$$

$$\Gamma^0_{0i} = \overline{\nabla}_i \log \phi \quad \Gamma^j_{0i} = k^j_i \tag{3.61c}$$

$$\Gamma^0_{ij} = k_{ij} \quad \Gamma^m_{ij} = \overline{\Gamma}^m_{ij} \tag{3.61d}$$

[31] Note the frame is *not* "Fermi transported".

4 Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmology

In this Section we briefly discuss some aspects of the geometry of the Schwarzschild de Sitter solution [Kot18, Wey19]. Its global geometry — as depicted in the Penrose diagram of Fig. 1 — has already been discussed in Section 3 of [Sch15]; c.f. [GH77].

Here we are mainly interested in the values of the structure coefficients for different choices of double null foliations, which has partly motivated our assumptions in Section 1.2. We restrict ourselves to the cosmological region $\mathcal{R}$, and *spherically symmetric* foliations. [32]

4.1 General properties

The Schwarzschild de Sitter spacetime is a *spherically symmetric* solution to (1.1), and distinguishes itself from de Sitter solution by the presence of a mass $m > 0$. The manifold is $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$, and the metric g takes the form (1.2). Moreover — as we have seen in Section 1.2 — in double null coordinates the metric takes the form (1.22), which simply reduces to

$$g = -4\Omega^2 \mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v + r^2 \overset{\circ}{\gamma} \tag{4.1}$$

The mass m, representing the "mass energy contained in a sphere" $S_{u,v}$, can be defined unambiguously in spherical symmetry as a function $m : \mathcal{Q} \to [0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$1 - \frac{2m(u,v)}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} = -\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\frac{\partial r}{\partial u}\frac{\partial r}{\partial v} \tag{4.2}$$

In *vacuum*, the Einstein equations (1.1) then imply that m is a constant, (which parametrizes this 1-parameter family of solutions.) This allows us further to pass from the unknown $r : \mathcal{Q} \to (0, \infty)$ to the "Regge-Wheeler coordinate"

$$r_* = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{1 - \frac{2m}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3}} \tag{4.3}$$

which by virtue of (1.1) satisfies the simple p.d.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2 r_*}{\partial u \partial v} = 0 \tag{4.4}$$

[32] Some features of *non*-spherically symmetric gauge transformations have already been discussed for the de Sitter solution in Section 2; the difficulties that were highlighted there — in particular the existence of double null foliations whose spheres of intersection do *not* foliate correctly null infinity — are not specific to the de Sitter solution, and occur equally for the Schwarzschild de Sitter metric which shares its leading order asymptotics. We do not revisit these aspects of non-spherically symmetric foliations here, but it is important to keep in mind that we chose the de Sitter geometry mostly for convenience, specifically exploiting its embedding in a higher dimensional Minkowski space to find explicit solutions to the eikonal equation.

The various double null coordinates discussed below can be thought of as different choices of functions f, g appearing in the general solution $r_*(u,v) = f(u) + g(v)$ of (4.4), and constants of integration in (4.3).

Let us also note that the polynomial in r on the l.h.s. of (4.2) has three real distinct roots $\overline{r_{\mathcal{C}}}, r_{\mathcal{H}}, r_{\mathcal{C}}$ provided $0 < m < 1/(3\sqrt{\Lambda})$, the two positive ones $r_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $r_{\mathcal{C}}$ coinciding with the event, and cosmological horizons $\mathcal{H}$, and $\mathcal{C}$, respectively, (where $\partial_u r = 0$, or $\partial_v r = 0$, by the equation). In the following we are only interested in charts covering the cosmological region, and horizons, namely the domain $r \geq r_{\mathcal{C}}$.

4.2 Eddington-Finkelstein gauge

In "Eddington-Finkelstein" coordinates we choose

$$r_* = u + v = -\int_r^\infty \frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1} \mathrm{d}r \tag{4.5}$$

and thus cover $\mathcal{R}^+$ by

$$\mathcal{R}^+ = I^+(\mathcal{C}^+ \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}^+) = \left\{(u, v, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) : u + v < 0\right\}. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that the cosmological horizons $\mathcal{C}^+ \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}^+$ at $r_* = -\infty$, are *not* covered by this chart, but strictly only its future; moreover future null infinity $\mathcal{I}^+$ can be identified with the surface $u + v = 0$. In these coordinates the metric takes the form

$$g = -4\Big(\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1\Big)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}v + r^2\, \mathring{\gamma}_{AB}\, \mathrm{d}\vartheta^A \vartheta^B \tag{4.7}$$

and we note specifically

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial r}{\partial v} = \Omega^2 = \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2m}{r} - 1 \tag{4.8}$$

With the definitions of the null normals $(\underline{\hat{L}}, \hat{L})$ of Section 1.2 it is then straight-forward to verify that[33]

$$\omega = \frac{\Lambda}{3} r - \frac{1}{2}\frac{2m}{r^2} \tag{4.9a}$$

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\partial_v \log \Omega = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega_\circ}\Big(2\frac{\Lambda}{3} r - \frac{2m}{r^2}\Big) \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \quad (r \to \infty) \tag{4.9b}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\omega}} = \hat{\omega} \tag{4.9c}$$

[33] We caution that this frame is not regular on the horizon, so the values of $\mathrm{tr}\,\chi$, and $\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$ have no meaning on $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$.

and

$$\chi_{AB} = g_\circ(\nabla_{e_A}\hat{L}, e_B) = \frac{\Omega}{r}\not{g}_{AB} \tag{4.10a}$$

$$\hat{\chi} = 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\chi = \frac{2}{r}\Omega \longrightarrow 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \qquad (r \to \infty) \tag{4.10b}$$

$$\hat{\underline{\chi}} = 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = \frac{2}{r}\Omega \tag{4.10c}$$

The Gauss equation (1.49) now allows us to calculate the ρ component of the Weyl curvature: Since the spheres $S_{u,v}$ are *round*, we have $K = r^{-2}$, and we obtain with (4.10) that

$$\rho_\circ[W] = \frac{\Lambda}{3} - K - \frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\chi \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2 - 1 - \Omega_\circ^2\Big) = -\frac{2m}{r^3}\,. \tag{4.11}$$

(This shows in particular that the mass $m \neq 0$ is the obstruction to conformal flatness.) Moreover, since $\alpha_\circ[W] = \underline{\alpha}_\circ[W] = \beta_\circ[W] = \underline{\beta}_\circ[W] = \zeta_\circ[W] = 0$ in spherical symmetry symmetry, and thus also $\sigma_\circ[W] = 0$, we have also proven (1.8). In summary , $\rho[W]$, $\hat{\omega}$, $\hat{\underline{\omega}}$, and $\operatorname{tr}\chi$, $\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$ are the only non-vanishing null structure components for the Schwarzschild de Sitter solution.

4.3 Gauge transformations and "regular" coordinates

The choice of null coordinates in Section 4.2 has a shortcoming: the coordinates do not extend to the cosmological horizons. While Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates provide a natural notion of "retarded and advanced time", we will now discuss coordinates which extend beyond the cosmological horizons. The following discussion highlights in particular the gauge dependence of the structure coefficients, and is relevant for the dynamical problem.

4.3.1 Kruskal coordinates

Let us denote the Eddington-Finkelstein coordinates of Section 4.2 by (u_*, v_*). Then "Kruskal coordinates" $(u_\mathcal{K}, v_\mathcal{K})$ are obtained with the following transformation:

$$u_\mathcal{K} = e^{2\kappa_\mathcal{C} u_*} \qquad v_\mathcal{K} = e^{2\kappa_\mathcal{C} v_*} \tag{4.12}$$

In these coordinates $r(u, v)$ is implicitly given by

$$u_\mathcal{K} v_\mathcal{K} = (r - r_\mathcal{C})(r - r_\mathcal{H})^{-\alpha_\mathcal{H}}(r + |\overline{r_\mathcal{C}}|)^{-\overline{\alpha_\mathcal{C}}} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\alpha_\mathcal{H}, \overline{\alpha_\mathcal{C}} > 0$ are positive exponents (depending on Λ, m) satisfying $\alpha_\mathcal{H} + \overline{\alpha_\mathcal{C}} = 1$; c.f. (3.16) in [Sch15]. In particular, in these coordinates the cosmological horizons $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^+$, and $\mathcal{C}^+$, are at $u_\mathcal{K} = 0$, and $v_\mathcal{K} = 0$, respectively, and the future boundary $r = \infty$ lies

on the *hyperbola* $u_{\mathcal{K}} v_{\mathcal{K}} = 1$. The metric takes the form (4.1) where Ω is *non-degenerate* on the $\mathcal{C} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}}$; in fact

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\Lambda}{3}\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^{-2}\frac{(r - r_{\mathcal{H}})^{1+\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}}(r + |\overline{r_{\mathcal{C}}}|)^{1+\overline{\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}}}}{r} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{4}\frac{\Lambda}{3}\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^{-2} r^2 \qquad (r \to \infty) \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial u_{\mathcal{K}}} = 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 v_{\mathcal{K}} \qquad \frac{\partial r}{\partial v_{\mathcal{K}}} = 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 u_{\mathcal{K}} \tag{4.15}$$

where $\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} > 0$ is the surface gravity of the cosmological horizons; see Section 3 of [Sch15] for derivations. It is then straight-forward to calculate that *in this gauge*,

$$\chi_{AB} = g(\nabla_{e_A}\hat{L}, e_B) = \frac{1}{\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}}\frac{1}{r}\partial_{v_{\mathcal{K}}} r \, g_{AB} = \frac{2}{r}\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} u_{\mathcal{K}} \, g_{AB}\,, \tag{4.16a}$$

$$\hat{\chi} = 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\chi = 4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} u_{\mathcal{K}}}{r} \tag{4.16b}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi = 0 \quad \text{on } u_{\mathcal{K}} = 0\,, \quad \operatorname{tr}\chi \to 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} u_{\mathcal{K}} \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty\,. \tag{4.16c}$$

Similarly,

$$\hat{\underline{\chi}} = 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = 4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\frac{\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} v_{\mathcal{K}}}{r} \tag{4.17a}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = 0 \quad \text{on } v_{\mathcal{K}} = 0\,, \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \to 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} v_{\mathcal{K}} \quad \text{as } r \to \infty\,. \tag{4.17b}$$

We also calculate

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}}\frac{\partial}{\partial v_{\mathcal{K}}}\log\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{C}} v_{\mathcal{K}}}\Big[2\frac{\Lambda}{3}r - \frac{2m}{r^2} - 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Big] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{v_{\mathcal{K}}}\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty \tag{4.18a}$$

and $\hat{\omega} = 0$ on $u = 0$. Similarly,

$$\hat{\underline{\omega}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{\mathcal{K}} u_{\mathcal{K}}}\Big[2\frac{\Lambda}{3}r - \frac{2m}{r^2} - 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Big] \to \frac{1}{u_{\mathcal{K}}}\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \qquad \text{as } r \to \infty \tag{4.18b}$$

4.3.2 "Initial data" gauge

We give an example of a double null system which retains "retarded time" u of "Eddington-Finkelstein type" along $\mathcal{C}^+$, and "advanced time" v of "Eddington-Finkelstein type" along $\overline{\mathcal{C}}^+$, yet is regular at the past horizons. It is trivially obtained by "patching" the above coordinate systems, but its features are worth studying, because it mimics a suitable gauge choice for the characteristic initial value problem.

Let us define

$$\underline{u} = \begin{cases} u_{\mathcal{K}} - 1 & u_* < 0 \\ 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_* & u_* > 0 \end{cases} \qquad \underline{v} = \begin{cases} v_{\mathcal{K}} - 1 & v_* < 0 \\ 2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} v_* & v_* > 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.19}$$

Then

$$\overset{\mathcal{Q}}{g} = \begin{cases} -4\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \mathrm{d}\underline{u}\mathrm{d}\underline{v} & : u_* < 0, v_* < 0 \\ -4\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 u_{\mathcal{K}} \mathrm{d}\underline{u}\mathrm{d}\underline{v} =: -4\Omega_{(u)}^2 \mathrm{d}\underline{u}\mathrm{d}\underline{v} & : u_* > 0, v_* < 0 \\ -4\Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 v_{\mathcal{K}} \mathrm{d}\underline{u}\mathrm{d}\underline{v} =: -4\Omega_{(v)}^2 \mathrm{d}\underline{u}\mathrm{d}\underline{v} & : v_* > 0, u_* < 0 \end{cases} \tag{4.20}$$

where

$$\Omega_{(u)}^2 = \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 u_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^2} \frac{1}{v} \Big[\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1 \Big] \tag{4.21a}$$

$$\Omega_{(v)}^2 = \Omega_{\mathcal{K}}^2 v_{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^2} \frac{1}{u} \Big[\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1 \Big] \tag{4.21b}$$

This means that *in this gauge*, along $\mathcal{C}^+$, for $u_* > 0$, the null lapse behaves like

$$\Omega|_{\mathcal{C}^+} = \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}} \cdot c(\Lambda, m) \cdot e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \tag{4.22}$$

and along the null infinity, for $u_* > 0$,

$$\Omega^2|_{\mathcal{I}^+} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^2} \cdot e^{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \cdot \frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 \tag{4.23}$$

Let us calculate the structure coefficients in the region $u_* > 0$; (the region $v_* > 0$ is entirely analogous). In the same way as in Section 4.2, we find, relative to the normalised frame,

$$\underline{\hat{L}} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{u}} \qquad \hat{L} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{v}} \tag{4.24}$$

that

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\chi}_{AB} &= \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{1}{r} \partial_{\underline{u}} r \, g_{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}} \Omega_*^2 \, g_{AB} \\ &= \Omega_{(u)} \frac{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}}{r} v_{\mathcal{K}} \, g_{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{1}{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{1}{r} \Big(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2m}{r} - 1 \Big) g_{AB} \end{aligned} \tag{4.25a}$$

or

$$\hat{\underline{\chi}} = 0 \qquad \mathrm{tr}\, \underline{\chi} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}} \frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} r} \Big(\frac{\Lambda}{3} r^2 + \frac{2m}{r} - 1 \Big) \tag{4.25b}$$

so that

$$\mathrm{tr}\, \underline{\chi}|_{\mathcal{C}^+} = 0 \tag{4.25c}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\, \underline{\chi} \longrightarrow 2 \cdot e^{-\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}} \qquad (r \to \infty) \tag{4.25d}$$

Similarly, we find

$$\chi_{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega_{(u)}}\frac{1}{r}\partial_{v_{\mathcal{K}}} r g_{AB} = \frac{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}}{r}\Omega_{(u)} g_{AB} \tag{4.26a}$$

$$\hat{\chi} = 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\chi = \frac{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}}{r}\Omega_{(u)} \tag{4.26b}$$

in particular

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi|_{\mathcal{C}^+} = c(\Lambda, m)\cdot e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \tag{4.26c}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi \longrightarrow 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\cdot e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \qquad (r\to\infty) \tag{4.26d}$$

It remains to calculate the values of $\hat{\omega}$, $\underline{\hat{\omega}}$ in this gauge. We find

$$\underline{\hat{\omega}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^3_{(u)}}\partial_{\underline{u}}\Omega^2_{(u)} = \frac{1}{4\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{(u)}}\Big(2\frac{\Lambda}{3}r - \frac{2m}{r^2}\Big) \tag{4.27a}$$

$$\hat{\omega} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^3_{(u)}}\frac{\partial\Omega^2_{\mathcal{K}}}{\partial v_{\mathcal{K}}}u_{\mathcal{K}} = \kappa_{\mathcal{C}}\Omega_{(u)}\Big(\frac{1+\alpha_{\mathcal{H}}}{r-r_{\mathcal{H}}} + \frac{1+\overline{\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}}}{r+|\overline{r_{\mathcal{C}}}|} - \frac{1}{r}\Big) \tag{4.27b}$$

and in particular

$$\underline{\hat{\omega}}|_{\mathcal{C}^+} = c(\Lambda, m)e^{-\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*}\,, \qquad \underline{\hat{\omega}} \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\cdot e^{-\kappa u_*} \quad (r\to\infty) \tag{4.28a}$$

$$\hat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{C}^+} = c(\Lambda, m)\cdot e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*}\,, \qquad \hat{\omega} \longrightarrow \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\cdot e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}} u_*} \quad (r\to\infty) \tag{4.28b}$$

4.3.3 Gauge invariance

In view of the assumptions on the structure coefficients outlined in Section 1.2, we discuss the gauge -dependence and -invariance of the relevant quantities for the Schwarzschild-de Sitter example.

In Table 1 we summarize the asymptotics towards null infinity of the values of the connection coefficients for the Schwarzschild de Sitter metric in the gauges discussed above.

Note that each quantity, Ω, $\hat{\omega}$, $\underline{\hat{\omega}}$, $\operatorname{tr}\chi$, $\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$, has the same asymptotics in r (*towards* null infinity) in all gauges, but different behavior in u_* *along* null infinity. In particular note that Ω differs by a prefactor even at the leading order.

Nonetheless — since $\lim_{r\to\infty}(u_* + v_*)|_{\Sigma_r} = 0$ — we have in all gauges

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\chi\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = \frac{\Lambda}{3} \tag{4.29}$$

in agreement with the Gauss equation (1.49). Moreover, we have in all gauges,

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}|2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi| = 0 \qquad \lim_{r\to\infty}|2\underline{\hat{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| = 0\,. \tag{4.30}$$

Gauge	*Edd.-Finkelstein*	*Kruskal*	"*Initial data*"
Ω^2	$\frac{\Lambda}{3}r^2$	$\frac{1}{4}\frac{\Lambda}{3}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^2}r^2$	$\frac{1}{4}\frac{\Lambda}{3}\frac{1}{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}^2}e^{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}r^2$
$\operatorname{tr}\chi$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$
$\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}v_*}$	$2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{-\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$
$\hat{\omega}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{-2\kappa v_*}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$
$\underline{\hat{\omega}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{-2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}e^{-\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$
q	1	$e^{2\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$	$e^{\kappa_{\mathcal{C}}u_*}$

Table 1: Schwarzschild de Sitter values in Eddington-Finkelstein, Kruskal and "Initial data" gauges, asymptotically towards null infinity.

and

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}|q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi|=0 \tag{4.31}$$

In fact, we have here in all gauges

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}=\lim_{r\to\infty}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi=2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\,. \tag{4.32}$$

5 Global redshift effect

This Section contains a central part of this paper: We will prove a non-trivial bound for the Weyl curvature in spacetimes that satisfy our assumptions. This is achieved by means of energy estimates for the Bianchi equations, which are recalled in some generality in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2 we will construct a suitable "multiplier vectorfield" whose associated energy is "redshifted", or "damped" in a fashion that is related to the expansion of the spacetime. This approach will then be further developed in Section 6 to obtain also bounds on the derivatives of the Weyl curvature.

5.1 Energy identity

Let us recall that the conformal curvature tensor W satisfies the contracted Bianchi equations (1.26). The Bel-Robinson tensor $Q(W)$ defined by (1.27) is symmetric and trace-free *in all indices*; c.f. Proposition 12.5 in [Chr09]. Moreover it is non-negative when evaluated on future-directed causal vectors.

We have — by Proposition 12.6 in [Chr09], as a consequence of (1.26) — that $Q(W)$ is divergence-free, see (1.28). In particular, if we define the energy current P associated to W as in (1.35) then it follows from (1.28) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla^\alpha P[W]_\alpha^{(X,Y,Z)} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(X)}\pi^{\alpha\beta}Y^\gamma Z^\delta - \frac{1}{2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}X^{\beta(Y)}\pi^{\alpha\gamma}Z^\delta - \frac{1}{2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}X^\beta Y^{\gamma(Z)}\pi^{\alpha\delta} \quad (5.1)\end{aligned}$$

In view of the trace-free property of $Q[W]$ it is actually only the trace-free part of the deformation tensor that enters here:

$$ {}^{(X)}\hat{\pi} = {}^{(X)}\pi - \frac{1}{4}(\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(X)}\pi)\, g \quad (5.2)$$

$$ {}^{(X)}\pi = \mathcal{L}_X g \quad {}^{(X)}\pi_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\mu X_\nu + \nabla_\nu X_\mu \quad (5.3)$$

$$ Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(X)}\pi^{\alpha\beta}Y^\gamma Z^\delta = Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}Y^\gamma Z^\delta \quad (5.4)$$

Using also the symmetry with respect to any index we finally obtain:

$$ \nabla^\alpha P[W]_\alpha^{(X,Y,Z)} = -\frac{1}{2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\Big({}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}Y^\gamma Z^\delta + {}^{(Y)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}X^\gamma Z^\delta + {}^{(Z)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}X^\gamma Y^\delta\Big) \quad (5.5)$$

We defined P as a 1-form. Let ${}^*P$ be the dual of the corresponding vectorfield $P^\sharp$, which is a 3-form:

$$ {}^*P_{\nu\kappa\lambda} = P^\mu \epsilon_{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \quad (5.6)$$

Here $\epsilon = \mathrm{d}\mu_g$ is the volume form of g. The exterior derivative of ${}^*P$ is a 4-form, and hence must be proportional to the volume form:

$$ \mathrm{d}{}^*P = f\mathrm{d}\mu_g \quad (5.7)$$

Moreover,

$$ f = -{}^*\mathrm{d}{}^*P = \nabla^\mu P_\mu \quad (5.8)$$

so we can conclude

$$ \mathrm{d}{}^*P = \nabla^\mu P_\mu \,\mathrm{d}\mu_g\,. \quad (5.9)$$

This implies, that integrated on any spacetime region $\mathcal{D}$, we have by virtue of Stokes theorem,

$$ \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} {}^*P = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \mathrm{d}{}^*P = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla^\mu P_\mu \mathrm{d}\mu_g \quad (5.10)$$

Let the domain $\mathcal{D}$ be as in Figure 12, namely

$$ \mathcal{D}^{(\underline{u},\underline{v})}_{(r_1,r_2)} = \bigcup_{r_1\le r\le r_2} \Sigma_r \bigcap \{u \le \underline{u}\} \bigcap \{v \le \underline{v}\} \quad (5.11)$$

so that

$$ \partial\mathcal{D} = \Sigma^c_{r_1} \cup C^c_{\underline{u}} \cup \Sigma^c_{r_2} \cup \underline{C}^c_{\underline{v}} \quad (5.12)$$



Figure 12: Spacetime domain used in energy identities

where superscript c denotes that these surfaces are appropriately "capped". We have

$$\int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} {}^*P = \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} P^n \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_2}} = \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} -g(P,n)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_2}} = \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} Q[W](n,X,Y,Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_{r_2}} \tag{5.13}$$

where n is the unit normal to Σ_r; note that in the boundary integrals arising in Stokes theorem, the normal is always outward pointing, in particular it will have the opposite sign on the past boundary Σ_{r_1} . For the null boundaries we recall first from (1.204-6) in [Chr09] that

$$\epsilon = \mathrm{d}\mu_g = 2\Omega^2\sqrt{\det \not{g}}\, \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}v \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \tag{5.14a}$$

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = \sqrt{\det \not{g}}\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \tag{5.14b}$$

and then calculate, using that $(u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ are coordinates on $\underline{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\underline{C}^c_{\underline{v}}} {}^*P &= -\int {}^*P(\partial_u, \partial_{\vartheta^1}, \partial_{\vartheta_2})\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \\
&= -\int P^\mu \epsilon_{\mu u \vartheta^1 \vartheta^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 = \int P^v \epsilon_{uv\vartheta^1\vartheta^2}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \\
&= \int 2\Omega^2 P^v \sqrt{\det \not{g}}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 = -\int g(P,\partial_u)\sqrt{\det \not{g}}\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \\
&= \int Q[W](\partial_u, X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = \int \Omega Q[W](\hat{\underline{L}}, X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}u\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

where we used that

$$P^\sharp = P^u\partial_u + P^v\partial_v + P^A\partial_{\vartheta^A} \tag{5.16}$$

$$g(P,\partial_u) = -2\Omega^2 P^v\,. \tag{5.17}$$

On the null hypersurface C_u we have to be more careful because

$$g(P,\partial_v) = -2\Omega^2 P^u + P^v \not{g}_{AB} b^A b^B - \not{g}_{AB} P^A b^B \tag{5.18}$$

However,

$$g(P, b^A\partial_{\vartheta^A}) = -P^v \not{g}_{AB} b^A b^B + \not{g}_{AB} P^B b^A \tag{5.19}$$

and so

$$g(P, \partial_v + b^A\partial_{\vartheta^A}) = -2\Omega^2 P^u \tag{5.20}$$

Therefore, similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_u} {}^*P &= \int {}^*P_{v\vartheta^1\vartheta^2}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\vartheta^2\vartheta^2 = \int 2\Omega^2 P^u \sqrt{\det \not{g}}\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\vartheta^1\vartheta^2 \\ &= -\int g(P, \partial_v + b^A\partial_{\vartheta^A})\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = \int \Omega Q[W](\hat{L}, X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}v\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

To summarize we have proven the following:

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(\mathcal{M}, g)$ be a spacetime, g be globally expressed in double null gauge on a domain $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{M}$, such that the level sets of the area radius r are* spacelike *on $\mathcal{R}$. Moreover let $\mathcal{D}$ be a domain of the form* (5.11)*;see Fig. 12. Then for any Weyl field W satisfying the Bianchi equations*

$$\nabla^\alpha W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = J_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{5.22}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int \mathrm{d}v \int_{S_{\underline{u},v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}}\, \Omega Q[W](\hat{L}, X, Y, Z) + \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} Q[W](n, X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_{r_2}} \\ + \int_{\mathcal{D}} \big(\mathrm{div}\, Q(W)\big)(X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_g + \int \mathrm{d}u \int_{S_{u,\underline{v}}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \Omega Q[W](\underline{\hat{L}}, X, Y, Z) \\ + \int_{\mathcal{D}} K^{(X,Y,Z)}[W]\mathrm{d}\mu_g = \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_1}} Q[W](n, X, Y, Z)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_{r_1}}\end{aligned} \tag{5.23}$$

where $Q[W]$ denotes the Bel-Robinson tensor of W, and

$$K^{(X,Y,Z)}[W] = \frac{1}{2} Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\Big({}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta} Y^\gamma Z^\delta + {}^{(Y)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta} X^\gamma Z^\delta + {}^{(Z)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta} X^\gamma Y^\delta\Big)\,. \tag{5.24}$$

Proof. By (5.9),

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \nabla^\mu P_\mu \mathrm{d}\mu_g = \int_{\partial\mathcal{D}} {}^*P = \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} {}^*P + \int_{C^c_{\underline{u}}} {}^*P + \int_{\underline{C}^c_{\underline{v}}} {}^*P - \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_1}} {}^*P \tag{5.25}$$

and we can insert the expressions (5.13) and (5.21) for the energy flux from above.

If $J = 0$, or W is the *conformal* Weyl field, then by (5.5)

$$-\nabla^\alpha P[W]^{(X,Y,Z)}_\alpha = K^{(X,Y,Z)}[W] \tag{5.26}$$

More generally, if $J \neq 0$, then the divergence contains the additional term

$$-\nabla^\alpha P[W]^{(X,Y,Z)}_\alpha = (\mathrm{div}\, Q(W))(X, Y, Z) + K^{(X,Y,Z)}[W]\,. \tag{5.27}$$

□

5.2 Global redshift vectorfield

We define

$$M = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\hat{\underline{L}} + \hat{L}\Big)\,. \tag{5.28}$$

Note M is time-like future-directed, and the associated energy flux (5.13) is positive. Its crucial property however is that also the associated divergence (5.9) has a sign and bounds the energy flux, which lends it the name of a "redshift vectorfield".

Remark 5.2. The choice (5.28) is motivated by the form of the "global redshift vectorfield" used in our treatment of linear waves on Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmologies in [Sch15]. Therein we introduced

$$M = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \tag{5.29}$$

relative to coordinates $(t, r, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ such that in the cosmological region the metric takes the form (1.23) with

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1}}\,, \tag{5.30}$$

and

$$\overline{g}_r = \Big(\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1\Big)\mathrm{d}t^2 + r^2\, \mathring{\gamma}_{AB}\, \mathrm{d}\vartheta^A \mathrm{d}\vartheta^B\,. \tag{5.31}$$

Alternatively, using the gradient vectorfield V of r introduced in (3.36), the this vectorfield can be expressed as

$$M = \frac{1}{r}\phi^2 V\,, \tag{5.32}$$

and in the coordinates introduced in Section 4.2,

$$V = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_*}\Big) \tag{5.33}$$

which implies

$$M = \frac{\phi^2}{2r}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_*}\Big) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{r}\frac{1}{\frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} + \frac{2m}{r} - 1}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_*}\Big)\,. \tag{5.34}$$

In fact, as discussed in Section 4.1 of [Sch15] it is equivalent to use the vectorfield

$$M' = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\Big(\frac{\partial}{\partial u_*} + \frac{\partial}{\partial v_*}\Big)\,, \tag{5.35}$$

which takes a remarkably simple form, and coincides precisely with (5.28).

5.2.1 Fluxes

We will derive an energy identity associated to the multiplier vectorfield M on a domain foliated by level sets of the area radius r. Let us first look at the energy flux of a current constructed from M through a surface Σ_r. Recall here Lemma 3.1 concerning the normal to Σ_r.

Lemma 5.3. *Let M denote the vectorfield (5.28) and P^M the current*

$$P^M[W] = P[W]^{(M,M,M)}\,. \tag{5.36}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^M = \int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big\{ & q|\underline{\alpha}[W]|^2 + 2(3q+q^{-1})|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2 \\ & + 6(q+q^{-1})\big(\rho[W]^2+\sigma[W]^2\big) + 2(q+3q^{-1})|\beta[W]|^2 + q^{-1}|\alpha[W]|^2\Big\}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\end{aligned} \tag{5.37}$$

and

$$\int_{C_u} {}^*P^M = \int \mathrm{d}v \int_{S_{u,v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} \frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\Big[2|\underline{\beta}|^2 + 6\rho^2 + 6\sigma^2 + 6|\beta|^2 + |\alpha|^2\Big] \tag{5.38}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 12.2 in [Chr09]. □

5.2.2 Deformation Tensor

Next we calculate the components of the trace-free part of the deformation tensor of M, which enter the expression for $K^{(M,M,M)}$ in (5.24).

Lemma 5.4. *The null components of the trace-free part $\hat{\pi}$ of the deformation tensor of M are given by*

$$\hat{\pi}^{\hat{\underline{L}}\hat{\underline{L}}} = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\Omega} \quad \hat{\pi}^{\hat{\underline{L}}\hat{L}} = \frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \operatorname{tr}\chi\Big) \quad \hat{\pi}^{\hat{L}\hat{L}} = \frac{\hat{\underline{\omega}}}{\Omega} \tag{5.39a}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^{\hat{\underline{L}}A} = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{\eta}^{\sharp A} \qquad \hat{\pi}^{\hat{L}A} = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\eta^{\sharp A} \tag{5.39b}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\hat{\underline{\chi}}^{\sharp\sharp AB} + \hat{\chi}^{\sharp\sharp AB} + \frac{1}{4}\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)(g^{-1})^{AB}\Big) \tag{5.39c}$$

Proof. We have

$$\nabla_{\hat{\underline{L}}} M = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\eta^\sharp - \hat{\underline{\omega}}\hat{L}\Big) \tag{5.40a}$$

$$\nabla_{\hat{L}} M = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\eta}^\sharp - \hat{\omega}\hat{\underline{L}}\Big) \tag{5.40b}$$

$$\nabla_A M = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\chi}_A^\sharp - \underline{\eta}_A\hat{\underline{L}} + \chi_A^\sharp - \eta_A\hat{L}\Big) \tag{5.40c}$$

and thus

$$\pi_{\underline{\hat{L}}\underline{\hat{L}}} = 2g(\nabla_{\underline{\hat{L}}} M, \underline{\hat{L}}) = \frac{4}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{\omega}} \tag{5.41a}$$

$$\pi_{\hat{L}\hat{L}} = 2g(\nabla_{\hat{L}} M, \hat{L}) = \frac{4}{\Omega}\hat{\omega} \tag{5.41b}$$

$$\pi_{\underline{\hat{L}}\hat{L}} = 0 \tag{5.41c}$$

$$\pi_{\underline{\hat{L}}e_A} = g(\nabla_{\underline{\hat{L}}} M, e_A) + g(\underline{\hat{L}}, \nabla_A M) = \frac{2}{\Omega}\eta_A \tag{5.41d}$$

$$\pi_{\hat{L}e_A} = \frac{2}{\Omega}\underline{\eta}_A \tag{5.41e}$$

$$\pi_{e_A e_B} = g(\nabla_A M, e_A) + g(e_A, \nabla_B M) = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\chi}_{AB} + \chi_{AB}\Big) \tag{5.41f}$$

and

$$\operatorname{tr}\pi = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \operatorname{tr}\chi\Big) \tag{5.42}$$

Therefore

$$\hat{\pi}_{\underline{\hat{L}}\underline{\hat{L}}} = \frac{4}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{\omega}} \qquad \hat{\pi}_{\hat{L}\hat{L}} = \frac{4}{\Omega}\hat{\omega} \tag{5.43a}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{\underline{\hat{L}}\hat{L}} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \operatorname{tr}\chi\Big) \tag{5.43b}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{\underline{\hat{L}}e_A} = \frac{2}{\Omega}\eta_A \qquad \hat{\pi}_{\hat{L}e_A} = \frac{2}{\Omega}\underline{\eta}_A \tag{5.43c}$$

$$\hat{\pi}_{e_A e_B} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AB} + \hat{\chi}_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)g_{AB}\Big) \tag{5.43d}$$

or alternatively

$$\hat{\pi}^{\underline{\hat{L}}\underline{\hat{L}}} = \frac{1}{4}\hat{\pi}_{\hat{L}\hat{L}} = \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\Omega} \qquad \hat{\pi}^{\hat{L}\hat{L}} = \frac{\underline{\hat{\omega}}}{\Omega} \tag{5.44a}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^{\underline{\hat{L}}\hat{L}} = \frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \operatorname{tr}\chi\Big) \tag{5.44b}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^{\underline{\hat{L}}A} = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{\eta}^{\sharp A} \qquad \hat{\pi}^{\hat{L}A} = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\eta^{\sharp A} \tag{5.44c}$$

$$\hat{\pi}^{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\hat{\chi}}^{\sharp\sharp AB} + \hat{\chi}^{\sharp\sharp AB} + \frac{1}{4}\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)(g^{-1})^{AB}\Big)\,. \tag{5.44d}$$

□

Now we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} K^M := K^{(M,M,M)}[W] &= \frac{3}{2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}M^\gamma M^\delta \\ &= \frac{3}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}\underline{\hat{L}}^\gamma\underline{\hat{L}}^\delta + \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}\underline{\hat{L}}^\gamma\hat{L}^\delta \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}\hat{L}^\gamma\hat{L}^\delta \end{aligned} \tag{5.45}$$

which involves the null components of $Q[W]$. These are quadratic expressions in the Weyl curvature, which are given by Lemma 12.2 in [Chr09]. In particular, we have

$$Q[W](\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}}) = 2|\underline{\alpha}[W]|^2 \qquad Q[W](\hat{L},\hat{L},\hat{L},\hat{L}) = 2|\alpha[W]|^2 \tag{5.46a}$$

$$Q[W](\hat{L},\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}}) = 4|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2 \qquad Q[W](\underline{\hat{L}},\hat{L},\hat{L},\hat{L}) = 4|\beta[W]|^2 \tag{5.46b}$$

$$Q(W)(\underline{\hat{L}},\underline{\hat{L}},\hat{L},\hat{L}) = 4\big(\rho[W]^2+\sigma[W]^2\big) \tag{5.46c}$$

Lemma 5.5. *With M defined by* (5.28)*, we have*

$$K^M[W] = K_+[W] + K_-[W] \tag{5.47}$$

where

$$\frac{\Omega^3}{3}K_+[W] = \frac{1}{4}\hat{\omega}|\underline{\alpha}[W]|^2+\hat{\omega}|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2+\frac{1}{2}(\hat{\underline{\omega}}+\hat{\omega})(\rho[W]^2+\sigma[W]^2)+\underline{\hat{\omega}}|\beta[W]|^2+\frac{1}{4}\underline{\hat{\omega}}|\alpha[W]|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi+\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\Big(\frac{1}{2}|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2+\rho[W]^2+\sigma[W]^2+\frac{1}{2}|\beta[W]|^2\Big) \tag{5.48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Omega^3}{3}K_-[W] &= \frac{1}{2}\underline{\alpha}[W](\underline{\eta}^\sharp,\underline{\beta}^\sharp[W]) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha[W](\eta^\sharp,\beta^\sharp[W])\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\rho[W]\big((2\underline{\eta}^\sharp+\eta^\sharp)\cdot\underline{\beta}[W]-(2\eta+\underline{\eta}^\sharp)\cdot\beta[W]\big)+\frac{1}{2}\sigma[W]\big((2\underline{\eta}^\sharp+\eta^\sharp)\cdot{}^*\underline{\beta}[W]+(2\eta^\sharp+\underline{\eta}^\sharp)\cdot{}^*\beta[W]\big)\\ &-(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi})(\underline{\beta}[W]^\sharp,\beta[W]^\sharp)+\frac{1}{4}\rho[W](\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha}[W]+\alpha[W])+\frac{1}{4}\sigma[W](\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},{}^*\underline{\alpha}[W]-{}^*\alpha[W])\end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

Proof. Using the expressions for the null components of the Bel-Robinson tensor listed in Proposition 12.2 in [Chr09] we note first that

$$\underline{\eta}^{\sharp A}Q_{3A33} = -4\underline{\alpha}(\underline{\eta}^\sharp,\underline{\beta}^\sharp) \tag{5.50a}$$

$$\eta^{\sharp A}Q_{4A33} = -4\rho\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}-4\sigma\eta^\sharp\cdot{}^*\underline{\beta} \tag{5.50b}$$

$$\big(\hat{\underline{\chi}}^{\sharp\sharp AB}+\hat{\chi}^{\sharp\sharp AB}\big)Q_{AB33} = 2\rho(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha})+2\sigma(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},{}^*\underline{\alpha}) \tag{5.50c}$$

$$(g^{-1})^{AB}Q_{AB33} = 4|\underline{\beta}|^2 \tag{5.50d}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\, Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}\underline{\hat{L}}^\gamma\underline{\hat{L}}^\delta &= 2\hat{\omega}|\underline{\alpha}|^2+4\hat{\underline{\omega}}(\rho^2+\sigma^2)+2(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+\operatorname{tr}\chi)|\underline{\beta}|^2\\ &+4\underline{\alpha}(\underline{\eta}^\sharp,\underline{\beta}^\sharp)+4\rho\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}+4\sigma\eta^\sharp\cdot{}^*\underline{\beta}+2\rho(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha})+2\sigma(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},{}^*\underline{\alpha})\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.51}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega\, Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,\pi^{\alpha\beta}\hat{L}^\gamma\hat{L}^\delta &= 2\hat{\underline{\omega}}|\alpha|^2+4\hat{\omega}(\rho^2+\sigma^2)+2(\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+\operatorname{tr}\chi)|\beta|^2\\ &-4\alpha(\eta^\sharp,\beta^\sharp)-4\rho\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta+4\sigma\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot{}^*\beta+2\rho(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},\alpha)-2\sigma(\hat{\underline{\chi}}+\hat{\chi},{}^*\alpha)\,.\end{aligned} \tag{5.52}$$

Moreover, by Proposition 12.2 in [Chr09] we have

$$\underline{\eta}^{\sharp A} Q_{3A34} = -4\rho\underline{\eta}^{\sharp} \cdot \underline{\beta} - 4\sigma\underline{\eta}^{\sharp} \cdot {}^*\underline{\beta} \tag{5.53a}$$

$$\eta^{\sharp A} Q_{4A34} = 4\rho\eta^{\sharp} \cdot \beta - 4\sigma\eta^{\sharp A} \cdot {}^*\beta \tag{5.53b}$$

$$\Big(\underline{\hat{\chi}}^{\sharp\sharp AB} + \hat{\chi}^{\sharp\sharp AB}\Big) Q_{AB34} = -2\Big(\underline{\hat{\chi}} + \hat{\chi}, \underline{\beta}[W] \hat{\otimes} \beta[W]\Big) \tag{5.53c}$$

$$(g^{-1})^{AB} Q_{AB34} = 4\Big(\rho^2 + \sigma^2\Big) \tag{5.53d}$$

where

$$\Big(\underline{\hat{\chi}} + \hat{\chi}, \underline{\beta}[W] \hat{\otimes} \beta[W]\Big) = 2(\underline{\hat{\chi}} + \hat{\chi})(\underline{\beta}[W]^\sharp, \beta[W]^\sharp)\,. \tag{5.54}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \pi^{\alpha\beta} \underline{\hat{L}}^\gamma \hat{L}^\delta = {} & 4\hat{\omega}|\underline{\beta}|^2 + 4\underline{\hat{\omega}}|\beta|^2 + 2\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\Big(\rho^2 + \sigma^2\Big) \\ & + 4\rho[W]\underline{\eta}^\sharp \cdot \underline{\beta} + 4\sigma\underline{\eta}^\sharp \cdot {}^*\underline{\beta} - 4\rho\eta^\sharp \cdot \beta + 4\sigma\eta^{\sharp A} \cdot {}^*\beta - 4(\underline{\hat{\chi}} + \hat{\chi})(\underline{\beta}^\sharp, \beta^\sharp)\end{aligned} \tag{5.55}$$

Summing up these contributions according to (5.45) yields the statement of the Lemma. □

Remark 5.6. We already see that $K_+[W]$ is manifestly positive if $\operatorname{tr}\chi > 0$, $\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} > 0$, and $\hat{\omega} > 0$, $\underline{\hat{\omega}} > 0$, as it will be the case under our assumptions.

5.2.3 Lorentz Transformations

We will see in Section 5.3 that the simple choice (5.28) for M suffices to obtain the desired energy estimate for the Weyl curvature W. However, it turns out in Section 6 that a more refined choice is necessary to obtain an estimates for higher order energies.

The required adjustment amounts to co-aligning the vectorfield with the normal to Σ_r. This can be achieved by formally keeping exactly the same definition of M, *but* changing the null frame that is used in (5.28). The fact that we can keep this simple definition in terms of another null frame will be computationally very advantageous.

A simple Lorentz transformation is given by:

$$\underline{\hat{L}} \mapsto a\underline{\hat{L}} \qquad \hat{L} \mapsto a^{-1}\hat{L} \tag{5.56}$$

for some function $a > 0$.

Remark 5.7. Let us give a heuristic discussion for the choice of a which aligns M with n. Regarding the normal, we have by Lemma 3.1, and taking $r \to \infty$,

$$\phi n = \frac{1}{r}\frac{\Omega}{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}}\underline{\hat{L}} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\Omega}{\overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi}} \to \frac{1}{r}\Big(\frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}\underline{\hat{L}} + \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}\chi}\hat{L}\Big) \tag{5.57}$$

where we have neglected asymptotic deviations from spherical averages. Since by the Gauss equation (1.49),

$$\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}\chi\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\to\frac{\Lambda}{3} \tag{5.58}$$

we can expect that for some function a_χ, as $r\to\infty$,

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi\to 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}a_\chi\qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\to 2\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}a_\chi^{-1} \tag{5.59}$$

Now we see clearly that the function a_χ appearing in the asymptotics of $\operatorname{tr}\chi$, and $\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}$, is the required rescaling of the null vectors in (5.56). More precisely, with $a=a_\chi$, we can expect that M, formally given by (5.28) relative to a frame resulting from the Lorentz transformation (5.56), satifies asymptotically

$$M\to\phi n\,. \tag{5.60}$$

For any function $a>0$, let us denote by

$$e_3=a\hat{\underline{L}}\qquad e_4=a^{-1}\hat{L} \tag{5.61}$$

and $e_A : A=1,2$ an arbitrary frame on the spheres. Note that both $(\hat{\underline{L}},\hat{L};e_A)$ and $(e_3,e_4;e_A)$ are null frames. Here the frame $(\hat{\underline{L}},\hat{L};e_A)$ is the null frame derived from the double null coordiantes, and we continue to denote by $\hat{\underline{\omega}},\hat{\omega},\eta$, etc. the associated structure coefficients. Now for the frame $(e_3,e_4;e_A)$ we find the following connection coefficients:

$$\nabla_3 e_3=\big(a\hat{\underline{\omega}}+\hat{\underline{L}}a\big)e_3\qquad \nabla_4 e_4=\Big(a^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\hat{L}a^{-1}\Big)e_4 \tag{5.62a}$$

$$\nabla_3 e_4=2\underline{\eta}^\sharp-\big(a\hat{\underline{\omega}}-a^2\hat{\underline{L}}a^{-1}\big)e_4\qquad \nabla_4 e_3=2\underline{\eta}^\sharp-\big(a^{-1}\hat{\omega}-a^{-2}\hat{L}a\big)e_3 \tag{5.62b}$$

$$\nabla_A e_3=a\underline{\chi}_A^\sharp+\big(\zeta_A+\not{d}_A\log a\big)e_3\qquad \nabla_A e_4=a^{-1}\chi_A^\sharp-\big(\zeta_A+\not{d}_A\log a\big)e_4 \tag{5.62c}$$

$$\nabla_3 e_A=\nabla\!\!\!/_3 e_A+\eta_A e_3\qquad \nabla_4 e_A=\nabla\!\!\!/_4 e_A+\underline{\eta}_A e_4 \tag{5.62d}$$

$$\nabla_A e_B=\nabla\!\!\!/_A e_B+\frac{1}{2}a^{-1}\chi_{AB}e_3+\frac{1}{2}a\underline{\chi}_{AB}e_4 \tag{5.62e}$$

Also note:

$$e_3\log\Omega=a\hat{\underline{\omega}}\qquad e_4\log\Omega=a^{-1}\hat{\omega} \tag{5.63}$$

In other words, the Lorentz transformation (5.56) induces the following transformations of the structure coefficients:

$$\underline{\chi}\mapsto a\underline{\chi}\qquad \chi\mapsto a^{-1}\chi \tag{5.64a}$$

$$\zeta\mapsto\zeta+\not{d}\log a\qquad \eta\mapsto\eta\qquad \underline{\eta}\to\underline{\eta} \tag{5.64b}$$

$$\hat{\underline{\omega}}\mapsto a\hat{\underline{\omega}}+\frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{D}a\qquad \hat{\omega}\mapsto a^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\frac{1}{\Omega}Da^{-1} \tag{5.64c}$$

Lemma 5.8. *For any function $a = a(u, v, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2) > 0$, let M_a denote the vectorfield*

$$M_a = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(a\underline{\hat{L}} + a^{-1}\hat{L}\big) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(e_3 + e_4\big) \tag{5.65}$$

Then with respect to the null frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ the null components of the deformation tensor of M_a are:

$$^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{33} = \frac{4}{\Omega}a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \frac{2}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{L}}a \qquad ^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{44} = \frac{4}{\Omega}a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{2}{\Omega}\hat{L}a^{-1} \tag{5.66a}$$

$$^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(\underline{\hat{L}}a + \hat{L}a^{-1}\big) \tag{5.66b}$$

$$^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{3A} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(2\eta_A + \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big) \qquad ^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{4A} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(2\underline{\eta}_A - \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big) \tag{5.66c}$$

$$^{(M_a)}\hat{\pi}_{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(a\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AB} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi}_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}\big(a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)\delta\!\!\!/_{AB}\Big) \tag{5.66d}$$

where $\underline{\chi}, \chi, \eta, \underline{\eta}, \zeta, \underline{\hat{\omega}}, \hat{\omega}$ are the structure coefficients associated to the null frame $(\underline{\hat{L}}, \hat{L}; e_A)$.

Proof. Analogously to Lemma 5.4 we first compute

$$\nabla_3 M = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(\eta^\sharp - a\underline{\hat{\omega}}e_4\big) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}(\underline{\hat{L}}a)\big(e_3 - e_4\big) \tag{5.67a}$$

$$\nabla_4 M = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(\underline{\eta}^\sharp - a^{-1}\hat{\omega}e_3\big) + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}(\hat{L}a^{-1})(e_4 - e_3) \tag{5.67b}$$

$$\nabla_A M = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(a\underline{\chi}_A^\sharp + a^{-1}\chi_A^\sharp\big) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(\underline{\eta}_A - \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big)e_3 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\Omega}\big(\eta_A + \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big)e_4 \tag{5.67c}$$

using the frame relations (5.62) and (5.63), and then infer

$$\pi_{33} = \frac{4}{\Omega}a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \frac{2}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{L}}a \qquad \pi_{44} = \frac{4}{\Omega}a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{2}{\Omega}\hat{L}a^{-1} \tag{5.68a}$$

$$\pi_{34} = -\frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{L}}a - \frac{1}{\Omega}\hat{L}a^{-1} \tag{5.68b}$$

$$\pi_{3A} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(2\eta_A + \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big) \qquad \pi_{4A} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(2\underline{\eta}_A - \nabla\!\!\!/_A \log a\big) \tag{5.68c}$$

$$\pi_{AB} = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(a\underline{\chi}_{AB} + a^{-1}\chi_{AB}\big) \tag{5.68d}$$

and

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\pi = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}a + \hat{L}a^{-1}\big) \tag{5.69}$$

which gives the formulas of the Lemma for the trace free part of π. □

We continue to denote by $(\underline{\alpha}[W], \alpha[W], \underline{\beta}[W], \beta[W], \rho[W], \sigma[W])$ the null components of W with respect to the null frame $(\underline{\hat{L}}, \hat{L}; e_A)$. To avoid confusion, we will explicitly denote the null components of W with respect to the null frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ by

$(\underline{\alpha}_a[W], \alpha_a[W], \underline{\beta}_a[W], \beta_a[W], \rho_a[W], \sigma_a[W])$, and note that

$$\underline{\alpha}_a[W] = a^2\underline{\alpha}[W] \qquad \alpha_a[W] = a^{-2}\alpha[W] \tag{5.70a}$$

$$\underline{\beta}_a[W] = a\underline{\beta}[W] \qquad \beta_a[W] = a^{-1}\beta[W] \tag{5.70b}$$

$$\rho_a[W] = \rho[W] \qquad \sigma_a[W] = \sigma[W] \tag{5.70c}$$

Note that if we take $a := q$, where q is the quotient appearing in (3.40), then the normal takes the simple form

$$n = \frac{1}{2}\big(e_3 + e_4\big); \tag{5.71}$$

this, of course, is the purpose of introducing the frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ in the first place.

Moreover, it follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^{M_q}[W] &= \int_{\Sigma_r} Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\underline{\alpha}_q[W]|^2 + 8|\underline{\beta}_q[W]|^2 + 12\rho[W]^2 + 12\sigma[W]^2 + 8|\beta_q[W]|^2 + |\alpha_q[W]|^2\Big]\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\end{aligned} \tag{5.72}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{C_u} {}^*P^{M_q}[W] &= \int \mathrm{d}v \int_{S_{u,v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g}\Omega Q[W](\hat{L}, M_q, M_q, M_q) = \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}v \int_{S_{u,v}} \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g}\frac{q}{(2\Omega)^2}\Big[2|\underline{\beta}_q[W]|^2 + 6\rho[W]^2 + 6\sigma[W]^2 + 6|\beta_q[W]|^2 + |\alpha_q[W]|^2\Big]. \end{aligned} \tag{5.73}$$

Let us prove the analogue of Lemma 5.5:

Lemma 5.9. *Let M_a be defined as in* (5.65)*. Then*

$$K^{M_a}[W] = K^a_+[W] + K^a_-[W] \tag{5.74}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(2\Omega)^3}{3}K^a_+[W] &= \big(2a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)a^4|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + 2(a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 4a^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \underline{\hat{L}}a + \hat{L}a^{-1})a^2|\underline{\beta}|^2 \\ &\quad + \big(4a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 4a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\hat{L}}a + 4a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 4a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\hat{L}a^{-1}\big)(\rho^2 + \sigma^2) \\ &\quad + 2\big(a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 4a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)a^{-2}|\beta|^2 + \big(2a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}a\big)a^{-4}|\alpha|^2\end{aligned} \tag{5.75}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(2\Omega)^3}{3}K^a_-[W] &= +4a^3\underline{\alpha}(2\underline{\eta}^\sharp - \nabla\!\!\!/\log a, \underline{\beta}^\sharp) + 4a(\rho\underline{\beta} + \sigma^*\underline{\beta}, 2\eta + \nabla\!\!\!/\log a) + 2a^2(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \rho\underline{\alpha} + \sigma^*\underline{\alpha}) \\ &\quad + 8a(\rho\underline{\beta} + \sigma^*\underline{\beta}, 2\underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log a) - 8a^{-1}(\rho\beta - \sigma^*\beta, 2\eta + \not{d}\log a) - 8(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi})(\underline{\beta}^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) \\ &\quad - 4a^{-3}\alpha(2\eta^\sharp + \nabla\!\!\!/\log a, \beta^\sharp) - 4a^{-1}(\rho\beta - \sigma^*\beta, 2\underline{\eta} - \nabla\!\!\!/\log a) + 2a^{-2}(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \rho\alpha - \sigma^*\alpha)\end{aligned} \tag{5.76}$$

Remark 5.10. Note that the formula for K^a_+ reduces to (5.48) when $a = 1$. Moreover, the formulas in Lemma 5.9 are obtained from those in Lemma 5.5 with the replacements

$$\underline{\hat{\omega}} \mapsto a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\hat{L}}a \qquad \hat{\omega} \mapsto a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{L}a^{-1} \tag{5.77a}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + \operatorname{tr}\chi \mapsto a\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1} \tag{5.77b}$$

$$\underline{\eta} \mapsto \underline{\eta} - \frac{1}{2}\not{d}\log a \qquad \eta \mapsto \eta + \frac{1}{2}\not{d}\log a \tag{5.77c}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\chi}} \mapsto a\underline{\hat{\chi}} \qquad \hat{\chi} \mapsto a^{-1}\hat{\chi} \tag{5.77d}$$

(they agree with the correspondence (5.64) up to terms involving derivatives of a).

Proof. As in (5.45) we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^{M_a} = {} & \frac{3}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}e_3^\gamma e_3^\delta + \frac{3}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}e_3^\gamma e_4^\delta \\ & + \frac{3}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}Q[W]_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}{}^{(M)}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}e_4^\gamma e_4^\delta \end{aligned} \tag{5.78}$$

The statement of the Lemma then follows from the following contributions:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega\, Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}e_3^\gamma e_3^\delta = \\ & = \big(2a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)a^4|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + 2(a\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1})a^2|\underline{\beta}|^2 + \big(4a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2\underline{\hat{L}}a\big)(\rho^2+\sigma^2) \\ & \quad + 4a^3\underline{\alpha}(2\underline{\eta}^\sharp - \nabla\!\!\!/\log a, \underline{\beta}^\sharp) + 4a(\rho\underline{\beta} + \sigma^*\underline{\beta}, 2\eta + \nabla\!\!\!/\log a) + 2a^2(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \rho\underline{\alpha} + \sigma^*\underline{\alpha}) \end{aligned} \tag{5.79}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\hat{\pi}^{\alpha\beta}e_3^\gamma e_4^\delta = \\ & = \big(4a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 2\hat{L}a^{-1}\big)a^2|\underline{\beta}|^2 + 2\big(a\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)\big(\rho^2+\sigma^2\big) + \big(4a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2\underline{\hat{L}}a\big)a^{-2}|\beta|^2 \\ & \quad + 4a(\rho\underline{\beta} + \sigma^*\underline{\beta}, 2\underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log a) - 4a^{-1}(\rho\beta - \sigma^*\beta, 2\eta + \not{d}\log a) - 4(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi})(\underline{\beta}^\sharp, \beta^\sharp) \end{aligned} \tag{5.80}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega\, Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\,\pi^{\alpha\beta}\hat{L}^\gamma\hat{L}^\delta = \\ & = \big(2a\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}a\big)a^{-4}|\alpha|^2 + 2\big(a\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + a^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}a - \hat{L}a^{-1}\big)a^{-2}|\beta|^2 + \big(4a^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 2\hat{L}a^{-1}\big)(\rho^2+\sigma^2) \\ & - 4a^{-3}\alpha(2\eta^\sharp + \nabla\!\!\!/\log a, \beta^\sharp) - 4a^{-1}(\rho\beta - \sigma^*\beta, 2\underline{\eta} - \nabla\!\!\!/\log a) + 2a^{-2}(a\underline{\hat{\chi}} + a^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \rho\alpha - \sigma^*\alpha) \end{aligned} \tag{5.81}$$

□

5.3 Global redshift estimate

In this Section we will show that the energy on Σ_r associated to the current $P^M[W]$ decays uniformly in r. The decay mechanism lies in the expansion of the spacetime — as manifested in our assumptions, in particular $\operatorname{tr}\chi > 0$, and $\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} > 0$ — and results in the positivity of the K^M, which we have proven in Section 5.2.

In order to exploit the positivity of $K_+[W]$ in the bulk term — in comparison to the flux terms associated to $P^M[W]$ — we need a version of the coarea formula: We foliate the spacetime domain $\mathcal{D}$ by the level sets of $r(u,v) = c$, and first note that we have already calculated the normal separation of the leaves in Lemma 3.1:

$$\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g(V,V)}} = \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega}{\sqrt{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}\,\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}}} \tag{5.82}$$

Therefore we have, for any function f,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} f \mathrm{d}\mu_g = \int \mathrm{d}r \int_{\Sigma_r} \phi f \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} = \int \mathrm{d}r \int_{\Sigma_r} \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega f}{\sqrt{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}\,\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}}}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \tag{5.83}$$

Lemma 5.11. *Let the null structure coefficients satisfy*

$$\operatorname{tr}\chi > 0 \qquad \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} > 0 \tag{5.84a}$$

$$|2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi| \leq \epsilon \operatorname{tr}\chi \qquad |2\hat{\underline{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq \epsilon \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \tag{5.84b}$$

$$|\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi - \overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}| \leq \epsilon\,\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi} \qquad |\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon\,\Omega\overline{\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \tag{5.84c}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} K_+[W]\mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq 6(1-\epsilon)^2 \int \mathrm{d}r \frac{1}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^M[W] \tag{5.85}$$

Proof. Consider the expression (5.48) for K_+ in Lemma 5.5. We compare the coefficients to each curvature term with the corresponding coefficients in the expression (5.37) for the curvature flux ${}^*P^M$ in Lemma 5.3. We begin with α, $\underline{\alpha}$, β, $\underline{\beta}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\left[\frac{3}{4}\hat{\omega}|\underline{\alpha}[W]|^2 + 3\hat{\omega}|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\Big(|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2 + |\beta[W]|^2\Big) + 3\hat{\underline{\omega}}|\beta[W]|^2 + \frac{3}{4}\hat{\underline{\omega}}|\alpha[W]|^2\right] \\
&\geq \frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{8}\left[\operatorname{tr}\chi|\underline{\alpha}[W]|^2 + 2\Big(3\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big)|\underline{\beta}[W]|^2 + 2\Big(\operatorname{tr}\chi + 3\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big)|\beta[W]|^2 + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}|\alpha[W]|^2\right]
\end{aligned}$$

and continue with ρ, σ:

$$2\frac{3}{2}\Big[\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{\omega} + \operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big]\big(\rho[W]^2 + \sigma[W]^2\big) \geq \frac{6(1-\epsilon)}{8}6\Big[\operatorname{tr}\chi + \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big]\big(\rho[W]^2 + \sigma[W]^2\big)$$

We add up these two inequalties, and in view of the formula (5.82) for the lapse function, it then follows immediately from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.3 that

$$\phi K_+ \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \geq \frac{6(1-\epsilon)^2}{r} {}^*P^M$$

and therefore by the co-area formula

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} K_+ \mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq 6(1-\epsilon)^2 \int \mathrm{d}r \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^M \,.$$

□

It remains to estimate the error terms occuring in Lemma 5.5.

Lemma 5.12. *Let the structure coefficients satisfy*

$$4|\underline{\eta}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\hat{\omega} \quad 4|\eta|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\underline{\hat{\omega}} \tag{5.86a}$$

$$4|\underline{\eta}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon(\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}) \qquad 4|\eta|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon(\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}) \tag{5.86b}$$

$$4|\underline{\hat{\chi}}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\hat{\omega} \quad 4|\hat{\chi}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\hat{\omega} \tag{5.86c}$$

$$4|\underline{\hat{\chi}}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\underline{\hat{\omega}} \quad 4|\hat{\chi}|_{\not g} \leq \epsilon\underline{\hat{\omega}} \tag{5.86d}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$. Then

$$|K_-| \leq \epsilon K_+ \,. \tag{5.87}$$

Proof. Immediate from the expressions (5.48) and (5.49) obtained in Lemma 5.5. □

A completely analogous estimate can be derived for the vectorfield M_q under the assumptions (5.84), and an additional assumption on the derivatives of of q:

$$|D\log q| \leq \epsilon\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\underline{D}\log q| \leq \epsilon\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \tag{5.88}$$

Here we will state the redshift estimate for M_q under the stronger assumptions (***BA:I***.*i*), (***BA:I***.*ii*), (***BA:I***.*iii*), (***BA:I***.*iv*), introduced in Section 1.2:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}\,\chi > 0 \qquad & \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} > 0 \\ \Omega|2\hat{\omega} - \mathrm{tr}\,\chi| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad & \Omega|2\underline{\hat{\omega}} - \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \\ |\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - \overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi}| \leq \epsilon_0\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi} \qquad & |\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon_0\overline{\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}} \\ \Omega|\hat{\chi}|_{\not g} \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad & \Omega|\underline{\hat{\chi}}| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \end{aligned} \tag{***BA:I***.*i-iv*}$$

and the additional assumptions

$$|D\log q| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\underline{D}\log q| \leq C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \tag{***BA:I***.*v*}$$

$$\Omega|\eta| + \Omega|\underline{\eta}| + \Omega|\not{d}\log q| \leq C_0(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi) \tag{***BA:I***.*vi*}$$

Let us denote for simplicity by

$$P^q[W] := P^{(M_q,M_q,M_q)}\,, \qquad K^q[W] := K^{(M_q,M_q,M_q)}[W]\,. \tag{5.89}$$

Lemma 5.13. *Let the structure coefficients satisfy (**BA:I**) for some $0 < \epsilon_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, and $C_0 > 0$. Then*

$$\phi K^q_+[W]\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \geq \frac{6}{r}\big(1-\epsilon_0\big)^2 * P^q[W] \tag{5.90}$$

provided $C_0\Omega^{-1} \leq \epsilon_0$. Moreover, for some constant $C > 0$,

$$\phi|K^q_-[W]| \leq CC_0\Omega^{-1}\phi K^q_+[W]\,. \tag{5.91}$$

Proof. Note first that under the assumptions on the structure coefficients

$$\begin{gathered}
\Omega|\hat{\underline{L}}q| \leq C_0 q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \qquad \Omega|\hat{L}q^{-1}| \leq C_0 q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi \\
2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1} \geq (1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi \\
q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 4q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1} \geq (1-C_0\Omega^{-1})q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + 3(1-C_0\Omega^{-1})q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi \\
4q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 4q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - 2\hat{\underline{L}}q + 4q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 4q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2\hat{L}q^{-1} \geq (6-4C_0\Omega^{-1})q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + (6-4C_0\Omega^{-1})q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi \\
q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 4q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q - \hat{L}q^{-1} \geq 3(1-C_0\Omega^{-1})q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + (1-C_0\Omega^{-1})q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi \\
2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q \geq (1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}
\end{gathered}$$

Hence by Lemma 5.9:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(2\Omega)^3}{3}K^q_+[W] \geq{}& (1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi q^4|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + 2(1-C_0\Omega^{-1})\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)q^2|\underline{\beta}|^2 \\
&+ (6-4C_0\Omega^{-1})\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)(\rho^2+\sigma^2) \\
&+ 2(1-C_0\Omega^{-1})\big(3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)q^{-2}|\beta|^2 + (1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}q^{-4}|\alpha|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Secondly note that by the definition of q,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\, q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi &= \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}} \geq \frac{2}{r}(1-\epsilon_0) \\
\phi\, q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} &= \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}} \geq \frac{2}{r}(1-\epsilon_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{3}\phi K^q_+[W] \geq \frac{2}{r}\frac{(1-\epsilon_0)(1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[q^4|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + 8q^2|\underline{\beta}|^2 + 12(\rho^2+\sigma^2) + 8q^{-2}|\beta|^2 + q^{-4}|\alpha|^2\Big]$$

and thus in comparison to (5.72),

$$\phi K^q_+\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \geq (1-\epsilon_0)(1-2C_0\Omega^{-1})\,\frac{6}{r} * P^{M_q}$$

The final bound (5.91) follows by inspection of the formulas given in Lemma 5.9. □

The assumptions for Lemma 5.13 are referred to as "stronger" because the smallness parameter ϵ of (5.84) is replaced by Ω^{-1}, which by (***BA:III***.i) will be assumed to satisfy

$$\frac{C_0}{r} \geq \Omega^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{C_0 r} \tag{5.92}$$

We will state the main conclusion for the energy current associated to M_q, but this result of course also holds for the energy associated to M and the same proof applies.

Proposition 5.14. *Assume (**BA:I**) and (**BA:III**.i), for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $C_0 > 0$. Then there exists an $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, and a constant $C(r_0)$ such that for any solution W to (1.26),*

$$r^{6-\epsilon} \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W] \leq C(r_0) \int_{\Sigma_{r_0}} {}^*P^q[W]\,, \qquad (r \geq r_0)\,. \tag{5.93}$$

Proof. Apply Proposition 5.1 to the energy current $P^q[W]$ to obtain the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} {}^*P[W] + \int_{\mathcal{D}_{(r_1,r_2)}} K^q[W] \mathrm{d}\mu_g \leq \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_1}} {}^*P[W]$$

for any $r_2 > r_1 > r_0$. Let us assume without loss of generality that r_0 is chosen sufficiently large, so that

$$C_0 \Omega^{-1} \ll \epsilon_0 \qquad (r \geq r_0)$$

Then by the co-area formula (5.83), and by Lemma 5.13,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{D}_{(r_1,r_2)}} K^q[W] \mathrm{d}\mu_g &= \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \mathrm{d}r \int_{\Sigma^c_r} \phi K^q[W] \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \\ &\geq (1-\epsilon_0) \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \mathrm{d}r \int_{\Sigma^c_r} \phi K^q_+[W] \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \geq 6(1-\epsilon_0)^3 \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \mathrm{d}r \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma^c_r} {}^*P^q[W]\end{aligned}$$

which implies the inequality

$$\int_{\Sigma^c_{r_2}} {}^*P^q[W] + 6(1-\epsilon_0)^3 \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \mathrm{d}r \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma^c_r} {}^*P^q[W] \leq \int_{\Sigma^c_{r_1}} {}^*P^q[W]$$

A Gronwall-type argument then implies the statement of the Proposition, see Section 6.4. □

Remark 5.15. An equivalent statement can also be derived for a weighted null flux.

6 First order redshift

The aim of this Section is derive an energy estimate for ∇W, similar to the redshift estimate for W in Section 5.3. This is achieved by commuting the Bianchi equations (1.26) with a vectorfield X, which yields an inhomogeneous equation of the form (6.6) for the modified Lie derivative $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W$. The strategy here is to choose X to be future-directed time-like, in fact colinear with the normal n to Σ_r, and to derive a redshift estimate for solutions $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_X W$ to (6.6), which can then be used to control all derivatives $\overline{\nabla} W$ *tangential* to Σ_r. This last step relies on an elliptic estimate in the context of the electro-magnetic decomposition of W with respect to Σ_r, which we will discuss separately in Section 7.

A natural choice of the commutator would be

$$M_q = \frac{1}{2\Omega}\big(e_3 + e_4\big) \tag{6.1}$$

where

$$e_3 = q\underline{\hat{L}} \qquad e_4 = q^{-1}\hat{L}\,; \tag{6.2}$$

namely the "aligned" redshift vectorfield of Section 5.2. The task is then to exhibit a positivity property of

$$\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\,, \tag{6.3}$$

which appears as an additional term in the energy identity of Proposition 5.1, for solutions to the inhomogeneous Bianchi equations. While (6.3) *does* have a sign — c.f. Lemma 6.9 — in the highest order terms ∇W, the lower order terms at the level of W still form an obstruction to the required decay *rate* of the energy associated to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W$ on Σ_r. We choose instead as commutator vectorfield:[34]

$$N = \Omega^2 M_q \tag{6.4}$$

Now by the classical Proposition 12.1 in [Chr09] the Weyl field $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \mathcal{L}_N W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} &- \frac{1}{8}\operatorname{tr}{}^{(N)}\pi\, W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\Big[{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_\alpha{}^\mu W_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta} + {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_\beta{}^\mu W_{\alpha\mu\gamma\delta} + {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_\gamma{}^\mu W_{\alpha\beta\mu\delta} + {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_\delta{}^\mu W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

satisfies the equation

$$\nabla^\alpha\big(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W\big)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = {}^{(N)}J(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{6.6}$$

[34] One reason to expect that this vectorfield produces the correct lower order terms can already be inferred without computing the terms in (6.3): The modified Lie derivative is an expression of the form (6.5). Given control on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$, we only obtain control on all tangential derivatives by the elliptic estimate of Section 7, if the lower order term in the expression for $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$ match precisely the lower order terms in the "Maxwell equations" (7.3) of the electro-magnetic decomposition on Σ_r, c.f. Lemma 7.1. This gives a condition on $\operatorname{tr}{}^{(N)}\pi$ which can motivate the rescaling by Ω^2 in (6.4).

where the Weyl current ${}^{(N)}J(W)$ is detailed below in (6.8). Therefore, according to Lemma 12.3 in [Chr09] we have the important formula

$$\begin{aligned}8\Omega^3\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q,M_q,M_q) = \quad & 4(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q,\underline{\tilde{\Theta}}_q)+8(\underline{\tilde{\beta}}_q,\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}_q)\\ &+3\Big(8\tilde{\rho}_q\big(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}_q+\tilde{\Lambda}_q\big)-8\tilde{\sigma}\big(\underline{\tilde{K}}_q-\tilde{K}_q\big)-8(\underline{\tilde{\beta}}_q,\underline{\tilde{I}}_q)+8(\tilde{\beta}_q,\tilde{I}_q)\Big)\\ &+4(\tilde{\alpha}_q,\tilde{\Theta}_q)-8(\tilde{\beta}_q,\tilde{\Xi}_q)\end{aligned}\tag{6.7}$$

where $\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q,\underline{\tilde{\beta}}_q,\tilde{\rho}_q,\tilde{\sigma}_q,\tilde{\beta}_q,\tilde{\alpha}_q$ refer to the null components of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$, and $\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}_q,\tilde{\Xi}_q$, $\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}_q,\tilde{\Lambda}_q$, $\underline{\tilde{K}}_q,\tilde{K}_q$, $\underline{\tilde{\Theta}}_q,\tilde{\Theta}_q$ refer to the null components of ${}^{(N)}J(W)$.

Remark 6.1. Throughout this Section we use a null decomposition with respect to the null frame $(e_3,e_4;e_A)$. However the null structure coefficents are still associated to the frame coming from the foliation $(\underline{\hat{L}},\hat{L};e_A)$. To avoid confusion we append a subscript q to any null components decomposed relative to $(e_3,e_4;e_A)$. In particular in reference to (6.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q)_{AB}&:=(\underline{\alpha}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W])_{AB}:=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_A,e_3,e_B,e_3)\quad (\tilde{\alpha}_q)_{AB}:=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_A,e_4,e_B,e_4)\\ (\underline{\tilde{\beta}}_q)_A&:=(\underline{\beta}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W])_A:=\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_A,e_3,e_3,e_4)\quad (\tilde{\beta}_q)_A:=\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_A,e_4,e_3,e_4)\\ \tilde{\rho}&:=\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_3,e_4,e_3,e_4)\quad \tilde{\sigma}:=\frac{1}{4}\not{\epsilon}^{AB}\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W(e_A,e_B,e_3,e_4)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}_q)_A&:=(\underline{\Xi}_q[{}^{(N)}J(W)])_A:=\frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{33A}\quad (\tilde{\Xi}_q)_A:=\frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{44A}\\ \underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}_q&:=\underline{\Lambda}_q[{}^{(N)}J(W)]:=\frac{1}{4}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{343}\quad \tilde{\Lambda}_q:=\frac{1}{4}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{434}\\ \underline{\tilde{K}}_q&:=\underline{K}_q[{}^{(N)}J(W)]:=\frac{1}{4}\not{\epsilon}^{AB}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{3AB}\quad \tilde{K}_q:=\frac{1}{4}\not{\epsilon}^{AB}{}^{(N)}J(W)_{4AB}\\ (\underline{\tilde{\Theta}}_q)_{AB}&=\underline{\Theta}({}^{(N)}J(W))_{AB}\quad (\tilde{\Theta}_q)_{AB}=\Theta({}^{(N)}J(W))_{AB}\,,\end{aligned}$$

c.f. Chapter 12.2 in [Chr09].

According to Proposition 12.1 in [Chr09] we have that the Weyl current ${}^{(N)}J(W)$ in (6.6) is given by

$${}^{(N)}J(W)={}^{(N)}J^1(W)+{}^{(N)}J^2(W)+{}^{(N)}J^3(W)\tag{6.8}$$

where, c.f. (14.5) in [Chr09],

$${}^{(N)}J^1(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta}=\frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu W_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta}\tag{6.9a}$$

$${}^{(N)}J^2(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta}=\frac{1}{2}p_\mu W^\mu{}_{\beta\gamma\delta}\tag{6.9b}$$

$${}^{(N)}J^3(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta}=\frac{1}{2}\Big(d_{\mu\beta\nu}W^{\mu\nu}{}_{\gamma\delta}+d_{\mu\gamma\nu}W^{\mu}{}_{\beta}{}^{\nu}{}_{\delta}+d_{\mu\delta\nu}W^{\mu}{}_{\beta\gamma}{}^{\nu}\Big)\tag{6.9c}$$

and[35]

$$p_\mu := {}^{(N)}p_\mu := \nabla^{\alpha(N)}\,\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\mu} \tag{6.10a}$$

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := {}^{(N)}d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := \nabla_\beta{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{\gamma\alpha} - \nabla_\gamma{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}\Big(p_\beta g_{\alpha\gamma} - p_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta}\Big) \tag{6.10b}$$

Remark 6.2. Note that only the part $J^1(W)$ contains terms ∇W, and thus only the null decomposition of J^1 may contain $\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \tilde{\alpha}, \underline{\tilde{\beta}}, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\sigma}$. We sometimes refer to these as "principal terms". In the first place it suffices then to look at the components of J^1 to show the presence of positive quadratic terms in ∇W in (6.7). Its null components are calculated in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09]; in fact, the formulas in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09] are only true in the special case that two components of the deformation tensor $n = \underline{n} = 0$ (defined below) vanish, and we will discuss the general case in Section 6.2. The discussion of the "lower order" terms, involving W, then requires the inclusion of the parts J^2, and J^3. We emphasize that these parts cannot be treated separately, because cancellations appear accross the expressions for J^1, J^2, and J^3. The null decompositions of J^2 and J^3 are detailed in Chapter 14.3-4 in [Chr09], c.f. Lemma 14.2 in [Chr09].

We adopt the notation of Chapter 8.1 in [Chr09] for the components of the deformation tensor, i.e.

$$\begin{gathered} i := {}^{(N)}i := {}^{(N)}\not{\pi} \\ \underline{m} := {}^{(N)}\underline{m}_q := {}^{(N)}\not{\pi}_3 \qquad m := {}^{(N)}m_q := {}^{(N)}\not{\pi}_4 \\ \underline{n} := {}^{(N)}\underline{n}_q := {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{33} \qquad n := {}^{(N)}n_q := {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} \\ j := {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} \end{gathered}$$

and calculate here in particular the values for the commutator vectorfield N; recall also our results from Lemma. 5.8.

Lemma 6.3. *The components of the deformation tensor of*

$$N = \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(e_3 + e_4\big) \tag{6.11}$$

are

$$i = \Omega\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big) + \frac{\Omega}{4}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \underline{\hat{L}}q - \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g} \tag{6.12a}$$

$$\underline{m} = \Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big) \qquad m = -\Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big) \tag{6.12b}$$

$$\underline{n} = 2\Omega\underline{\hat{L}}q \qquad n = 2\Omega\hat{L}q^{-1} \tag{6.12c}$$

$$j = \frac{\Omega}{2}\Big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \underline{\hat{L}}q - \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big) \tag{6.12d}$$

[35] In this paper we denote the Weyl current defined in (6.10b) by d, and not q as in [Chr09], because the notation q is already used in Lemma 3.1.

Proof. Recall that we have already calculated the deformation tensor of M_q in Lemma. 5.8. Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{}^{(N)}\pi_{34} = -2\big(e_3 + e_4\big)\Omega + \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{34} \\
{}^{(N)}\pi_{33} = -4e_3\Omega + \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{33} \qquad {}^{(N)}\pi_{44} = -4e_4\Omega + \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{44} \\
{}^{(N)}\pi_{3A} = -2e_A\Omega + \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{3A} \qquad {}^{(N)}\pi_{4A} = -2e_A\Omega + \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{4A} \\
{}^{(N)}\pi_{AB} = \Omega^2\, {}^{(M_q)}\pi_{AB}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tr}{}^{(N)}\pi = 2\big(e_3 + e_4\big)\Omega + \Omega^2 \operatorname{tr}{}^{(M_q)}\pi
$$

Now recall that

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_3\Omega = q\underline{D}\log\Omega = q\underline{\omega} \qquad e_4\Omega = q^{-1}\omega \\
\operatorname{tr}{}^{(M_q)}\pi = \frac{1}{\Omega}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)
\end{gathered}
$$

to infer that

$$
\operatorname{tr}{}^{(N)}\pi = \Omega\Big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)
$$

Moreover, using the results of Lemma 5.8,

$$
\begin{gathered}
{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = -\big(q\underline{\omega} + q^{-1}\omega\big) + \Omega^{2(M_q)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = \frac{\Omega}{2}\Big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \underline{\hat{L}}q - \underline{\hat{L}}q^{-1}\Big) \\
{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{33} = 2\Omega\underline{\hat{L}}q \qquad {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} = 2\Omega\hat{L}q^{-1} \\
{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{3A} = \Omega\big(2\eta_A + \not{d}_A\log q - 2\not{d}_A\log\Omega\big) = \Omega\big(2\zeta_A + \not{d}_A\log q\big) \\
{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{4A} = \Omega\big(2\underline{\eta}_A - \not{d}_A\log q - 2\not{d}_A\log\Omega\big) = -\Omega\big(2\zeta_A + \not{d}_A\log q\big) \\
{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{AB} = \Omega\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big) + \frac{\Omega}{4}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - \underline{\hat{L}}q - \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}
\end{gathered}
$$

□

Given that in this Section we work mainly with the null decomposition with respect to $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ we will state here for convenience the form of the Bianchi equations relative to this frame.

Proposition 6.4. *The Bianchi equations decomposed in the frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ read as in Proposition 12.4 in [Chr09] with the following replacements:*

$$
D \to q^{-1}D \qquad \underline{D} \to q\underline{D} \tag{6.14a}
$$

$$
\alpha \to \alpha_q \quad \beta \to \beta_q \quad \underline{\beta} \to \underline{\beta}_q \quad \underline{\alpha} \to \underline{\alpha}_q \tag{6.14b}
$$

$$
\chi \to q^{-1}\chi \qquad \underline{\chi} \to q\underline{\chi} \qquad \zeta \to \zeta + \not{d}\log q \tag{6.14c}
$$

$$
\hat{\underline{\omega}} \to q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q \qquad \hat{\omega} \to q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1} \tag{6.14d}
$$

Proof. First derive the analogous formulas to Proposition 1.1 in [Chr09]. Since these are derived using Leibniz rule and the frame relations (1.175) in [Chr09], it is clear that if instead the relations (5.62) are used, they are formally obtained with replacements

$$\chi \to q^{-1}\chi \qquad \underline{\chi} \to q\underline{\chi} \qquad \zeta \to \zeta + \not{d}\log q$$
$$\underline{\hat{\omega}} \to q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q \qquad \hat{\omega} \to q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}$$

and

$$\alpha \to \alpha_q \quad \beta \to \beta_q \quad \underline{\beta} \to \underline{\beta}_q \quad \underline{\alpha} \to \underline{\alpha}_q$$

because the null decomposition now refers to the frame $(e_3 = q\underline{\hat{L}}, e_4 = q^{-1}\hat{L}; e_A)$. Therefore (1.190) in [Chr09] holds for the null decomposition of W with respect to this frame, and with the above replacements. It remains to check that, by (1.191,1.193) in [Chr09] for any 1-form ξ, and any 2-form θ,

$$q^{-1}D\xi = q^{-1}\Omega\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{L}}\xi + \chi^\sharp \cdot \xi\big) = \Omega\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_4 + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp \cdot \xi\big)$$
$$q^{-1}D\theta = q^{-1}\Omega\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_{\hat{L}}\theta + \chi \times \theta + \theta \times \chi\big) = \Omega\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_4\theta + q^{-1}\chi \times \theta + q^{-1}\theta \times \chi\big)$$

(similarly for the conjugate equations) and thus the above replacements of the structure coefficients are consistent with the replacements

$$D \to q^{-1}D \qquad \underline{D} \to q\underline{D}\,.$$

□

6.1 Commutations

Lemma 6.5. *The null components of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$ are given by*

$$\alpha[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_N\alpha_q + \frac{\Omega}{8}\big(6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 7\underline{\hat{L}}q - \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\alpha_q$$
$$- \Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q \quad (6.15a)$$

$$\underline{\alpha}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = \hat{\not{\mathcal{L}}}_N\underline{\alpha}_q + \frac{\Omega}{8}\big(6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} - \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q$$
$$- \Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q \quad (6.15b)$$

$$\beta_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = \not{\mathcal{L}}_N\beta_q - \frac{1}{2}\hat{i}^\sharp \cdot \beta_q + \frac{\Omega}{8}\big(6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q\big)\beta_q$$
$$- \frac{3}{4}\Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - \frac{3}{4}\Omega^*\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma + \frac{1}{4}\Omega\alpha_q^\sharp \cdot \big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big) \quad (6.15c)$$

$$\underline{\beta}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = \mathcal{L}_N \underline{\beta}_q - \frac{1}{2}\hat{i}^\sharp \cdot \underline{\beta}_q + \frac{\Omega}{8}\big(6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q$$
$$- \frac{3}{4}\Omega\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\rho + \frac{3}{4}\Omega^*\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma + \frac{1}{4}\Omega\underline{\alpha}_q^\sharp \cdot \big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big) \quad (6.15d)$$

$$\rho[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = N\rho + \frac{3}{8}\Omega\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$
$$+ \frac{\Omega}{2}(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \beta_q + \underline{\beta}_q) \quad (6.15e)$$

$$\sigma[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] = N\sigma + \frac{3}{8}\Omega\Big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\sigma$$
$$+ \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(2^*\zeta + {}^*\not{d}\log q, \beta_q - \underline{\beta}_q\big)$$

Lemma 6.6. *The commutation relations of N with the frame are*

$$[N, e_A] = \frac{\Omega}{2}\Pi[e_3 + e_4, e_A] - \frac{\Omega}{2}\not{d}_A \log q\big(e_3 - e_4\big) \quad (6.16a)$$
$$[N, e_3] = -\frac{1}{2}\big(\underline{m} - m\big)^\sharp + \Omega\not{d}\log q^\sharp + \frac{1}{4}\underline{n}e_4 - \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)e_3 \quad (6.16b)$$
$$[N, e_4] = \frac{1}{2}\big(\underline{m} - m\big)^\sharp - \Omega\not{d}\log q^\sharp - \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q + q^{-1}\hat{\omega}\big)e_4 + \frac{1}{4}ne_3 \quad (6.16c)$$

Proof. It follows from the frame relations (5.62) that

$$[e_3, e_4] = \nabla_3 e_4 - \nabla_4 e_3 = 2\eta^\sharp - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)e_4 + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)e_3$$
$$[e_3, e_A] = \nabla\!\!\!/_3 e_A - q\underline{\chi}_A^\sharp + \big(\not{d}_A \log\Omega - \not{d}_A \log q\big)e_3$$
$$[e_4, e_A] = \nabla\!\!\!/_4 e_A - q^{-1}\chi_A^\sharp + \big(\not{d}_A \log\Omega + \not{d}_A \log q\big)e_4$$

and thus

$$[N, e_3] = -\Omega\eta^\sharp + \Omega\underline{\eta}^\sharp + \frac{\Omega}{2}\underline{\hat{L}}q\, e_4 - \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)e_3$$
$$[N, e_4] = \Omega\eta^\sharp - \Omega\underline{\eta}^\sharp - \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q + q^{-1}\hat{\omega}\big)e_4 + \frac{\Omega}{2}\hat{L}q^{-1}\, e_3$$
$$[N, e_A] = \frac{\Omega}{2}\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_3 e_A + \nabla\!\!\!/_4 e_A - q\underline{\chi}_A^\sharp - q^{-1}\chi_A^\sharp\big) - \frac{\Omega}{2}\not{d}_A \log q\,(e_3 - e_4)$$

The stated formulas then follow with (6.12).

□

Proof of Lemma 6.5. Using the commutation relations obtained in Lemma 6.6 we calculate

$$(\mathcal{L}_N W)(\cdot, e_4, \cdot, e_4) = \not{\mathcal{L}}_N \alpha_q + \Omega\big(q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)\alpha_q + \frac{1}{2}n\rho \not{g} \\ - \Omega\big(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + \Omega\big(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q, \beta\big)\not{g}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_N W)(\cdot, e_3, \cdot, e_3) = \not{\mathcal{L}}_N \underline{\alpha}_q + \Omega\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\underline{\alpha}_q + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\rho \not{g} \\ - \Omega\big(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} + \Omega(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta})\not{g}$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_N W)(\cdot, e_4, e_3, e_4) = 2\not{\mathcal{L}}_N \beta_q + \Omega\Big(3q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 3q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\beta_q \\ - \Omega\big(2\not{d}\log q + \eta - \underline{\eta})\rho - 3\Omega^*(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)\sigma - \frac{n}{2}\underline{\beta}_q + \Omega\alpha^\sharp \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_N W)(\cdot, e_3, e_3, e_4) = 2\not{\mathcal{L}}_N \underline{\beta}_q + \Omega\Big(3q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 3q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\underline{\beta}_q \\ - \Omega\big(\not{d}\log q + \eta - \underline{\eta})\rho + 3\Omega^*(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)\sigma - \frac{n}{2}\beta_q + \Omega\underline{\alpha}^\sharp \cdot (\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_N W)(e_3, e_4, e_3, e_4) = 4\mathcal{L}_N \rho + 4\Omega\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho \\ + 4\Omega(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)^\sharp \cdot \beta_q + 4\Omega(\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)^\sharp \cdot \underline{\beta}_q$$

$$\not{\epsilon}^{AB}\mathcal{L}_N W_{AB34} = 4N\sigma + 2\Omega\Big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\sigma \\ + 2\Omega\big(\not{d}\log q + \eta - \underline{\eta}, {}^*\underline{\beta}_q\big) - 2\Omega\big(\not{d}\log q + \eta - \underline{\eta}, {}^*\beta_q\big)$$

where in the last formula we also used (12.46) in [Chr09].

Adopting the notation of (12.48) in [Chr09] we can write

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = (\mathcal{L}_N W)_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - \frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)}[W] - \frac{1}{8}\,\mathrm{tr}\,{}^{(N)}\pi\, W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$$

and find, similarly to (12.49) in [Chr09] that:

$$[W]_{A4B4} = (\hat{i}, \alpha_q)\not{g}_{AB} - 2m^C(\beta_q)_C \not{g}_{AB} + n\rho\not{g}_{AB}$$
$$[W]_{A3B3} = (\hat{i}, \underline{\alpha}_q)\not{g}_{AB} + 2\underline{m}^C(\underline{\beta}_q)_C \not{g}_{AB} + \underline{n}\rho\not{g}_{AB}$$
$$[W]_{A434} = -m_A\rho + 3^*m_A\sigma + 2\hat{i}^{\sharp B}_A(\beta_q)_B - 2j(\beta_q)_A - n(\underline{\beta}_q)_A + (\alpha_q)^{\sharp B}_A \underline{m}_B$$
$$[W]_{A334} = \underline{m}_A\rho + 3^*\underline{m}_A\sigma + 2\hat{i}^{\sharp B}_A(\underline{\beta}_q)_B - 2j\underline{\beta}_A - \underline{n}(\beta_q)_A - (\underline{\alpha}_q)^{\sharp B}_A \cdot m_B$$
$$[W]_{3434} = -8j\rho + 4\underline{m}^\sharp \cdot \beta_q - 4m^\sharp \cdot \underline{\beta}_q$$
$$\not{\epsilon}^{AB}[W]_{AB34} = 0$$

The formulas given in the statement of the Lemma then follow. □

6.2 Weyl Currents

The components of the first order Weyl current

$$ {}^{(X)}J^1(W)_{\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{1}{2}{}^{(X)}\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu W_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta} \tag{6.18} $$

have been calculated for a general commutation vectorfield X, and are presented *in the special case* $n = \underline{n} = 0$, and *relative to the frame* $(\hat{\underline{L}}, \hat{L}; e_A)$ in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09]. In the following Lemma we list the formulas for the components in the general case, $n \neq \underline{n} \neq 0$, decomposed relative to the frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$. These formulas can be inferred from the expressions in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09] using the replacements (5.64).

Lemma 6.7. *The null components of the Weyl current $J^1(W)$ relative to the frame $(e_3, e_4; e_A)$ are given by*

$$ \begin{aligned}
4\Xi^1_A = &-\frac{1}{2}j\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}(D\beta_q)_A + (\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha_q)_A - q^{-1}\chi^B_A(\beta_q)_B \\
&\quad - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi(\beta_q)_A - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega}Dq^{-1}\big)(\beta_q)_A + \alpha^B_A\big(2\zeta_B + 2\nabla\!\!\!/_B \log q - \underline{\eta}_B\big)\Big\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}m^B\Big\{2\nabla\!\!\!/_B(\beta_q)_A + q\Omega^{-1}(\hat{\underline{D}}\alpha_q)_{AB} - q\underline{\chi}^C_B(\alpha_q)_{AC} - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}(\alpha_q)_{AB} + 2\big(q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{D}q\big)(\alpha_q)_{AB} \\
&\quad + 2\big((\zeta + \nabla\!\!\!/\log q - 2\eta)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\big)_{AB} - 3q^{-1}\big(\chi_{AB}\rho + {}^*\chi_{AB}\sigma\big)\Big\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}\underline{m}^B\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}(\hat{D}\alpha_q)_{AB} - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi(\alpha_q)_{AB} - 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega}Dq^{-1}\big)(\alpha_q)_{AB}\Big\} \\
&-\hat{i}^{BC}\Big\{\nabla\!\!\!/_C(\alpha_q)_{AB} - q^{-1}(\chi\hat{\otimes}\beta_q)_{CAB} + 2\big(\zeta + \nabla\!\!\!/\log q\big)_C(\alpha_q)_{AB}\Big\} \\
&+\frac{1}{2}n\Big\{-q\Omega^{-1}(\underline{D}\beta_q)_A + q\underline{\chi}^B_A(\beta_q)_B - \big(q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{D}q\big)(\beta_q)_A + 3\eta_A\rho + 3{}^*\eta_A\sigma\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.19a} $$

Moreover

$$ 4\underline{\Xi}^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{\beta}_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\underline{\eta}\rho - 3{}^*\underline{\eta}\sigma\Big\} \tag{6.19b} $$

$$ 4\underline{\Lambda}^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q\Big\} \tag{6.19c} $$

$$ 4\Lambda^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho + 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \tag{6.19d} $$

$$ 4\underline{K}^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{-q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\sigma - 2\underline{\eta}\wedge\beta_q\Big\} \tag{6.19e} $$

$$ 4K^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\sigma + 2\eta\wedge\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \tag{6.19f} $$

$$4\underline{I}^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{-q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \tag{6.19g}$$

$$4I^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\beta_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \tag{6.19h}$$

$$4\underline{\Theta}^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}_q - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \frac{1}{\Omega}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \tag{6.19i}$$

$$4\Theta^1 = * + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\alpha_q - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\alpha_q - 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \frac{1}{\Omega}Dq^{-1}\big)\alpha_q\Big\} \tag{6.19j}$$

where $*$ denotes the corresponding terms listed in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09] (pp 446-451) with the formal replacements of (6.14).

Proof. Consider for example

$$\underline{\Lambda}^1 = \frac{1}{4}{}^{(M_q)}J^1_{343}(W) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}{}^{(M_q)}\hat{\pi}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\nu W_{\mu 343}$$

Let us write out the terms which have j as a common factor. This can arise either from $(\mu\nu) = (43)$, or $(\mu\nu) = (AB)$, because $\mathrm{tr}\,i = j$. Indeed

$$\frac{1}{2}{}^{(M_q)}\hat{\pi}^{43}\nabla_3 W_{4343} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{4}j\Big[e_3\big(4\rho\big) - 2W(\nabla_3 e_4, e_3, e_4, e_3) - 2W(e_4, \nabla_3 e_3, e_4, e_3)\Big]$$

Now inserting the frame relations (5.62) (with $a = q$) we see that these differ only in the coefficients from those used in (1.175) in [Chr09], and can be obtained from the latter using the replacements (5.64) with $(a = q)$. For definiteness,

$$-2W(\nabla_3 e_4, e_3, e_4, e_3) = 8\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + 8\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - a^2\underline{\hat{L}}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$
$$-2W(e_4, \nabla_3 e_3, e_4, e_3) = -8\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\rho$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{2}{}^{(M_q)}\hat{\pi}^{43}\nabla_3 W_{4343} = \frac{1}{2}j\Big[q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho + 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big]$$

Furthermore we have the following contribution:

$$\frac{1}{2}{}^{(M_q)}\hat{\pi}^{AB}\nabla_B W_{A343} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{i}^{AB}\nabla_B W_{A343} + \frac{1}{4}jg^{AB}\nabla_B W_{A343}$$

while

$$g^{AB}\nabla_B W_{A343} = -g^{AB}e_B\big(2\underline{\beta}_A\big) - g^{AB}W(\nabla_B e_A, e_3, e_4, e_3) - g^{AB}W(e_A, \nabla_B e_3, e_4, e_3)$$
$$- g^{AB}W(e_A, e_3, \nabla_B e_4, e_3) - g^{AB}W(e_A, e_3, e_4, \nabla_B e_3)$$

and again inserting the frame relations (5.62) (with $a = q$) gives the same result as inserting (1.175) in [Chr09] followed by the replacements (5.64). For definiteness,

$$-g^{AB}W(\nabla_B e_A, e_3, e_4, e_3) = +2\not{g}^{AB}\not{\nabla}_B e_A^C(\underline{\beta}_q)_C - 2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\rho$$
$$-g^{AB}W(e_A, \nabla_B e_3, e_4, e_3) = 2q\not{g}^{AB}\underline{\chi}_B^{\sharp C}\sigma\not{\epsilon}_{AC} + 2\not{g}^{AB}\big(\zeta_B + \not{d}_B \log q\big)(\underline{\beta}_q)_A$$
$$-g^{AB}W(e_A, e_3, \nabla_B e_4, e_3) = -q^{-1}\not{g}^{AB}\chi_B^{\sharp C}(\underline{\alpha}_q)_{AC} - 2\not{g}^{AB}\big(\zeta_B + \not{d}_B \log q\big)(\underline{\beta}_q)_A$$
$$-g^{AB}W(e_A, e_3, e_4, \nabla_B e_3) = q\not{g}^{AB}\underline{\chi}_B^{\sharp C}\big(-\rho\not{\epsilon}_{AC} + \sigma\not{\epsilon}_{AC}\big) + 2\not{g}^{AB}\big(\zeta_B + \not{d}_B \log q\big)(\underline{\beta}_q)_A$$

and thus

$$g^{AB}\nabla_B W_{A343} = -2\not{g}^{AB}\not{\nabla}_B(\underline{\beta}_q)_A - 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\rho - q^{-1}(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}_q) + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}^*\underline{\chi}\sigma + 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta}_q\big)$$

In conclusion, we have calculated that the terms in $\underline{\Lambda}$ *which come with a factor* j are given by

$$4\underline{\Lambda}^1 \doteq \frac{1}{2}j\Big[q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho - \frac{3}{2}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\rho + \big(\zeta + 2\eta + \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta}_q\big) - \not{\mathrm{div}}\,\underline{\beta}_q - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}_q)\Big]$$

This coincides precisely with the formula given in Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09] (p 448-449) modulo the replacements indicated in the statement of this Lemma.

Similarly for all other components. □

6.3 Positive Current

Finally in this section we will analyse in all detail the terms appearing in (6.7) for the commutation vectorfield N defined in (6.4). (For completeness we will include a similar result for the commutator M_q in Lemma 6.9.) As explained in Section 1.2, and as it is clear from (6.9), a quantitative bound on $\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$ can only be obtained under additional assumptions on $\nabla^{(N)}\pi$, (which similarly to the assumptions on ${}^{(N)}\pi$ have to be recovered in the full existence theorem.)

In addition to (***BA:I****.i-vi*) we assume

$$q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \le C_0 \qquad q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \le C_0 \tag{***BA:I****.vii*}$$
$$\Omega|q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi| \le C_0\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big) \tag{***BA:I****.viii*}$$

and to deal with several "borderline" terms we strengthen (***BA:I****.iv*) and (***BA:I****.vi*) to

$$\Omega|\underline{\hat{\chi}}| \le \epsilon_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad \Omega|\hat{\chi}| \le \epsilon_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \tag{***BA:I****.iv*$_\epsilon$}$$
$$\Omega|\eta| + \Omega|\underline{\eta}| + \Omega|\not{d}\log q| \le \epsilon_0(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi) \tag{***BA:I****.vi*$_\epsilon$}$$

Furthermore we assume

$$|D(\Omega\underline{\hat{\chi}})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad |\underline{D}(\Omega\hat{\chi})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \tag{***BA:II****.i*}$$
$$|D(\Omega\hat{\chi})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\underline{D}(\Omega\underline{\hat{\chi}})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$$
$$\Omega|\not{\nabla}(\Omega\underline{\hat{\chi}})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad \Omega|\not{\nabla}(\Omega\hat{\chi})| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \tag{***BA:II****.ii*}$$

and (***BA:II****.iii-viii*) below.

The main conclusion is that under these assumptions the divergence (6.7) is *positive* up to a sufficiently fast decaying error:

Proposition 6.8. *Assume (**BA:I**) — including (**BA:I**.iv$_\epsilon$) and (**BA:I**.vi$_\epsilon$) — and (**BA:II**) hold for some $C_0 > 0$, and $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$, such that for all solutions W to* (1.26)*,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\phi\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q) \geq \\ &\geq -\frac{C}{r}\Big(\epsilon_0 C_0 + \frac{C_0}{\Omega}\Big)\Big[Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q) + Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q)\Big] - \frac{C}{r}\frac{C_0}{\Omega}\Omega^2 \not{P}^q\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

where

$$\not{P}^q := \frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\nabla\!\!\!/ \underline{\alpha}_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/ \underline{\beta}_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/ \rho|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/ \sigma|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/ \beta_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/ \alpha_q|^2\Big] \tag{6.21}$$

We will give the proof of this Proposition in Sections 6.3.1-6.3.3.

It might be instructive to compare this result to the conclusion that we would obtain by commuting with M_q. Recall that (***BA:I***) includes the assumption

$$\Omega|2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\chi \qquad \Omega|2\underline{\hat{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \tag{***BA:I***.ii}$$

which is stronger than needed to prove the redshift property at the level of the energy of W as shown in Lemma 5.11. Indeed it is possible to show under similar assumptions even a positivity property at the level of ∇W, which is the content of Proposition 6.9 below. This illustrates that the stronger assumptions — where the smallness parameter ϵ_0 in the assumptions of Lemma 5.11, and Proposition 6.9 is replaced by the weight Ω^{-1} in (***BA:I***) — are related to the lower order terms, specifically the remainders in $W * \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$ after cancellations occured due to the use of N, as opposed to M_q, as a commutator.

Proposition 6.9. *Assume that* (5.84) *holds as in Lemma 5.11 for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Moreover let us assume (**BA:I**.vii) and for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$:*

$$|\underline{D}\log q| \leq \epsilon_0\,\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \qquad |D\log q| \leq \epsilon_0\,\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi \tag{6.22a}$$

$$|q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - q^{-1}\hat{\omega}| \leq \epsilon_0\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \tag{6.22b}$$

$$|\underline{\hat{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon_0 \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \qquad |\hat{\chi}| \leq \epsilon_0 \operatorname{tr}\chi \tag{6.22c}$$

$$|\not{d}\log q| + |\eta| + |\underline{\eta}| \leq \epsilon_0\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \tag{6.22d}$$

Let $0 < \tilde{\epsilon} < 1/2$ be an arbitrarily small constant, and assume $0 < \epsilon \leq \tilde{\epsilon}$. Then, for some constant $C > 0$ (depending on $\tilde{\epsilon}$, and C above, but not *on ϵ),*

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\Big[\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\Big]^1 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \geq \frac{2}{r}\,{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W] - \frac{C}{\Omega^2}\frac{1}{r}\,{}^*P^q[W] \\ + (1 - 2\tilde{\epsilon})\frac{2}{r}\,{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W] - \frac{\epsilon}{r}\frac{C}{\Omega^2}\not{P}^q \mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

Here $[\operatorname{div} Q]^1$ indicates that only contributions from J^1 are included in the sum (6.7). The redshift property is manifest in (6.23). However, the "lower order terms" controlled by the energy $P^q[W]$ do not decay fast enough, and form an obstruction for the energy associated to $P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$ to decay at the rate $r^{-6-4+\epsilon}$, which could be inferred on the basis of the positive "principal terms" in (6.23), which come with the additional factor $(4-\epsilon)$. [36] However, even with the stronger assumptions imposed in Proposition 6.8 this obstruction cannot be removed.

6.3.1 J^1

Lemma 6.10. *Assume that (**BA:I**.i-iii,v,vii) hold, i.e. for some $C_0 > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}\chi > 0 \qquad & \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} > 0 \\
|2\omega - \Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\chi \qquad & |2\underline{\omega} - \Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \\
|\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi - \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi}| \leq \epsilon_0 \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\chi} \qquad & |\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}| \leq \epsilon_0 \overline{\Omega \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}} \\
|D \log q| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\chi \qquad & |\underline{D} \log q| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \\
q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \leq C_0 \qquad & q^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\chi \leq C_0 \\
\Omega|q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - q^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\chi| \leq C_0 \big(q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\chi\big) &
\end{aligned}$$

*and moreover that (**BA:I**.iv,vi) hold,*[37] *i.e. for some $C_0 > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega|\underline{\hat{\chi}}| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} \qquad & \Omega|\hat{\chi}| \leq C_0 \operatorname{tr}\chi \\
\Omega|\eta| + \Omega|\underline{\eta}| + \Omega|\not{d} \log q| \leq C_0 \big(q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1} \operatorname{tr}\chi\big) &.
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi\Big[\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\Big]^1 \geq \frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}\big[X^1 + Y^1\big] \\
- \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega} Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q) - \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega} Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q) - \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big[\Omega^2 \not{P}^q\Big] \quad (6.26)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
X^1 &:= X^1_\Lambda + X^1_K + X^1_{\underline{\Xi}} + X^1_\Xi + X^1_{\underline{\Theta}} + X^1_\Theta \\
Y^1 &:= X^1_\Lambda + Y^1_K + Y^1_{\underline{\Xi}} + Y^1_\Xi + Y^1_{\underline{\Theta}} + Y^1_\Theta
\end{aligned}$$

and X^1_Λ, X^1_K, $X^1_{\underline{\Xi}}$, X^1_Ξ, $X^1_{\underline{\Theta}}$, X^1_Θ, are given by (6.29e), (6.39b), (6.40b), (6.41b), (6.42b), (6.43b), *respectively, while Y^1_Λ, Y^1_K, $Y^1_{\underline{\Xi}}$, Y^1_Ξ, $Y^1_{\underline{\Theta}}$, Y^1_Θ, are given by* (6.29f), (6.39d), (6.40d), (6.41d), (6.42d), (6.43d), *respectively.*

[36] Note that this improved rate of decay for $P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{M_q} W]$ as compared to $P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$ would be necessary for the application of the Sobolev inequality, to compensate for the absence of the Ω^2 weight in M_q.

[37] Note specifically that this Lemma does *not* require the stricter version (**BA:I**.iv_ϵ,vi_ϵ) to hold.

Proof. We use Lemma 6.7, and Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09] as discussed above, to write out the null components of the current ${}^{(N)}J^1(W)$.

We begin with

$$\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1_q := \frac{1}{4}{}^{(N)}J^1[W](e_3, e_4, e_3) \qquad \tilde{\Lambda}^1_q := \frac{1}{4}{}^{(N)}J^1[W](e_4, e_3, e_4)$$

In the first place we are only interested in terms whose factors are either j, or n, $\underline{n}$, and ignore all other terms with factors m, $\underline{m}$, and $\hat{i}$; thus in the following formula we set

$$m = 0\,, \qquad \underline{m} = 0\,, \qquad \hat{i} = 0\,. \tag{6.27a}$$

By Lemma 6.7,

$$\begin{aligned}
8\tilde{\Lambda}^1_q + 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1_q &= j\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho + \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q - \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\rho + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q - 2\underline{\eta}, \beta_q) - \frac{1}{2}q(\hat{\underline{\chi}}, \alpha_q)\\
&\quad + q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho - \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}_q - \frac{3}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\rho + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q + 2\eta, \underline{\beta}_q) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}_q)\Big\}\\
&\quad + \underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q\Big\} + n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho + 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\}\\
&= j\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}\big(q^{-1}D\rho + q\underline{D}\rho\big) - 4(\underline{\eta}, \beta_q) + 4(\eta, \underline{\beta}_q)\Big\}\\
&\quad + \underline{n}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q\Big\} + n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho + 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27b}$$

where we have used the Bianchi equations in the form of Prop. 6.4. We symmetrize the terms with coefficients in $\underline{n}$, and n, and use commutation Lemma 6.5 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
8\tilde{\Lambda}^1_q + 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1_q &= \Big(j + \frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}\big(q^{-1}D\rho + q\underline{D}\rho\big) - 4(\underline{\eta}, \beta_q) + 4(\eta, \underline{\beta}_q)\Big\}\\
&\quad - \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho - 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\}\\
&= \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{4}{\Omega}\tilde{\rho} - \mathbf{\frac{3}{2}}\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho\\
&\quad - 2(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q, \beta_q) + 2(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta}_q)\Big\}\\
&\quad - \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{-\frac{3}{2}\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\rho + \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q + \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}_q\\
&\quad + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \beta) - (\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta}) - \frac{1}{2}q(\hat{\underline{\chi}}, \alpha_q) + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}_q)\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27c}$$

In this symmetrization, we have on one hand gained that the sum yields an additional *positive* term, while on the other hand by the Bianchi equations of Prop. 6.4 the differ-

ence leaves us with terms only involving *angular* derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\rho - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\rho - 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q = \\ = -\frac{3}{2}\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\rho + \operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q + \operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}_q \\ + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \beta) - (\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \underline{\beta}) - \frac{1}{2}q(\hat{\underline{\chi}}, \alpha_q) + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}(\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}_q) \end{aligned} \tag{6.27d}$$

Remark 6.11. With M_q as commutator the prefactor that appears in bold in (6.27c) would be different, and this term would fail to cancel with similar terms appearing in $\tilde{\Lambda}^2 + \underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^2$ discussed below. The cancellations that *do* occur with N as a commutator are discussed in Section 6.3.3.

In the second instance we consider all terms with factors m, $\underline{m}$, and $\hat{i}$, and set

$$j = 0\,, \qquad n = 0\,, \qquad \underline{n} = 0\,, \tag{6.28a}$$

in the following formula:

$$\begin{aligned} 8\tilde{\Lambda}^1 = -\Big(m, \Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\beta_q - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q - 3\eta\rho - 3^*\eta\sigma\Big) \\ - 2\Big(m, \not{d}\rho + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q\Big) \\ - 2\Big(\underline{m}, \Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big) \\ + \Big(\hat{i}, \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - 3\big(q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\rho + q^{-1*}\hat{\chi}\sigma\big) - \frac{1}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q\Big) \\ = -\Big(m, -2q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\beta_q + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\beta}_q + 3\not{d}\rho - {}^*\not{d}\sigma + 4q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - 2q\hat{\underline{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q\Big) \\ - 2\Big(\underline{m}, -2q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\beta_q + \operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha_q + 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big) \\ + \Big(\hat{i}, \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - 3\big(q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\rho + q^{-1*}\hat{\chi}\sigma\big) - \frac{1}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{6.28b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1 = -\Big(\underline{m}, -\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\underline{\beta}_q + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q - 3\underline{\eta}\rho + 3^*\underline{\eta}\sigma\Big) \\ - 2\Big(\underline{m}, \not{d}\rho - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big) \\ - 2\Big(m, -\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + \big(q\underline{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big) \\ + \Big(\hat{i}, -\nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - 3\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\rho - q^*\hat{\underline{\chi}}\sigma\big) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha}_q\Big) \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\Big(\underline{m}, 2q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\beta}_q - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\beta_q + 3\not{d}\rho - {}^*\not{d}\sigma - 4\hat{\underline{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + 2q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big)$$
$$- 2\Big(m, 2q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\underline{\beta}_q + \not{\operatorname{div}}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big)$$
$$+ \Big(\hat{i}, -\not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - 3\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\rho - q^*\hat{\underline{\chi}}\sigma\big) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha}_q\Big) \quad (6.28c)$$

where we used the Bianchi equations in the second step to eliminate $\underline{D}\beta_q$, $D\beta_q$, $D\underline{\beta}_q$, and $\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q$ in terms of derivatives tangential to the spheres.

Let us employ here already that by Lemma 6.3,

$$\underline{m} = -m \quad (6.28d)$$

to conclude

$$8\tilde{\Lambda}^1_q + 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1_q = \Big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - 4q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\Big)\big(m, \beta_q\big) + \Big(-q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 4q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big)\big(\underline{m}, \underline{\beta}_q\big)$$
$$- \Big(m, 4q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - 2q\hat{\underline{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + 4\hat{\underline{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - 2q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big)$$
$$- 2\Big(\underline{m}, \not{\operatorname{div}}\alpha_q + 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big) - 2\Big(m, \not{\operatorname{div}}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big)$$
$$+ \Big(\hat{i}, \not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - 3\big(q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\rho + q^{-1*}\hat{\chi}\sigma\big) - \frac{1}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q\Big)$$
$$- \Big(\hat{i}, \not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} - \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\rho - q^*\hat{\underline{\chi}}\sigma\big) + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha}_q\Big) \quad (6.28e)$$

In particular we have the following contributions to the divergence (6.7):

$$\boxed{3\cdot 8\tilde{\rho}\big(\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^1 + \tilde{\Lambda}^1\big) = 6\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(j + \frac{1}{4}(\underline{n} + n)\Big)\tilde{\rho}^2 + X^1_\Lambda + Y^1_\Lambda + Q^1_\Lambda + R^1_\Lambda} \quad (6.29a)$$

Note here that

$$|j| \le \frac{1}{2}q|\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}| + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}|\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2\omega| + \frac{1}{2}|\underline{D}q| + \frac{1}{2}|Dq^{-1}| \le C\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \quad (6.29b)$$

$$|\frac{\underline{n} + n}{4}| = \frac{1}{2}|\underline{D}q| + \frac{1}{2}|Dq^{-1}| \le \frac{C}{2}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \quad (6.29c)$$

Remark 6.12. Note that for M_q as a commutator we would have by Lemma 5.8,

$$j + \frac{1}{4}(\underline{n} + n) = \frac{1}{2\Omega}\Big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}\Big) \ge 0$$

which explains the positive terms in Lemma 6.9.

Further to the notation used in (6.29a), we have a quadratic error term

$$\begin{aligned} Q^1_\Lambda := 3\tilde\rho\Big(j+\frac{n+\underline n}{4}\Big)\Big\{&-2(\eta+\underline\eta+\not d\log q,\beta_q)+2(\eta+\underline\eta-\not d\log q,\underline\beta_q)\Big\}\\ &-3\tilde\rho\frac{\underline n-n}{4}\Big\{-\frac32\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi\big)\rho+\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q+\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline\beta_q\\ &+(\zeta+\not d\log q,\beta)-(\zeta+\not d\log q,\underline\beta)-\frac12 q(\hat\chi,\alpha_q)+\frac12 q^{-1}(\hat\chi,\underline\alpha_q)\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{6.29d}$$

and a term which we do not estimate but rather keep in its precise form:

$$X^1_\Lambda := -\frac92\Big(j+\frac{n+\underline n}{4}\Big)\big(2q\hat{\underline\omega}+2q^{-1}\hat\omega+q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi+\hat{\underline L}q+\hat L q^{-1}\big)\rho\tilde\rho \tag{6.29e}$$

Finally we collect the following "borderline error terms" in

$$Y^1_\Lambda := -\frac32\tilde\rho q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi\big(\hat i,\alpha_q\big)-\frac32\tilde\rho q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big(\hat i,\underline\alpha_q\big)-9q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\tilde\rho\big(m,\beta_q\big)+9q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi\tilde\rho\big(\underline m,\underline\beta_q\big) \tag{6.29f}$$

and call

$$R^1_\Lambda := \Big(\text{quadratic error term from case } j=\underline n=n=0\Big)-Y^1_\Lambda \tag{6.29g}$$

In fact we can already estimate the quadratic error terms by:

$$\begin{aligned} |Q^1_\Lambda|\le{}& 12C\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\tilde\rho\big(|\not d\log q|+|\eta|+|\underline\eta|\big)\big(|\beta_q|+|\underline\beta_q|\big)\\ &+\frac{3C}{2}\tilde\rho\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\Big\{\frac32\big|q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi\big|\rho+|\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q|+|\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline\beta_q|\\ &+|\zeta+\not d\log q||\beta_q|+|\zeta+\not d\log q||\underline\beta_q|+\frac12|q\underline\chi||\alpha_q|+\frac12|q^{-1}\hat\chi||\underline\alpha_q|\Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{6.29h}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |R^1_\Lambda|\le{}& 3|q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi-q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi||m||\tilde\rho||\beta_q|+3|-q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi+q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi||\underline m||\tilde\rho||\underline\beta_q|\\ &+\frac{3|\tilde\rho|}{\Omega}|m|\Big|4q^{-1}\Omega\hat\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline\beta_q-2q\Omega\underline{\hat\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q+4q\Omega\underline{\hat\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q-2q^{-1}\Omega\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline\beta_q\Big|\\ &+6|\tilde\rho||\underline m|\Big|\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha_q+2\big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big|+6|\tilde\rho||m|\Big|\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline\alpha_q-2\big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline\alpha_q\Big|\\ &+3|\tilde\rho||\hat i|\Big|\nabla\!\!\!/\hat\otimes\beta_q+\big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)\hat\otimes\beta_q-3\big(q^{-1}\hat\chi\rho+q^{-1*}\hat\chi\sigma\big)\Big|\\ &+3|\tilde\rho||\hat i|\Big|\nabla\!\!\!/\hat\otimes\underline\beta-\big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)\underline\beta_q+3\big(q\underline{\hat\chi}\rho-q^*\underline{\hat\chi}\sigma\big)\Big| \end{aligned} \tag{6.29i}$$

Note here also that

$$|\hat i|=\Omega\big(q|\underline{\hat\chi}|+q^{-1}|\hat\chi|\big)\le C_0\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \tag{6.29j}$$

$$|\underline m|=|m|=\Omega\big(2|\zeta|+|\not d\log q|\big)\le C_0\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline\chi+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big) \tag{6.29k}$$

Let us now turn to the remaining components:

$$\underline{\tilde{K}}^1_q := \frac{1}{4}\not{\epsilon}^{AB(N)} J^1[W](e_3, e_A, e_B) \qquad \tilde{K}^1_q := \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{AB(N)} J^1[W](e_4, e_A, e_B)$$
$$(\underline{\tilde{I}}^1_q)_A := \frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_4, e_3, e_A) \qquad (\tilde{I}^1_q)_A := \frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_3, e_4, e_A)$$
$$(\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}^1_q)_A := \frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_3, e_3, e_A) \qquad (\tilde{\Xi}^1_q)_A := \frac{1}{2}{}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_4, e_4, e_A)$$

and

$$(\underline{\tilde{\Theta}}^1_q)_{AB} := \frac{1}{2}\big((\not{J}_3)_{AB} + (\not{J}_3)_{BA} - \not{g}_{AB}\,\mathrm{tr}(\not{J}_3)_{AB}\big)$$
$$(\tilde{\Theta}^1_q)_{AB} := \frac{1}{2}\big((\not{J}_4)_{AB} + (\not{J}_4)_{BA} - \not{g}_{AB}\,\mathrm{tr}(\not{J}_4)_{AB}\big)$$

where

$$(\not{J}_3)_{AB} := {}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_A, e_3, e_B) \qquad (\not{J}_4)_{AB} := {}^{(N)} J^1[W](e_A, e_4, e_B)\,.$$

In the first instance we set

$$m = 0\,, \qquad \underline{m} = 0\,, \qquad \hat{i} = 0\,. \tag{6.30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
8\tilde{K}^1_q - 8\underline{\tilde{K}}^1_q &= j\Big\{q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\sigma - \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,\beta_q - \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\sigma - (\zeta + \not{d}\log q - 2\underline{\eta})\wedge\beta_q + \frac{1}{2}q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\wedge\alpha_q \\
&\quad + q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\sigma - \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}_q - \frac{3}{2}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\sigma + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q + 2\eta)\wedge\underline{\beta}_q - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + n\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\sigma + 2\eta\wedge\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} - \underline{n}\Big\{-q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\sigma - 2\underline{\eta}\wedge\beta_q\Big\} \\
&\quad = \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}\big(q\underline{D}\sigma + q^{-1}D\sigma\big) + 4\underline{\eta}\wedge\beta_q + 4\eta\wedge\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{n-\underline{n}}{2}\Big\{q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\sigma + 2\eta\wedge\underline{\beta}_q - q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\sigma - 2\underline{\eta}\wedge\beta_q\Big\} \\
&= \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{4}{\Omega}\tilde{\sigma} - \frac{3}{2}\Big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\sigma \\
&\quad + 2(3\underline{\eta} - \eta - \not{d}\log q)\wedge\beta_q + 2(3\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)\wedge\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{n-\underline{n}}{2}\Big\{-\frac{3}{2}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\sigma - \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta} + \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,\beta \\
&\quad + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge(\underline{\beta}_q + \beta_q) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\underline{\alpha}_q - \frac{1}{2}q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\wedge\alpha_q\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
8\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}_q^1 - 8\underline{\tilde{I}}_q^1 &= j\Big\{ q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q - \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\alpha}_q - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\beta}_q - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q + \underline{\alpha}_q^\sharp\cdot(2\zeta + 2\not{d}\log q + \eta) \\
&+ q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{\beta}_q - \not{d}\rho + {}^*\not{d}\sigma - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\underline{\eta}\rho - 3^*\underline{\eta}\sigma - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + 2q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\cdot\beta_q\Big\} \\
&+ \underline{n}\Big\{ q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{\beta}_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\underline{\eta}\rho - 3^*\underline{\eta}\sigma\Big\} \\
&- n\Big\{ -q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{ \frac{2}{\Omega}q\underline{D}\underline{\beta} - 2q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q - 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&+ \frac{2}{\Omega}q^{-1}D\underline{\beta} + 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 6\underline{\eta}\rho - 6^*\underline{\eta}\sigma - 2q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} + jq\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{ q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{\beta}_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\underline{\eta}\rho - 3^*\underline{\eta}\sigma \\
&- q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}\underline{\beta}_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{ \frac{4}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q - \frac{1}{2}\big(10q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 5\hat{\underline{L}}q - 3\hat{L}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ 3\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - 3^*\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma + \underline{\alpha}_q^\sharp\cdot\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\Big\} + j\,q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{ \big(-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \not{d}\rho + {}^*\not{d}\sigma + \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\alpha}_q + 2q\underline{\hat{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta - 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.32}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-16\underline{\tilde{I}}_q^1 &= j\Big\{ \frac{2}{\Omega}q^{-1}D\underline{\beta} - 2\not{d}\rho + 2^*\not{d}\sigma - 2q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\underline{\beta} + 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ 6\underline{\eta}\rho - 6^*\underline{\eta}\sigma - 2q^{-1}\chi\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + 4q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\cdot\beta_q\Big\} - 2n\Big\{ -\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\underline{\beta} + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= 4j\Big\{ \frac{1}{\Omega}q^{-1}D\underline{\beta} + \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + 3\underline{\eta}\rho - 3^*\underline{\eta}\sigma - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&+ 2n\Big\{ \Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\underline{\beta} - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta} - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\beta}_q + \eta^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= \big(2j + n\big)\Big\{ \frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q - \frac{1}{4}\big(10q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 5\hat{\underline{L}}q - 3\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ \frac{3}{2}\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - \frac{3}{2}{}^*\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\alpha}_q^\sharp\cdot\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\Big\} \\
&+ \big(2j - n\big)\Big\{ \big(2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\underline{\beta}_q - \not{d}\rho + {}^*\not{d}\sigma + \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\alpha}_q + 2q\underline{\hat{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta - 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{6.33}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
8\tilde{I}^1_q - 8\tilde{\Xi}^1_q &= j\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\beta + \not{d}\rho + {}^*\not{d}\sigma - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\beta_q + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q - 3\eta\rho - 3{}^*\eta\sigma - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + 2q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q \\
&+ \Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta_q + \not{\mathrm{div}}\alpha - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q + (2\zeta + 2\not{d}\log q - \underline{\eta})^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&+ \underline{n}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&- n\Big\{-\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\beta_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q + 3\eta\rho + 3{}^*\eta\sigma\Big\} \\
&= \Big(j + \frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}q\underline{D}\beta + 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q - 6\eta\rho - 6^*\eta\sigma - 2q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q \\
&+ \frac{2}{\Omega}q^{-1}D\beta_q - 2q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} + jq^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\beta_q \\
&+ \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta_q - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q \\
&- \Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\beta_q + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q + 3\eta\rho + 3{}^*\eta\sigma\Big\} \\
&= \Big(j + \frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}\Big)\Big\{\frac{4}{\Omega}\tilde{\beta}_q - \frac{1}{2}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 10q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}q + 5\hat{L}q\big)\beta_q \\
&- 3\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - 3^*\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma - \alpha_q^\sharp\cdot\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\Big\} + jq^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\beta_q \\
&+ \frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}\Big\{\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\beta_q + \not{\mathrm{div}}\alpha_q - \not{d}\rho - {}^*\not{d}\sigma \\
&+ 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q - 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \qquad (6.34)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
16\tilde{I}^1_q &= j\Big\{2\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\beta + 2\not{d}\rho + 2^*\not{d}\sigma - 2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\beta_q + 2\underline{\hat{\omega}}\beta_q - 6\eta\rho - 6^*\eta\sigma - 2q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + 4q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&+ 2\underline{n}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&= 4j\Big\{\frac{1}{\Omega}q\underline{D}\beta + \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\beta_q - q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - 3\eta\rho - 3^*\eta\sigma\Big\} \\
&+ 2\underline{n}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}D\beta - q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot\beta_q - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\beta_q - \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&= \Big(\frac{2j+\underline{n}}{2}\Big)\Big\{\frac{4}{\Omega}\tilde{\beta}_q - \frac{1}{2}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 10q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - \underline{\hat{L}}q + 5\hat{L}q\big)\beta_q \\
&- 3\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - 3^*\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma - \alpha_q^\sharp\cdot\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\Big\} \\
&+ \big(2j - \underline{n}\big)\Big\{\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\beta_q + \not{\mathrm{div}}\alpha_q - \not{d}\rho - {}^*\not{d}\sigma + 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q - 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\}
\end{aligned} \qquad (6.35)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\tilde{\underline{\Theta}}^1_q &= \frac{1}{2}j\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}\hat{D}\underline{\alpha}_q - \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q - \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha} + 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&+(\zeta+\not{d}\log q+4\underline{\eta})\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q - 3q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\rho + 3q^*\underline{\hat{\chi}}\sigma\Big\} + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}_q - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= j\Big\{\frac{1}{\Omega}q^{-1}\hat{D}\underline{\alpha} - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha}_q + 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\underline{\alpha}_q + 4\underline{\eta}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}n\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}_q - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q\Big\} \\
&= \frac{2j+n}{4}\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q - \frac{1}{4}\big(-2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+14q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-\hat{L}q^{-1}+7\underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&\quad + 2\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}+\not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{2j-n}{4}\Big\{-\frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q + \frac{1}{4}\big(-2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+14q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-\hat{L}q^{-1}+7\underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&\quad - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{\alpha}_q - 2\big(\eta+3\underline{\eta}\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q - 2\nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q - 6q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\rho + 6^*\underline{\hat{\chi}}\sigma\Big\} \qquad (6.36)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
4\tilde{\Theta}^1_q &= \frac{1}{2}j\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q\underline{\hat{D}}\alpha_q + \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - \frac{3}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q + 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\alpha_q \\
&\quad + (\zeta+\not{d}\log q-4\eta)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - 3q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\rho - 3q^{-1*}\hat{\chi}\sigma\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}\hat{D}\alpha - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\alpha_q - 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&= j\Big\{\frac{1}{\Omega}q\underline{\hat{D}}\alpha - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q + 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}q\big)\alpha_q - 4\eta\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\underline{n}\Big\{\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}\hat{D}\alpha_q - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\alpha_q - 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\Omega^{-1}Dq^{-1}\big)\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&= \frac{2j+\underline{n}}{4}\Big\{\frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\alpha}_q - \frac{1}{4}\big(-2q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+14q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-\underline{\hat{L}}q+7\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\alpha_q \\
&\quad - 2\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}-\not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big\} \\
&\quad + \frac{2j-\underline{n}}{4}\Big\{-\frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\alpha}_q + \frac{1}{4}\big(-2q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+14q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-\underline{\hat{L}}q+7\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\alpha_q \\
&\quad + 2\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}-\not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\alpha_q + 2\nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + 2(\zeta+\not{d}\log q)\hat{\otimes}\beta - 6\hat{\chi}\rho - 6^*\hat{\chi}\sigma\Big\} \qquad (6.37)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the second instance we set

$$
j = 0\,, \qquad n = 0\,, \qquad \underline{n} = 0\,,
$$

but we shall not list these expressions here and instead collect all terms with factors m, $\underline{m}$, and $\hat{i}$, directly under the label R^1, and Y^1 below. In view of Lemma 6.7 the algebraic

expressions for the null components of J^1 involving factors in m, $\underline{m}$, and $\hat{i}$ can be read off verbatim from Lemma 14.1 in [Chr09]. We employ immediately Prop. 6.4 to eliminate derivatives of W, W in null directions, DW, $\underline{D}W$ in favor of angular derivatives $\nabla\!\!\!/ W$. In the extreme cases where derivatives appear that cannot be directly eliminated using the Bianchi equations — such as $\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}$ in $\underline{\tilde{\Xi}}^1$ — we also use Lemma 6.5 to rewrite these in terms of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{q}{\Omega}\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2(\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q)\underline{\alpha}_q = \\
&\qquad = \frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\big(6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} - \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&\qquad - q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\underline{\alpha} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\underline{\alpha}_q - 2(\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q)\underline{\alpha}_q + 2\big(2\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q \\
&\qquad = \frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\big(6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 6q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} - \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_q \\
&\qquad\qquad + \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} + \big(4\underline{\eta} + 3\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q + 3q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\rho - 3q^*\underline{\hat{\chi}}\sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{6.38}$$

In conclusion:

$$\boxed{3(-8\tilde{\sigma})\big(\tilde{\underline{K}}^1 - \tilde{K}^1\big) = 6\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\tilde{\sigma}^2 + X_K^1 + Q_K^1 + Y_K^1 + R_K^1} \tag{6.39a}$$

$$X_K^1 := -\frac{9}{2}\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\tilde{\sigma}\sigma \tag{6.39b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_K^1 := {}& 3\tilde{\sigma}\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big\{2(3\underline{\eta} - \eta - \not{d}\log q)\wedge\beta_q + 2(3\eta - \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q)\wedge\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&+ 3\tilde{\sigma}\frac{n-\underline{n}}{2}\Big\{-\frac{3}{2}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\sigma - \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\underline{\beta} + \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\beta \\
&\qquad + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge(\underline{\beta}_q + \beta_q) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\underline{\alpha}_q - \frac{1}{2}q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\wedge\alpha_q\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{6.39c}$$

$$Y_K^1 := \frac{3}{2}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\tilde{\sigma}\hat{i}\wedge\alpha - \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\tilde{\sigma}\hat{i}\wedge\underline{\alpha}_q - 9q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\tilde{\sigma}m\wedge\underline{\beta}_q + 9q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\tilde{\sigma}m\wedge\beta_q \tag{6.39d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_K^1 := {}& 3\tilde{\sigma}m\wedge\Big\{\big(q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + \big(q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big)\beta_q + 2\not{d}\rho - 2q\underline{\hat{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot\beta_q + 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big\} \\
&- 2\,\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha - 2\,\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\alpha} - 2(\eta - 2\zeta - 2\not{d}\log q)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q - 2(\underline{\eta} + 2\zeta + 2\not{d}\log q)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big\} \\
&- 6\tilde{\sigma}\Big(\underline{m}, \underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot{}^*\alpha_q + \eta^\sharp\cdot{}^*\underline{\alpha}_q\Big) \\
&- 3\tilde{\sigma}\Big(\hat{i}, \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}^*\beta + \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}^*\underline{\beta} + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q)\hat{\otimes}({}^*\beta_q - {}^*\underline{\beta}_q) + 3(q^*\underline{\hat{\chi}} - q^{-1*}\hat{\chi})\rho + 3(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi})\sigma\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.39e}$$

$$\boxed{8(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, \tilde{\underline{\Xi}}^1 - \tilde{\underline{I}}^1) - 16(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, \tilde{\underline{I}}^1) = 2\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4} + \frac{2j+n}{2}\Big)|\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q|^2 + X^1_{\underline{\Xi}} + Q^1_{\underline{\Xi}} + Y^1_{\underline{\Xi}} + R^1_{\underline{\Xi}}}$$ (6.40a)

$$X^1_{\underline{\Xi}} := -\frac{1}{2}\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4} + \frac{2j+n}{2}\Big)\big(10q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 5\hat{\underline{L}}q - 3\hat{L}q\big)(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, \underline{\beta}_q)$$
$$+ j\,q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, \underline{\beta}_q) + \Big(\frac{\underline{n}-n}{2} + 2j - n\Big)\big(2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, \underline{\beta}_q)$$ (6.40b)

$$Q^1_{\underline{\Xi}} := \Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4} + \frac{2j+n}{2}\Big)\Big(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, 3\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\rho - 3^*\big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\sigma + \underline{\alpha}_q^\sharp \cdot \big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\Big)$$
$$+ \Big(\frac{\underline{n}-n}{2} + 2j - n\Big)\Big(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q, -\not{d}\rho + {}^*\not{d}\sigma + \not{\mathrm{div}}\,\underline{\alpha}_q + 2q\hat{\underline{\chi}}^\sharp \cdot \beta - 2\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)^\sharp \cdot \underline{\alpha}_q\Big)$$ (6.40c)

$$Y^1_{\underline{\Xi}} := 6q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A \hat{i}_A{}^B \beta_B + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \underline{\alpha}_{AB}\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A \underline{m}^B + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{m})\rho - 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}, {}^*\underline{m})\sigma$$
$$- \frac{1}{4}\big(6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 6q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} - \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_{AB}\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A m^B$$ (6.40d)

$$R^1_{\underline{\Xi}} := \frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_{AB}\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A m^B + \tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A \underline{m}^B\Big\{4\nabla\!\!\!/_B \underline{\beta}_A - q^{-1}\hat{\chi}_B{}^C \underline{\alpha}_{AC} + 2\big((\zeta + \not{d}\log q + 2\underline{\eta})\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\big)_{AB}$$
$$- 2(\nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta})_{AB} - \big((4\underline{\eta} - \zeta - \not{d}\log q)\hat{\otimes}\beta\big)_{AB} - \big((4\underline{\eta} + 3\zeta + \not{d}\log q)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\big)_{AB}\Big\}$$
$$- 2\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A \hat{i}^{BC}\Big\{\nabla\!\!\!/_C \underline{\alpha}_{AB} + (q\hat{\underline{\chi}} - 3q^{-1}\hat{\chi})_{CA}\underline{\beta}_B + (q\hat{\underline{\chi}} + 3q^{-1}\hat{\chi})_{CB}\underline{\beta}_A - (q\hat{\underline{\chi}} - 3q^{-1}\hat{\chi})_C{}^D \underline{\beta}_D \not{\varepsilon}_{AB}$$
$$- 2(\zeta + \not{d}\log q)_C \underline{\alpha}_{AB} - 3q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_{CA}\beta_B + 3q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_{CB}\beta_A + 3\not{\varepsilon}_{AB}q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_C{}^D\beta_D\Big\}$$
$$+ 3(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}, \underline{m})\Big\{\frac{3}{2}(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi)\rho + \not{\mathrm{div}}\,\beta + \not{\mathrm{div}}\,\underline{\beta}$$
$$+ (2\underline{\eta} + \zeta + \not{d}\log q, \beta) - (2\eta - \zeta - \not{d}\log q, \beta) - \frac{1}{2}(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}, \alpha) + \frac{1}{2}(q^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha})\Big\}$$
$$- 3(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}, {}^*\underline{m})\Big\{\frac{3}{2}(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi)\sigma - \not{\mathrm{curl}}\,\beta + \not{\mathrm{curl}}\,\underline{\beta}$$
$$- (2\underline{\eta} + \zeta + \not{d}\log q) \wedge \beta + (2\eta - \zeta - \not{d}\log q) \wedge \underline{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}q\hat{\underline{\chi}} \wedge \alpha + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\hat{\chi} \wedge \underline{\alpha}\Big\}$$
$$- 6\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A \underline{m}^B\Big\{\underline{\eta}_A\beta_B - \underline{\eta}_B\beta_A + \not{\varepsilon}_{AB}(\underline{\eta}, \beta) + \eta_A\underline{\beta}_B - \eta_B\underline{\beta}_A + \not{\varepsilon}_{AB}(\eta, \underline{\beta})\Big\}$$
$$+ 3\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A m^B\Big\{2(\zeta + \not{d}\log q)_B\underline{\beta}_A - q^{-1}\hat{\chi}_B{}^C\underline{\alpha}_{AC}\Big\} - 6\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A\hat{i}_A^B\not{d}_B\rho + 6\tilde{\underline{\beta}}^A\hat{i}_A{}^B\not{d}_B\sigma$$ (6.40e)

$$\boxed{8(\tilde\beta_q,\tilde I^1-\tilde\Xi^1)+16(\tilde\beta_q,\tilde I^1)=2\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(j+\frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}+\frac{2j+\underline{n}}{2}\Big)|\tilde\beta_q|^2+X^1_\Xi+Q^1_\Xi+Y^1_\Xi+R^1_\Xi} \tag{6.41a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}X^1_\Xi:=&-\Big(j+\frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}+\frac{2j+\underline{n}}{2}\Big)\frac12\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}}+10q^{-1}\hat\omega+3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-\hat{\underline{L}}q+5\hat Lq\big)(\tilde\beta_q,\beta_q)\\&+jq^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\tilde\beta_q,\beta_q)+\Big(\frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}+2j-\underline{n}\Big)\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-2q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)(\tilde\beta_q,\beta_q)\end{aligned} \tag{6.41b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q^1_\Xi:=&\Big(j+\frac{\underline{n}+n}{4}+\frac{2j+\underline{n}}{2}\Big)\Big(\tilde\beta_q,-3\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}-\not d\log q\big)\rho-3{}^*\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}-\not d\log q\big)\sigma-\alpha_q^\sharp\cdot\big(\eta+\underline{\eta}+\not d\log q\big)\Big)\\&+\Big(\frac{\underline{n}-n}{2}+2j-\underline{n}\Big)\Big(\tilde\beta_q,\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha_q-\not d\rho-{}^*\not d\sigma+2\big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q-2q^{-1}\hat\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}_q\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{6.41c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Y^1_\Xi:=&\,6q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\tilde\beta^A\hat i_A{}^B\underline{\beta}_B+\frac12q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\alpha_{AB}\tilde\beta^Am^B+3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\tilde\beta,m)\rho-3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi(\tilde\beta,{}^*m)\sigma\\&-\frac14\big(6q\hat{\underline{\omega}}+6q^{-1}\hat\omega+3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+7\hat{\underline{L}}q-\hat Lq^{-1}\big)\alpha_{AB}\tilde\beta^A\underline{m}^B\end{aligned} \tag{6.41d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}R^1_\Xi:=&\,\frac{2}{\Omega}\tilde\alpha_{AB}\tilde\beta^A\underline{m}^B+\tilde\beta^Am^B\Big\{4\nabla\!\!\!/_B\beta_A-q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_B{}^C\alpha_{AC}-2((-\zeta-\not d\log q+2\eta)\hat\otimes\beta)_{AB}\\&-2(\nabla\!\!\!/\hat\otimes\beta)_{AB}+((4\eta-\zeta-\not d\log q)\hat\otimes\underline{\beta})_{AB}+\big((4\eta+3\zeta+\not d\log q)\hat\otimes\beta\big)_{AB}\Big\}\\&-2\tilde\beta^A\hat i^{BC}\Big\{\nabla\!\!\!/_C\alpha_{AB}+(q^{-1}\hat\chi-3q\hat{\underline{\chi}})_{CA}\beta_B+(q^{-1}\hat\chi+3q\hat{\underline{\chi}})_{CB}\beta_A-(q^{-1}\hat\chi-3q\hat{\underline{\chi}})_C{}^D\beta_D\not\epsilon_{AB}\\&+2(\zeta+\not d\log q)_C\alpha_{AB}-3q^{-1}\hat\chi_{CA}\underline{\beta}_B+3q^{-1}\hat\chi_{CB}\underline{\beta}_A+3\not\epsilon_{AB}q^{-1}\hat\chi_C{}^D\underline{\beta}_D\Big\}\\&+3(\tilde\beta,m)\Big\{-\frac32(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi)\rho+\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}+\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\beta\\&-(2\underline{\eta}-\zeta-\not d\log q,\underline{\beta})+(2\underline{\eta}+\zeta+\not d\log q,\beta)-\frac12(q^{-1}\hat\chi,\underline{\alpha})+\frac12(q\hat{\underline{\chi}},\alpha)\Big\}\\&-3(\tilde\beta,{}^*m)\Big\{-\frac32(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi)\sigma-\mathrm{curl}\!\!\!/\,\underline{\beta}+\mathrm{curl}\!\!\!/\,\beta\\&+(2\eta-\zeta-\not d\log q)\wedge\underline{\beta}-(2\underline{\eta}+\zeta+\not d\log q)\wedge\beta+\frac12q^{-1}\hat\chi\wedge\underline{\alpha}+\frac12q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\wedge\alpha\Big\}\\&-6\tilde\beta^Am^B\Big\{\eta_A\underline{\beta}_B-\eta_B\underline{\beta}_A+\not\epsilon_{AB}(\eta,\underline{\beta})+\underline{\eta}_A\beta_B-\underline{\eta}_B\beta_A+\not\epsilon_{AB}(\underline{\eta},\beta)\Big\}\end{aligned}$$

$$+ 3\tilde{\beta}^A \underline{m}^B \Big\{ -2(\zeta + \not{d}\log q)_B \beta_A - q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_B{}^C \alpha_{AC} \Big\} + 6\tilde{\beta}^A \hat{i}_A^B \not{d}_B \rho + 6\tilde{\beta}^A \hat{i}_A{}^B \not{d}_B \sigma \quad (6.41e)$$

$$\boxed{4(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\tilde{\Theta}}^1) = \frac{n}{\Omega}|\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q|^2 + X^1_{\underline{\Theta}} + Q^1_{\underline{\Theta}} + Y^1_{\underline{\Theta}} + R^1_{\underline{\Theta}}} \quad (6.42a)$$

$$X^1_{\underline{\Theta}} := -\frac{1}{4}\frac{n}{2}\big(-2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 14q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 3q \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \hat{L}q^{-1} + 7\hat{\underline{L}}q\big)(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) - \frac{2j-n}{4} q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) \quad (6.42b)$$

$$Q^1_{\underline{\Theta}} := n\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \big(\eta + \underline{\eta} + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q\Big) - \frac{2j-n}{2}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q + \not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q + 3q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\rho - 3q^*\hat{\underline{\chi}}\sigma\Big) \quad (6.42c)$$

$$Y^1_{\underline{\Theta}} := -\frac{3}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \hat{i}\big)\rho + \frac{3}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, {}^*\hat{i}\big)\sigma - \frac{1}{4}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, m\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q\Big) \quad (6.42d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R^1_{\underline{\Theta}} := &-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\Big(\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\underline{\beta}_q + \not{d}\rho - {}^*\not{d}\sigma - 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}\Big)\Big) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, m\hat{\otimes}\Big(\not{\operatorname{div}}\underline{\alpha}_q + (\underline{\eta} - 2\zeta - 2\not{d}\log q)^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big)\Big) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \underline{\eta}\hat{\otimes}\Big(m^\sharp\cdot\underline{\alpha}_q\Big)\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\big(m, \eta + 2\not{d}\log q\big)\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \underline{\alpha}_q\big) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}^{AB}m^C\Big\{\not{\nabla}_C\underline{\alpha}_{AB} + (q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q)_{CAB}\Big\} - 2\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}^{AB}\hat{i}_A{}^D\not{\nabla}_D\underline{\beta}_B + \underline{\tilde{\alpha}}^{AB}\hat{i}^{CD}q^{-1}\hat{\chi}_{CA}\underline{\alpha}_{DB} \\ &- \big(\hat{i}, q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \underline{\alpha}_q\big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}, \big(\hat{i}^\sharp\cdot(\zeta + \not{d}\log q)\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q\Big) \end{aligned} \quad (6.42e)$$

$$\boxed{4(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \tilde{\Theta}^1) = \frac{\underline{n}}{\Omega}|\tilde{\alpha}_q|^2 + X^1_{\Theta} + Q^1_{\Theta} + Y^1_{\Theta} + R^1_{\Theta}} \quad (6.43a)$$

$$X^1_{\Theta} := -\frac{1}{4}\frac{\underline{n}}{2}\big(-2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 14q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - \hat{\underline{L}}q + 7\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) - \frac{2j-\underline{n}}{4}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) \quad (6.43b)$$

$$Q^1_{\Theta} := -\underline{n}\Big(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \big(\eta + \underline{\eta} - \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big) + \frac{2j-\underline{n}}{2}\Big(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}\beta_q + (\zeta + \not{d}\log q)\hat{\otimes}\beta - 3q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\rho - 3q^{-1*}\hat{\chi}\sigma\Big) \quad (6.43c)$$

$$Y^1_\Theta := -\frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big(\tilde\alpha,\hat i\big)\rho + \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big(\tilde\alpha,{}^*\hat i\big)\sigma + \frac{1}{4}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\Big(\tilde\alpha,\underline{m}\hat\otimes\beta_q\Big) \tag{6.43d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^1_\Theta := &-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde\alpha, m\hat\otimes\Big(\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\beta_q + \not d\rho + {}^*\not d\sigma + 2q^{-1}\hat\chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{\beta}\Big)\Big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde\alpha,\underline{m}\hat\otimes\Big(-\frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\beta_q + \operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\,\alpha_q + (\underline{\eta}+2\zeta+2\not d\log q)^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big)\Big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde\alpha,\eta\hat\otimes\Big(\underline{m}^\sharp\cdot\alpha_q\Big)\Big) + \frac{1}{2}\big(\underline{m},\underline{\eta}-2\not d\log q\big)\big(\tilde\alpha,\alpha_q\big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}\tilde\alpha^{AB}\underline{m}^C\Big\{\nabla\!\!\!/_C\alpha_{AB} + (q^{-1}\hat\chi\hat\otimes\beta_q)_{CAB}\Big\} + 2\tilde\alpha^{AB}\hat i_A{}^D\nabla\!\!\!/_D\beta_B + \tilde\alpha^{AB}\hat i^{CD}q\hat{\underline{\chi}}_{CA}\alpha_{DB}\\
&-\big(\hat i, q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\big)\big(\tilde\alpha,\alpha_q\big) + \frac{1}{2}\Big(\tilde\alpha,\big(\hat i^\sharp\cdot(\zeta+\not d\log q)\big)\hat\otimes\beta_q\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.43e}$$

The full divergence, $\operatorname{div} Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](M_q,M_q,M_q)$, is given — according to (6.7) — by the sum of (6.29a), (6.39a), (6.40a), (6.41a), (6.42a), and (6.43a). After multiplying by ϕ, the sum of the "principal terms" — namely the first terms in each of the formulas — is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(j+\frac{1}{4}(\underline{n}+n)\Big)\big(2|\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q|^2 + 6\tilde\rho^2 + 6\tilde\sigma^2 + 2|\tilde\beta_q|^2\big)\\
&\qquad + \frac{n}{\Omega}|\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q|^2 + 2\frac{2}{\Omega}(2j+n)|\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q|^2 + 2\frac{2}{\Omega}(2j+\underline{n})|\tilde\beta_q|^2 + \frac{\underline{n}}{\Omega}|\tilde\alpha_q|^2\Big] \le\\
&\le \frac{C}{\Omega}\frac{2}{r}\frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}|^2 + |\tilde{\underline{\beta}}|^2 + \tilde\rho^2 + \tilde\sigma^2 + |\tilde\beta|^2 + |\tilde\alpha|^2\Big] \le \frac{1}{\Omega}\frac{C}{r}Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used — the lower bound was already used in the prooof of Lemma 5.13 — that

$$\phi\, q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi = \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\chi}} \le \frac{2}{r}(1+\epsilon_0) \tag{6.44a}$$

$$\phi\, q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} = \frac{2}{r}\frac{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}{\overline{\Omega\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}}} \le \frac{2}{r}(1+\epsilon_0) \tag{6.44b}$$

Moreover, it then follows from (6.29h), (6.39c), (6.40c), (6.41c), (6.42c), and (6.43c) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|Q^1_\Lambda| + |Q^1_\Lambda| + |Q^1_{\underline{\Xi}}|^2 + |Q^1_\Xi|^2 + |Q_{\underline\Theta}|^2 + |Q_\Theta|^2\Big]\\
&\qquad \le \frac{C}{\Omega r}\Big(Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q) + Q[W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)\Big)\\
&+\frac{C}{\Omega r}\frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[\Omega^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{\alpha}_q|^2 + \Omega^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{\beta}_q|^2 + \Omega^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\rho|^2 + \Omega^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\sigma|^2 + \Omega^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\beta_q|^2 + \Omega^2|\alpha_q|^2\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{6.45}$$

Similarly for the terms R^1_Λ, R^1_K, $R^1_{\underline{\Xi}}$, R^1_Ξ, $R^1_{\underline{\Theta}}$, R^1_Θ.

□

6.3.2 J^2, and J^3

Here we analyse the currents J^2, and J^3. They contain "lower order" terms at the level of W, but also factors at the level of $\nabla\pi$. We are interested in their precise structure to show cancellations with "lower order" terms from J^1, and control the remainder with assumptions on $\nabla\Gamma$.

Recall the notation

$$p_\beta = \nabla^{\alpha\ (N)}\hat{\pi}_{\alpha\beta} \qquad p_3 = p_\beta e_3^\beta \qquad p_4 = p_\beta e_4^\beta\,,$$

and also that we denote by d the 3-form

$$d_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \nabla_\beta\ {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{\gamma\alpha} - \nabla_\gamma\ {}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{\beta\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}\Big(p_\beta g_{\alpha\gamma} - p_\gamma g_{\alpha\beta}\Big)$$

which has the algebraic properties of a Weyl current, and can be decomposed into the $S_{u,v}$-1-forms $\underline{\Xi}(d)$, $\Xi(d)$, the functions $\underline{\Lambda}(d)$, $\Lambda(d)$, $\underline{K}(d)$, $K(d)$, and the $S_{u,v}$ 2-forms $\underline{\Theta}(d)$, $\Theta(d)$; c.f. (12.51-61) in [Chr09].

In order to control the derivatives of the deformation tensor of N we introduce the following assumptions:

$$|D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad |\underline{D}\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\omega\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.iii)$$
$$|D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\omega\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\underline{D}\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$$
$$\Omega\big|\nabla\!\!\!/\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\omega\big)\big| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad \Omega\big|\nabla\!\!\!/\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}\big)\big| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.iv)$$

$$|D\underline{D}\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad |DD\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.v)$$
$$|\underline{D}\underline{D}\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad |\underline{D}D\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi$$
$$|\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/ D\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{D}\log q| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.vi)$$

$$|D(\Omega\zeta)| + |D(\Omega\not{d}\log q\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi \qquad |\underline{D}(\Omega\zeta)| + |\underline{D}(\Omega\not{d}\log q\big)| \le C_0\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} \quad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.vii)$$
$$\Omega|\nabla\!\!\!/(\Omega\zeta)| + \Omega|\nabla\!\!\!/(\Omega\not{d}\log q)| \le C_0\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big) \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA{:}II}.viii)$$

Remark 6.13. The assumptions (***BA:II***) should really be formulated in the $\mathrm{L}^4(S_{u,v})$ norm to be consistent with our existence proof, but we state them here for simplicity as L^∞ assumptions. While the scaling and weights are identical to the necessary assumptions in L^4, we can proceed here for convenience with estimating all quantities pointwise, as opposed to also considering integrations on $S_{u,v}$.

Lemma 6.14. *Assume that (**BA:I**.i-iii,iv,v,vi,vii) hold for some $C_0 > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$, (as in Lemma 6.10). Furthermore assume (**BA:I**.ii-viii) hold for some $C_0 > 0$. Then,*

$$\phi\Big[\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\Big]^2 + \phi\Big[\big(\operatorname{div} Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\Big]^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \geq$$
$$\geq \frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}\big[X^{2+3} + Y^{2+3}\big]$$
$$- \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega} Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q) - \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega} Q[W](n, M_q, M_q, M_q) - \frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big[\Omega^2 \not{P}^q\Big] \quad (6.47)$$

where

$$X^{2+3} := X_\Lambda^{2+3} + X_K^{2+3} + X_{\underline{\Xi}}^{2+3} + X_\Xi^{2+3} + X_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} + X_\Theta^{2+3}$$
$$Y^{2+3} := X_\Lambda^{2+3} + Y_K^{2+3} + Y_{\underline{\Xi}}^{2+3} + Y_\Xi^{2+3} + Y_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} + Y_\Theta^{2+3}$$

and X_Λ^{2+3}, X_K^{2+3}, $X_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3}$, X_Θ^{2+3}, are given by (6.51b), (6.52b), (6.54b), (6.53b), *respectively, while Y_Λ^{2+3}, Y_K^{2+3}, $Y_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3}$, Y_Θ^{2+3}, are given by* (6.51c), (6.52c), (6.54c), (6.53c), *respectively.*

Proof. For the null components of ${}^{(N)}J^2[W]$, we directly refer to (14.98) in [Chr09]:

$$8\tilde{\Lambda}^2 + 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^2 = -2(p_3 + p_4)\rho + 2(\not{p}, \beta_q - \underline{\beta}_q) \quad (6.48a)$$
$$8\tilde{K}^2 - 8\underline{\tilde{K}}^2 = -2(p_4 + p_3)\sigma - 2\not{p} \wedge (\beta_q + \underline{\beta}_q) \quad (6.48b)$$
$$4\tilde{\Theta}^2 = -p_3\alpha_q + \not{p}\,\hat{\otimes}\beta_q \quad (6.48c)$$
$$4\underline{\tilde{\Theta}}^2 = -p_4\underline{\alpha}_q - \not{p}\,\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q \quad (6.48d)$$
$$8(\tilde{\underline{\Xi}}^2 - 3\underline{\tilde{I}}^2) = 2p_3\underline{\beta}_q - 2\not{p}^\sharp \cdot \underline{\alpha}_q - 6p_4\underline{\beta}_q - 6\not{p}\,\rho + {}^*\not{p}\,\sigma \quad (6.48e)$$
$$8(-\tilde{\Xi}^2 + 3\tilde{I}^2) = 2p_4\beta_q + 2\not{p}^\sharp \cdot \alpha_q - 6p_3\beta_q + 6\not{p}\,\rho + 6{}^*\not{p}\,\sigma \quad (6.48f)$$

We do not write out the expressions for p at this point, due to cancellations with contributions from J^3.

For the null components of ${}^{(N)}J^3[W]$ we have Lemma 14.2 in [Chr09]:

$$8\tilde{\Lambda}^3 + 8\underline{\tilde{\Lambda}}^3 = \big(\underline{\Theta}(d), \alpha_q\big) + \big(\Theta(d), \underline{\alpha}_q\big) - 3\big(2\Lambda(d) + 2\underline{\Lambda}(d)\big)\rho + 3\big(2K(d) - 2\underline{K}(d)\big)\sigma$$
$$+ 2\big(\Xi(d), \underline{\beta}_q\big) - 2\big(\underline{\Xi}(d), \beta_q\big) \quad (6.49a)$$

$$8\tilde{K}^3 - 8\underline{\tilde{K}}^3 = -\underline{\Theta}(d) \wedge \alpha_q + \Theta(d) \wedge \underline{\alpha}_q - 3\big(2\Lambda(d) + 2\underline{\Lambda}(d)\big)\sigma - 3\big(2K(d) - 2\underline{K}(d)\big)\rho$$
$$+ 2\Xi(d) \wedge \underline{\beta}_q + 2\underline{\Xi}(d) \wedge \beta_q \quad (6.49b)$$

$$4\tilde{\Theta}^3 = 3\underline{\Lambda}(d)\alpha_q - 3\underline{K}(d)^*\alpha_q - 6I(d)\hat{\otimes}\beta + 3\Theta(d)\rho + 3^*\Theta(d)\sigma \tag{6.49c}$$

$$4\tilde{\underline{\Theta}}^3 = 3\Lambda(d)\underline{\alpha}_q - 3K(d)^*\underline{\alpha}_q + 6\underline{I}(d)\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta} + 3\underline{\Theta}(d)\rho - 3^*\underline{\Theta}(d)\sigma \tag{6.49d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}8\big(\tilde{\Xi}^3 - 3\tilde{\underline{I}}^3\big) &= 6(\Xi(d) - I(d))^\sharp \cdot \underline{\alpha}_q - 12\underline{\Lambda}(d)\underline{\beta}_q - 12\underline{K}(d)^*\underline{\beta}_q \\ &\quad + 6(\underline{\Xi}(d) + 3\underline{I}(d))\rho - 6^*(\underline{\Xi}(d) + 3\underline{I}(d))\sigma - 12\underline{\Theta}(d)\cdot\beta_q^\sharp\end{aligned} \tag{6.49e}$$

$$\begin{aligned}8\big(-\tilde{\Xi}^3 + 3I^3\big) &= +6(\underline{I}(d) - \underline{\Xi}(d))^\sharp \cdot \alpha_q - 12\Lambda(d)\beta_q - 12K(d)^*\beta_q \\ &\quad - 6(\Xi(d) + 3I(d))\rho - 3^*(\Xi(d) + 3I(d))\sigma - 12\Theta(d)\cdot\underline{\beta}_q^\sharp\end{aligned} \tag{6.49f}$$

Now most importantly,

$$2\Lambda(d) = \frac{1}{2}d_{434} = \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} + \frac{1}{3}p_4 = -\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} + g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A4} - \frac{2}{3}p_4$$

$$2\underline{\Lambda}(d) = \frac{1}{2}d_{343} = -\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} + g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A3} - \frac{2}{3}p_3$$

where we used that

$$p_4 = \nabla^{\alpha(N)}\hat{\pi}_{\alpha 4} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} + \nabla^{A(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A4}$$

$$p_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{33} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{43} + \nabla^{A(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A3}$$

Moreover,

$$2\Xi_A(d) = \Omega^{-1}q^{-1}Dm + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot m - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)m - \nabla\!\!\!/_A n - \big(\eta + \not{d}\log q\big)n$$

$$2\underline{\Xi}_A(d) = \Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\underline{m} + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{m} - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)\underline{m} - \nabla\!\!\!/_A\underline{n} + \big(\eta + \not{d}\log q\big)\underline{n}$$

$$2\Theta(d) = 2q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i} - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\hat{i} - \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}m + 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}j + q\hat{\underline{\chi}}n - \big(2\underline{\eta} + \zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}m$$

$$2\underline{\Theta}(d) = 2q\Omega^{-1}\hat{\underline{D}}\hat{i} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\hat{i} - \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{m} + 2q\hat{\underline{\chi}}j + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\underline{n} - \big(2\underline{\eta} - \zeta - \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{m}$$

$$2K(d) = \operatorname{cur}\!\!\!/ m + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\hat{i} + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge m$$

$$2\underline{K}(d) = \operatorname{cur}\!\!\!/\underline{m} + q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\wedge\hat{i} - \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge\underline{m}$$

$$2I(d) = q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{m} - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\underline{m} - \not{d}j - 2\underline{\eta}j - 2\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\hat{i} + \underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot m + \frac{2}{3}\not{p}$$

$$2\underline{I}(d) = q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}m - \big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)m - \not{d}j - 2\eta j - 2\eta^\sharp\cdot\hat{i} + \chi^\sharp\cdot\underline{m} + \frac{2}{3}\not{p}$$

Note also,

$$\begin{aligned}\not{p} &= -\frac{1}{2}\big(q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{m} + q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}m\big) + \operatorname{div}\!\!\!/\hat{i} + \frac{1}{2}\not{d}j + \big(\underline{\eta} + \eta\big)^\sharp\cdot\hat{i} + \big(\eta + \underline{\eta}\big)j \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\underline{m} - \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)m\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = q^{-1}\hat{L}j \qquad \nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = q\hat{\underline{L}}j$$
$$g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A4} = \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\, m - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi j - \frac{1}{2}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}n$$
$$g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A3} = \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\, \underline{m} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}j - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\underline{n}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
8\tilde{\Lambda}^3 + 8\tilde{\underline{\Lambda}}^3 = &\Big[3\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}Dj + 3\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}j - 3\,\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,(m+\underline{m}) \\
&\quad + 3\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)j + \frac{3}{2}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\,n + \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\underline{n}\Big]\rho + 2(p_3+p_4)\rho \\
&+ \Big(\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}Dm + q^{-1}\chi^\sharp\cdot m - \big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)m - \nabla\!\!\!/_A n - \big(\eta + \not{d}\log q\big)n, \underline{\beta}_q\Big) \\
&\quad - \Big(\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}\underline{m} + q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline{m} - \big(q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)\underline{m} - \nabla\!\!\!/_A\underline{n} + \big(\eta + \not{d}\log q\big)\underline{n}, \beta_q\Big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\big(2q\Omega^{-1}\hat{\underline{D}}\hat{i} - \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\hat{i} - \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{m} + 2q\hat{\underline{\chi}}j + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\underline{n} - \big(2\underline{\eta} - \zeta - \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{m}, \alpha_q\big) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}\big(2q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\hat{i} - \nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}m + 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}j + q\hat{\underline{\chi}}n - \big(2\underline{\eta} + \zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}m, \underline{\alpha}_q\big) \\
&+ 3\Big(\mathrm{curl}\!\!\!/\, m + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\hat{i} + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge m - \mathrm{curl}\!\!\!/\,\underline{m} - q\hat{\underline{\chi}}\wedge\hat{i} + \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge\underline{m}\Big)\sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{6.50}$$

Note now that by adding (6.48a) and (6.50) the term $2(p_3+p_4)\rho$ cancels. Therefore — let us only write the ρ and σ terms in the following formula — we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
8\big(\tilde{\Lambda}^2 + \tilde{\Lambda}^3\big) + 8\big(\tilde{\underline{\Lambda}}^2 + \tilde{\underline{\Lambda}}^3\big) \doteq\ & 3\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\rho \\
&+ \Big[3\Omega^{-1}q^{-1}Dj + 3\Omega^{-1}q\underline{D}j + 3\frac{n-\underline{n}}{4}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\Big]\rho
\end{aligned}$$

For the following recall also that

$$\underline{m} + m = 0\,.$$

In conclusion:

$$\boxed{3\cdot 8\tilde{\rho}\Big(\tilde{\underline{\Lambda}}^2 + \tilde{\underline{\Lambda}}^3 + \tilde{\Lambda}^2 + \tilde{\Lambda}^3\Big) = X_\Lambda^{2+3} + Y_\Lambda^{2+3} + Q_\Lambda^2 + Q_\Lambda^{2,4} + Q_\Lambda^3 + Q_\Lambda^{3,4}} \tag{6.51a}$$

where

$$X_\Lambda^{2+3} := 9\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\rho\tilde{\rho} \tag{6.51b}$$

$$Y_\Lambda^{2+3} := 3\tilde\rho\big(q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat D}\hat i,\alpha_q\big) - \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi\big(\hat i,\alpha_q\big) + 3\tilde\rho\big(q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat D\hat i,\underline{\alpha}_q\big) - \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big(\hat i,\underline{\alpha}_q\big) \tag{6.51c}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\Lambda^2 &= 3\tilde\rho\Big(2\big(\underline\eta+\eta\big)^\sharp\cdot\hat i + 2\big(\eta+\underline\eta\big)j, \beta_q-\underline\beta_q\Big)\\
&\qquad + 3\tilde\rho\Big(q^{-1}\hat\omega + \hat L q^{-1} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - q\hat{\underline\omega} - \hat{\underline L}q - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi\Big)\big(m,\beta_q-\underline\beta_q\big)\\
Q_\Lambda^{2,4} &= -\frac{3\tilde\rho}{\Omega}\Big(q^{-1}D\underline m + q\underline D m - 2\Omega\,\mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\hat i - \Omega\not d j, \beta_q-\underline\beta_q\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\Lambda^3 &= 9\tilde\rho\frac{n-\underline n}{4}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\rho\\
&\quad + \frac{3\tilde\rho}{2}\Big(q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2q^{-1}\hat\omega - 2\hat L q^{-1}\Big)\big(m,\underline\beta_q\big) - \frac{3\tilde\rho}{2}\Big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi - 2q\hat{\underline\omega} - 2\hat{\underline L}q\Big)\big(\underline m,\beta_q\big)\\
&+\frac{9\tilde\rho}{2\Omega}\Big[D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi-2\underline\omega\big)-q^{-2}D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-2\omega\big)-D\log q\underline D\log q-q^{-2}D\log qD\log q\Big]\rho\\
&+\frac{9\tilde\rho}{2\Omega}\Big[q^2\underline D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi-2\underline\omega\big)-\underline D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-2\omega\big)-q^2\underline D\log q\underline D\log q-\underline D\log qD\log q\Big]\rho\\
&\qquad + \frac{3\tilde\rho}{\Omega}\Big(q^{-1}\Omega\hat\chi^\sharp\cdot m - 2q^{-1}\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/\log qD\log q - \Omega\big(\eta+\not d\log q\big)n,\underline\beta_q\Big)\\
&\qquad - \frac{3\tilde\rho}{\Omega}\Big(q\Omega\underline{\hat\chi}^\sharp\cdot\underline m - 2q\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/\log q\underline D\log q + \Omega\big(\eta+\not d\log q\big)\underline n,\beta_q\Big)\\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho\big(2q\underline{\hat\chi}j + q^{-1}\hat\chi\underline n - \big(2\underline\eta-\zeta-\not d\log q\big)\hat\otimes\underline m,\alpha_q\big)\\
&\qquad\quad + \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho\big(2q^{-1}\hat\chi j + q\underline{\hat\chi}n - \big(2\underline\eta+\zeta+\not d\log q\big)\hat\otimes m,\underline\alpha_q\big)\\
&\qquad\quad + 9\tilde\rho\Big(q^{-1}\hat\chi\wedge\hat i + \big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)\wedge m - q\underline{\hat\chi}\wedge\hat i + \big(\zeta+\not d\log q\big)\wedge\underline m\Big)\sigma
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_\Lambda^{3,4} &= \frac{9\tilde\rho}{2\Omega}\Big[D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi-2\underline\omega\big)+q^{-2}D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-2\omega\big)-D\underline D\log q+q^{-2}DD\log q\\
&\qquad + q^2\underline D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi-2\underline\omega\big)+\underline D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-2\omega\big)-q^2\underline{DD}\log q+\underline DD\log q\Big]\rho\\
&\qquad + \frac{3\tilde\rho}{\Omega}\Big(q^{-1}Dm + 2q^{-1}\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/D\log q,\underline\beta_q\Big) - \frac{3\tilde\rho}{\Omega}\Big(q\underline{Dm} - 2q\Omega\nabla\!\!\!/\underline D\log q,\beta_q\Big)\\
&\qquad\qquad + 9\tilde\rho\Big(\mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,m - \mathrm{cur}\!\!\!/\,\underline m\Big)\sigma - \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho\big(\nabla\!\!\!/\hat\otimes\underline m,\alpha_q\big) - \frac{3}{2}\tilde\rho\big(\nabla\!\!\!/\hat\otimes m,\underline\alpha_q\big)
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used that

$$j = \frac{1}{2}q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline\chi-2\underline\omega\big)+\frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi-2\omega\big)-\frac{1}{2}q\underline D\log q+\frac{1}{2}q^{-1}D\log q$$

$$q^{-1}Dj = \frac{1}{2}D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}\big) - \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}D\log q\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\omega\big)$$
$$- \frac{1}{2}D\log q\underline{D}\log q - \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}D\log qD\log q$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - 2\underline{\omega}\big) + \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}D\big(\Omega\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 2\omega\big) - \frac{1}{2}D\underline{D}\log q + \frac{1}{2}q^{-2}DD\log q$$

and similarly for $q\underline{D}j$. Also,

$$n = -2q^{-1}D\log q$$
$$\nabla\!\!\!/ n = 2q^{-1}\nabla\!\!\!/\log qD\log q - 2q^{-1}\nabla\!\!\!/ D\log q$$

and similarly for $\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{n}$.

Analogously we conclude:

$$\boxed{3\cdot 8\tilde{\sigma}\Big(\tilde{K}^2 + \tilde{K}^3 - \underline{\tilde{K}}^2 - \underline{\tilde{K}}^3\Big) = X_K^{2+3} + Y_K^{2+3} + Q_K^2 + Q_K^{2,4} + Q_K^3 + Q_K^{3,4}} \tag{6.52a}$$

where

$$X_K^{2+3} := 9\Big(j + \frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\Big)\sigma\tilde{\sigma} \tag{6.52b}$$

$$Y_K^{2+3} := -3\tilde{\sigma}q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}\wedge\alpha + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\sigma}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\hat{i}\wedge\alpha + 3\sigma q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i}\wedge\underline{\alpha} - \frac{3}{2}\tilde{\sigma}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\hat{i}\wedge\underline{\alpha} \tag{6.52c}$$

The terms Q_K^2, $Q_K^{2,4}$, Q_K^3, $Q_K^{3,4}$, are of a similar structure as Q_Λ^2, $Q_\Lambda^{2,4}$, Q_Λ^3, $Q_\Lambda^{3,4}$, respectively.

With regard of the $\underline{\Theta}$, and Θ components, we compute:

$$-p_3 + 3\underline{\Lambda}(d) = \nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{33} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{A(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A3}$$
$$-p_4 + 3\Lambda(d) = \nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{A(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A4}$$
$$\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{33} = q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{n} - 4\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\underline{m} + 2\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\underline{n}$$
$$\nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{44} = q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}n - 4\eta^\sharp\cdot m + 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q\big)n$$

and

$$\not{p} - 6I(d) = -\not{p} - 3q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{m} + 3\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\underline{m} + 3\not{d}j + 6\underline{\eta}j + 6\underline{\eta}^\sharp\cdot\hat{i} - 3q\underline{\chi}^\sharp\cdot m$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\big(-5q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{m} + q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}m\big) - \mathrm{div}\!\!\!/\,\hat{i} + \frac{5}{2}\not{d}j - \big(-5\underline{\eta} + \eta\big)^\sharp\cdot\hat{i} - \big(\eta - 5\underline{\eta}\big)j$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2}\big(7q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\underline{m} + \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \underline{\hat{L}}q - 2q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big)m - 3\underline{\hat{\chi}}^\sharp\cdot m$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\not{p} + 6\underline{I}(d) &= \not{p} + 3q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}m - 3\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)m - 3\not{d}j - 6\eta j - 6\eta^{\sharp}\cdot\hat{i} + 3q^{-1}\chi^{\sharp}\cdot\underline{m} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\big(q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{m} - 5q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}m\big) + \not{\mathrm{div}}\hat{i} - \frac{5}{2}\not{d}j + \big(\underline{\eta} - 5\eta\big)^{\sharp}\cdot\hat{i} + \big(-5\eta + \underline{\eta}\big)j \\
&\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{L}q^{-1} - 4q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\underline{m} - \frac{1}{2}\big(7q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 7\hat{\underline{L}}q + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)m + 3\hat{\chi}^{\sharp}\cdot\underline{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_4{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} = q^{-1}\hat{L}j \qquad \nabla_3{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{34} &= q\hat{\underline{L}}j \\
g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A4} &= \not{\mathrm{div}}m - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi j - \frac{1}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}n \\
g^{AC}\nabla_C{}^{(N)}\hat{\pi}_{A3} &= \not{\mathrm{div}}\underline{m} - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}j - \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\underline{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\boxed{4(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \tilde{\Theta}^2 + \tilde{\Theta}^3) = X_{\Theta}^{2+3} + Y_{\Theta}^{2+3} + Q_{\Theta}^{2+3}} \tag{6.53a}
$$

where

$$
X_{\Theta}^{2+3} := \frac{1}{2}q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}j(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) + \frac{1}{4}\Big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 8q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 8\hat{L}q^{-1}\Big)\underline{n}(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) \tag{6.53b}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{\Theta}^{2+3} &:= -\frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\Big(\rho\tilde{\alpha}_q - \sigma^*\tilde{\alpha}, \hat{i}\Big) + 3\Big(\rho\tilde{\alpha}_q - \sigma^*\tilde{\alpha}_q, q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i}\Big) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\big(7q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 7\hat{L}q^{-1} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)(\tilde{\alpha}, \underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\beta) + \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + \hat{\underline{L}}q - 2q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)(\tilde{\alpha}, m\hat{\otimes}\beta)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.53c}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{\Theta}^{2+3} &:= \Big[q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}D\underline{n} - 4\underline{\eta}^{\sharp}\cdot\underline{m} - \frac{1}{2}q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}j - \frac{1}{2}\not{\mathrm{div}}\underline{m}\Big](\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) \\
&\quad - \frac{3}{2}\Big[\not{\mathrm{curl}}\underline{m} + q\underline{\hat{\chi}}\wedge\hat{i} - \big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\wedge\underline{m}\Big](\tilde{\alpha}_q, {}^*\alpha_q) + \Big(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \big(\not{p} - 6I(d)\big)'\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big) \\
&\qquad + \frac{3}{2}\Big(\rho\tilde{\alpha}_q - \sigma^*\tilde{\alpha}_q, -\not{\nabla}\hat{\otimes}m - \big(2\underline{\eta} + \zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}m + 2q^{-1}\hat{\chi}j + q\underline{\hat{\chi}}n\Big)
\end{aligned} \tag{6.53d}
$$

(Here $(\not{p} - 6I)'$ denotes the above expression for $\not{p} - 6I$ without the border line terms included in Y_{Θ}^{2+3} above.)

Similarly,

$$
\boxed{4(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\tilde{\Theta}}^2 + \underline{\tilde{\Theta}}^3) = X_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} + Y_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} + Q_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3}} \tag{6.54a}
$$

$$
X_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} := \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi j(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) + \frac{1}{4}\Big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + 8q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 8\hat{\underline{L}}q\Big)n(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) \tag{6.54b}
$$

$$Y^{2+3}_{\underline{\Theta}} := -\frac{3}{2}\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\Big(\rho\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}+\sigma^*\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},\hat{i}\Big)+3\Big(\rho\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}+\sigma^*\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}\Big)$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\hat{L}q^{-1}-4q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\Big(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},\underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big)-\frac{1}{2}\big(7q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+7\underline{\hat{L}}q+q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\Big(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},m\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big) \quad (6.54c)$$

$$Q^{2+3}_{\underline{\Theta}} := \Big[q\Omega^{-1}\underline{D}n-4\eta^\sharp\cdot m-\frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\hat{L}j-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{div}\!\!\!/ m\Big](\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q,\underline{\alpha}_q)$$
$$-\frac{3}{2}\Big[\operatorname{curl}\!\!\!/ m+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\wedge\hat{i}+\big(\zeta+\not{d}\log q\big)\wedge m\Big](\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q,{}^*\underline{\alpha}_q)+\Big(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},\big(6\underline{I}(d)-\not{p}\big)'\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big)$$
$$+\frac{3}{2}\Big(\rho\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}+\sigma^*\tilde{\underline{\alpha}},-\nabla\!\!\!/\hat{\otimes}\underline{m}+2q\underline{\hat{\chi}}j+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\underline{n}-\big(2\underline{\eta}-\zeta-\not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\underline{m}\Big) \quad (6.54d)$$

□

6.3.3 Proof of Prop. 6.8

The statement of Proposition 6.8 follows from Lemma 6.10 and Lemma 6.14. Indeed by adding (6.26) and (6.47) we obtain

$$\phi\big(\operatorname{div}Q(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W)\big)(M_q,M_q,M_q)\geq\frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}\big[X+Y\big]$$
$$-\frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega}Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)-\frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega}Q[W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)-\frac{C}{r}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big[\Omega^2\not{P}^q\Big] \quad (6.55)$$

and it remains to control

$$X := X^1+X^{2+3}\,,\qquad Y := Y^1+Y^{2+3}\,. \quad (6.56)$$

We will show that the terms in X essentially cancel, while the terms in Y are controlled by our assumptions.

Consider first

$$X_\Lambda := X^1_\Lambda+X^{2+3}_\Lambda \qquad X_K := X^1_K+X^{2+3}_K \quad (6.57a)$$

We have by (6.29e) and (6.51b) as well as (6.39b) and (6.52b) that

$$X_\Lambda=\frac{9}{2}\Big(j+\frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)\Big[q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi-2q\underline{\hat{\omega}}-2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-\underline{\hat{L}}q-\hat{L}q^{-1}\Big]\rho\tilde{\rho}$$
$$=\frac{9}{\Omega}\Big(j+\frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)j\rho\tilde{\rho} \quad (6.57b)$$
$$X_K=\frac{9}{\Omega}\Big(j+\frac{n+\underline{n}}{4}\Big)j\tilde{\sigma}\sigma$$

Thus

$$\phi|X_\Lambda| \leq \frac{9}{\Omega}\frac{3C}{2}\phi\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)|j|\tilde{\rho}\rho \leq \frac{27}{\Omega}\frac{(2C)^3}{r}\tilde{\rho}\rho \tag{6.57c}$$

Moreover for

$$X_\Theta := X_\Theta^1 + X_\Theta^{2+3} \qquad X_{\underline{\Theta}} = X_{\underline{\Theta}}^1 + X_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3} \tag{6.58a}$$

we have by (6.42b) and (6.54b) as well as (6.43b) and (6.53b) that

$$\begin{aligned} X_\Theta &= \frac{n}{8}\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \hat{\underline{L}}q + 9\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) \\ &= -\frac{\underline{n}j}{4\Omega}(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) + \underline{n}\hat{L}q^{-1}(\tilde{\alpha}_q, \alpha_q) \\ X_{\underline{\Theta}} &= \frac{n}{8}\big(2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + \hat{L}q^{-1} + 9\hat{\underline{L}}q\big)(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) \\ &= -\frac{nj}{4\Omega}(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) + n\hat{\underline{L}}q(\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q, \underline{\alpha}_q) \end{aligned} \tag{6.58b}$$

and thus

$$\phi|X_\Theta| \leq \frac{1}{\Omega}\frac{C}{r}|\tilde{\alpha}_q||\alpha_q| \qquad \phi|X_{\underline{\Theta}}| \leq \frac{1}{\Omega}\frac{C}{r}|\tilde{\underline{\alpha}}_q||\underline{\alpha}_q| \tag{6.58c}$$

Similarly for $X_{\underline{\Xi}}$ and X_Ξ.

Let us now turn to the "borderline error terms" Y.[38] We consider first

$$Y_\Lambda := Y_\Lambda^1 + Y_\Lambda^{2+3} \tag{6.59a}$$

which in view of (6.29f) and (6.51c) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\Lambda = -3\tilde{\rho}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big(\hat{i}, \alpha_q\big) - 3\tilde{\rho}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big(\hat{i}, \underline{\alpha}_q\big) - 9q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\tilde{\rho}\big(m, \beta_q\big) + 9q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\tilde{\rho}\big(\underline{m}, \underline{\beta}_q\big) \\ + 3\tilde{\rho}\big(q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}, \alpha_q\big) + 3\tilde{\rho}\big(q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i}, \underline{\alpha}_q\big) \end{aligned} \tag{6.59b}$$

By (***BA:I***.iv_ϵ), (***BA:I***.vi_ϵ) and (***BA:II***.i) we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \phi|\tilde{\rho}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big(\hat{i}, \alpha_q\big)| &\leq |\tilde{\rho}|\frac{4\epsilon_0}{r}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)|\alpha_q| \leq \epsilon_0\frac{C}{r}\big[|\tilde{\rho}|^2 + |\alpha_q|^2\big] \\ \phi|q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\tilde{\rho}\big(\underline{m}, \underline{\beta}_q\big)| &\leq \frac{4}{r}\tilde{\rho}\big(\Omega|\eta| + \Omega|\underline{\eta}| + \Omega|\not{d}\log q|\big)|\underline{\beta}| \leq \epsilon_0\frac{C}{r}\big[\tilde{\rho}^2 + |\underline{\beta}|^2\big] \\ \phi|\tilde{\rho}\big(q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}, \alpha_q\big)| &\leq \frac{\phi}{\Omega}|\tilde{\rho}|q|\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}||\alpha_q| \leq \frac{|\tilde{\rho}|}{\Omega}\phi\Big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)|\alpha_q| \leq \frac{C}{\Omega r}|\tilde{\rho}||\alpha_q| \end{aligned}$$

[38] The appearance of such *borderline error integrals* may be familiar already from [Chr09]. Indeed terms of precisely this form play a prominent role in Chapter 14.2, see e.g. (14.32, 14.34, 14.37) in [Chr09], which also require more precise estimates. Here we simply deal with these terms by imposing stricter assumptions on $\hat{\chi}$, $\underline{\hat{\chi}}$, and η, $\underline{\eta}$ but it is plausible that a different way to estimate these terms can be found.

and thus

$$\frac{\phi}{(2\Omega)^3}|Y_\Lambda| \geq -\big(\epsilon_0+\Omega^{-1}\big)\frac{C}{r}\big(Q[W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)+Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W](n,M_q,M_q,M_q)\big) \quad (6.59c)$$

Next consider

$$Y_\Theta := Y_\Theta^1 + Y_\Theta^{2+3} \qquad Y_{\underline{\Theta}} := Y_{\underline{\Theta}}^1 + Y_{\underline{\Theta}}^{2+3}\,. \quad (6.60a)$$

By (6.43d) and (6.53c) as well as (6.42d) and (6.54c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\Theta &= -3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big(\tilde{\alpha},\hat{i}\big)\rho + 3q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big(\tilde{\alpha},{}^*\hat{i}\big)\sigma + \frac{3}{4}\big(q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big)\Big(\tilde{\alpha},\underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big) \\ &+3\Big(\rho\tilde{\alpha}_q-\sigma^*\tilde{\alpha}_q,q^{-1}\Omega^{-1}\hat{D}\hat{i}\Big)+\frac{1}{4}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}-2q\underline{\hat{\omega}}-2\underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\Big(\tilde{\alpha},\underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\beta_q\Big)+\frac{7}{2}\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)(\tilde{\alpha},\underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (6.60b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\underline{\Theta}} &= -3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},\hat{i}\big)\rho + 3q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},{}^*\hat{i}\big)\sigma - \frac{3}{4}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},m\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}_q\Big) \\ &+3\Big(\rho\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}+\sigma^*\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},q\Omega^{-1}\underline{\hat{D}}\hat{i}\Big)-\frac{1}{4}\big(2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+2\hat{L}q^{-1}-q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},\underline{m}\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big)-\frac{7}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\underline{\hat{L}}q\big)\Big(\underline{\tilde{\alpha}},m\hat{\otimes}\underline{\beta}\Big) \end{aligned} \quad (6.60c)$$

and thus

$$\phi|Y_\Theta| \leq \frac{C}{r}\big(\epsilon_0+\Omega^{-1}C_0\big)|\tilde{\alpha}|\big[|\rho|+|\sigma|+|\beta_q|\big] \quad (6.60d)$$

$$\phi|Y_{\underline{\Theta}}| \leq \frac{C}{r}\big(\epsilon_0+\Omega^{-1}C_0\big)|\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|\big[|\rho|+|\sigma|+|\underline{\beta}_q|\big] \quad (6.60e)$$

Similarly for $Y_{\underline{\Xi}}$ and Y_Ξ. □

6.4 Integral inequality

We discuss briefly the implications of the integral inequality obtained with the help of Prop. 6.8. The remaining error term is the subject of Section 7, where it will be shown that after integration on Σ_r it is controlled by the *same* error terms already introduced in (6.20), and thus does not alter the following discussion.

6.4.1 Gronwall argument

Consider an integral inequality for a positive function $f:\mathbb{R}^+\to\mathbb{R}^+$ of the form

$$f(r_2)+\int_{r_1}^{r_2}\Big[\frac{\kappa(r)}{r}f(r)-\frac{C}{r}h(r)\Big]\mathrm{d}r \leq f(r_1) \qquad \forall r_2>r_1\geq r_0 \quad (6.61)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant, $h : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ an arbitrary function, and $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ a positive function with the property that

$$\kappa_0 \le \kappa(r) \le \kappa_1 \tag{6.62}$$

for some positive constants $0 < \kappa_0 < \kappa_1 < \infty$.

With

$$F(r_2, r_1) := \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \Big[\frac{\kappa(r)}{r} f(r) - \frac{C}{r} h(r) \Big] \mathrm{d}r \tag{6.63}$$

we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \Big[F(r_2, r) r^{\kappa_1} \Big]_{r=r_1} &= \frac{\kappa(r_1)}{r_1} \Big[-f(r_1) + \frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa(r_1)} F(r_2, r_1) + \frac{C}{\kappa(r_1)} h(r_1) \Big] r_1^{\kappa_1} \\ &\le -\kappa(r_1) f(r_2) r_1^{\kappa_1 - 1} + \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa(r_1)}{r_1} F(r_2, r_1) r_1^{\kappa_1} + C h(r_1) r_1^{\kappa_1 - 1} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \Big[F(r_2, r) r^{\kappa_1} e^{-K(r)} \Big]_{r=r_1} \le \Big[-\kappa_0 f(r_2) r_1^{\kappa_1 - 1} + C h(r_1) r_1^{\kappa_1 - 1} \Big] e^{-K(r_1)}$$

where

$$K(r) := \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{\kappa_1 - \kappa(r)}{r} \mathrm{d}r \ge 0 \tag{6.64}$$

and we assume $K \le K_1$ for some constant $0 < K_1 < \infty$. Then we may integrate in r_1 on $[r_0, r_2]$

$$-F(r_2, r_0) r_0^{\kappa_1} e^{-K(r_0)} \le -f(r_2) \frac{\kappa_0 e^{-K_1}}{\kappa_1} \big(r_2^{\kappa_1} - r_0^{\kappa_1} \big) + C \int_{r_0}^{r_2} |h(r)| r^{\kappa_1 - 1} \mathrm{d}r$$

This implies the following upper bound on f:

$$\big(r^{\kappa_1} - r_0^{\kappa_1} \big) f(r) \le \frac{\kappa_1 r_0^{\kappa_1} e^{K_1}}{\kappa_0} f(r_0) + \frac{C \kappa_1 e^{K_1}}{\kappa_0} H(r, r_0)$$

where

$$H(r_1, r_0) := \int_{r_0}^{r_1} |h(r)| r^{\kappa_1 - 1} \mathrm{d}r \tag{6.65}$$

If also $H < H_1 < \infty$ is finite, then for some constant C, depending on κ_1/κ_0, K_1, H_1 and $f(r_0)$, we have for all $r \ge 2r_0$,

$$f(r) \le \frac{C}{r^{\kappa_1}} \,. \tag{6.66}$$

6.4.2 Applications

In Section 5.3 we have shown (6.61) to be true with

$$f(r) := \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W] \qquad \kappa = \kappa_1 = 6 - \epsilon \qquad h = 0 \tag{6.67}$$

which trivially implies, c.f. Proposition 5.14,

$$r^{6-\epsilon} f(r) \leq C r_0^{6-\epsilon} f(r_0) \qquad r \geq 2r_0 \,. \tag{6.68}$$

Now in Section 6.3 we have have shown that (6.61) (with g in place of f) also holds for

$$g(r) := \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] \tag{6.69a}$$

$$\kappa(r) = 6 - \epsilon - C_0\epsilon_0 - C_0 \sup_{\Sigma_r} \Omega^{-1} \qquad h(r) = C_0(\epsilon_0 + \sup_{\Sigma_r} \Omega^{-1}) f(r) \tag{6.69b}$$

We then employ (***BA:III***.*i*), namely the assumption $\Omega^{-1} \leq C_0/r$, to conclude that (6.61) holds with

$$\kappa_1 = 6 - \epsilon - C_0\epsilon_0 \qquad \kappa(r) = \kappa_1 - \frac{C_0}{r} \qquad h(r) = \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W] \tag{6.70}$$

Note that with these choices

$$K_1 = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r}\frac{C_0}{r} \mathrm{d}r < \infty \tag{6.71}$$

and using (6.68)

$$H_1 = \int_{r_0}^{\infty} f(r) r^{\kappa_1 - 1} \mathrm{d}r \leq C f(r_0) \int_{r_0}^{\infty} r^{-C_0\epsilon_0 - 1} \mathrm{d}r < \infty \,. \tag{6.72}$$

Therefore

$$r^{6-\epsilon-\epsilon_0 C_0} g(r) \leq C r_0^{6-\epsilon-\epsilon_0 C_0} g(r_0) + C r_0^{6-\epsilon} f(r_0) \tag{6.73}$$

6.4.3 Conclusions

We conclude this Section with the main estimate for the energy flux associated to the solution $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$ of (6.6). The proof will also make use of the main estimate of Section 7.

Proposition 6.15. *Assume (**BA:I**), (**BA:II**) and (**BA:III**.i,ii) hold for some $C_0 > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and choose ϵ_0 sufficiently small so that $\epsilon_0 C_0 \ll \epsilon$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ so that for all solutions W to* (1.26)*, we have*

$$r^{6-\epsilon} \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + r^{6-\epsilon} \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W] \leq C \int_{\Sigma_{r_0}} {}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + C \int_{\Sigma_{r_0}} {}^*P^q[W] \tag{6.74}$$

for all $r \geq r_0$.

Proof. We apply the energy identity of Prop. 5.1 to the current $P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$ on a domain (5.11). The "bulk term" contains on one hand

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} K^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\mathrm{d}\mu_g \geq 6(1-\epsilon_0)^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}r}{r} \int_{\Sigma_r} \big(1 - C_0\Omega^{-1}\big)^* P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$$

where we applied Prop. 5.13 — which is independent of the "Weyl field" and only an algebraic property of the compatible current P^q — and on the other hand the "divergence term"

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} \big(\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_g = \int \mathrm{d}r \int_{\Sigma_r} \phi\big(\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}$$

and by our Prop. 6.8,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_r} \phi\big(\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \geq \\ \geq -\frac{C}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\Big(\epsilon_0 C_0 + C_0\Omega^{-1}\Big)\Big[{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + {}^*P^q[W]\Big] - \frac{C}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{C_0}{\Omega}\Omega^2 \not{P}^q \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r}\,.\end{aligned}$$

Let us now invoke (***BA:III***.*i*), namely the assumption that Ω and r are comparable. Then by Corollary 7.4 below — c.f. (6.21) for the definition of $\not{P}$, and Section 7 for the defintions of E, H —

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Omega^2\not{P}^q\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} &\leq C_0\frac{1}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{\Omega^2}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{\alpha}_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\underline{\beta}_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\rho|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\sigma|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\beta_q|^2 + |\nabla\!\!\!/\alpha_q|^2\Big] \\ &\leq C_0\frac{1}{r}\frac{1}{(2r)^3}\int_{\Sigma_r}|\overline{\nabla}(rE)|^2 + |\overline{\nabla}(rH)|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\beta}}|^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 + |\tilde{\beta}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha}|^2\Big]\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \\ &+ \frac{C_0}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{1}{(2\Omega)^3}\Big[|\underline{\alpha}|^2 + |\underline{\beta}|^2 + \rho^2 + \sigma^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\alpha|^2\Big]\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \\ &\leq \frac{C_0}{r}\int_{\Sigma_r}{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + {}^*P^q[W]\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Sigma_r}\phi\big(\mathrm{div}\, Q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\big)(M_q, M_q, M_q)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \geq -\frac{CC_0}{r}\Big(\epsilon_0 + \frac{1}{r}\Big)\int_{\Sigma_r}{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + {}^*P^q[W]$$

The energy

$$g(r) := \int_{\Sigma_r}{}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]$$

thus satisfies the integral inequality

$$g(r_2) + \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \frac{\kappa(r)}{r} g(r) - \frac{C}{r} f(r) \mathrm{d}r \leq g(r_1)$$

where

$$\kappa(r) := \kappa_1 - \frac{CC_0}{r} \qquad \kappa_1 := 6(1-\epsilon_0)^2 - CC_0\epsilon_0$$
$$f(r) := \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W]\,.$$

Since also by Prop. 5.14, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$r^{6-\epsilon} f(r) \leq C r_0^{6-\epsilon} f(r_0)$$

the argument of Sections 6.4.1-6.4.2 applies, and the statement of the Proposition follows, provided $\epsilon_0 < (CC_0)^{-1}$ is chosen sufficiently small. □

7 *Electromagnetic decomposition*

The electromagnetic formalism is a decomposition of the Weyl curvature — and more generally of any "Weyl field" — into "electric" and "magnetic" parts relative to a spacelike hypersurface Σ.[39] The decomposition with respect to Σ_r — which we use in addition to the null decomposition of W introduced in Section 3.4 — occurs naturally in the redshift estimate of Sections 5.3, 6, and is also necessary to control the remaining error that we have seen in Section 6.3. The electromagnetic decomposition has been used in [CK93], which can serve as a reference for some of the results that we shall discuss.

In Section 7.1 we discuss the from of the Bianchi equations relative to the electromagnetic decomposition, in Section 7.2 its relation to the null decomposition, and in Section 7.3 an elliptic estimate for the resulting system on Σ_r.

7.1 *Bianchi equations*

We consider a domain foliated by the spacelike level sets of the area radius r:

$$\mathcal{D} = \bigcup \Sigma_r \tag{7.1}$$

where each leaf Σ_r is diffeomorphic to a cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$. We denote by n the time-like unit normal to Σ_r, and by ϕ the associated lapse function of the foliation, c.f. Section 3.5.

[39] One may think of the resulting fields as electric and magnetic parts in the frame of reference of an observer with 4-velocity n, the normal to Σ.

The *electromagnetic decomposition* of a Weyl field W consists of a pair of symmetric trace-less Σ_r-tangent 2-tensors

$$E[W] := ii_n[W] \qquad H[W] := ii_n[{}^*W] \tag{7.2a}$$

$$E[W](X,Y) = W(n,X,n,Y) \quad H[W] = {}^*W(n,X,n,Y) \tag{7.2b}$$

Following Chapter 7.2 in [CK93] we will derive that E, and H, satisfy — *in the frame discussed in Section 3.5* — the following equations:

$$\operatorname{div} E = H \wedge k \tag{7.3a}$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H} + \operatorname{curl} E = \overline{\nabla} \log\phi \wedge E - \frac{1}{2} k \times H \tag{7.3b}$$

$$\operatorname{div} H = -E \wedge k \tag{7.3c}$$

$$-\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E} + \operatorname{curl} H = \overline{\nabla} \log\phi \wedge H + \frac{1}{2} k \times E \tag{7.3d}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H}_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_n H_{ij} + \frac{2}{3}(k \cdot H)\overline{g}_{ij}$, k is the second fundamental form of Σ_r, '$\times$' is the product defined in (4.4.17) in [CK93] and '$\wedge$' in (7.2.2e-) therein. More explicitly, (7.3b) can also be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{\phi}\frac{\partial H_{ij}}{\partial r} + (\operatorname{curl} E)_{ij} = (\overline{\nabla}\log\phi \wedge E)_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(H \times k)_{ij} + \frac{2}{3}(H \cdot k)\overline{g}_{ij} \tag{7.3e}$$

Note that, c.f. (7.2.2) in [CK93],

$$\nabla_k W_{i0j0} = \overline{\nabla}_k E_{ij} + \Big(\epsilon_{il}{}^m H_{mj} - \epsilon_{jl}{}^m H_{mi}\Big) k^l_k$$

$$\nabla_k {}^*W_{i0j0} = \overline{\nabla}_k H_{ij} - \Big(\epsilon_{il}{}^m E_{mj} + \epsilon_{jl}{}^m E_{mi}\Big) k^l_k$$

The equations (7.3a) and (7.3c) then follow directly by taking the trace and using (3.29). Moreover[40]

$$\nabla_0 W_{kij0} = -\epsilon_{ki}{}^l \frac{1}{\phi}\frac{\partial H_{lj}}{\partial r} - \operatorname{tr} k \epsilon_{ki}{}^l H_{lj} + \epsilon_{li}{}^m k^l_k H_{mj} + \epsilon_{kl}{}^m k^l_i H_{mj} + \epsilon_{ki}{}^m k^l_j H_{ml}$$
$$+ (\overline{\nabla}_k \log\phi) E_{ij} - (\overline{\nabla}_i \log\phi) E_{kj} - (\overline{\nabla}^l \log\phi) W_{kijl}$$

$$\nabla_k W_{i0j0} + \nabla_i W_{0kj0} = \epsilon_{ki}{}^l \frac{1}{\phi}\frac{\partial H_{lj}}{\partial r} + \operatorname{tr} k \epsilon_{ki}{}^l H_{lj} - \epsilon_{li}{}^m k^l_k H_{mj} - \epsilon_{kl}{}^m k^l_i H_{mj} - \epsilon_{ki}{}^m k^l_j H_{ml}$$
$$- (\overline{\nabla}_k \log\phi) E_{ij} + (\overline{\nabla}_i \log\phi) E_{kj} + (\overline{\nabla}^l \log\phi) W_{kijl}$$

[40] This formula differs from (7.2.2h) in [CK93] because Σ_r is *not* maximal, and the frame *not* Fermi transported.

$$2\epsilon_n{}^{ki}\nabla_k W_{i0j0} = 2\frac{1}{\phi}\frac{\partial H_{nj}}{\partial r} - 2\epsilon_n{}^{ki}(\overline{\nabla}_k \log\phi)E_{ij} - 2\epsilon_j{}^{lm}(\overline{\nabla}_l \log\phi)E_{mn}$$

From (7.2.2f):

$$\epsilon_n{}^{ki}\nabla_k W_{i0j0} + \epsilon_j{}^{ki}\nabla_k W_{i0n0} = -2(\operatorname{curl} E)_{nj} - (H\times k)_{nj} + \frac{4}{3}(H\cdot k)g_{nj}$$

This yields (7.3e), and thus (7.3b). Similarly for (7.3d).

7.2 Relation to null decomposition

In this Section we discuss the relation between the electromagnetic and null decompositions. In particular, when (7.3) is viewed as a Hodge system on Σ_r,

$$\operatorname{div} E = \rho_E \qquad \operatorname{curl} E = \sigma_H \tag{7.6a}$$

$$\operatorname{div} H = \rho_H \qquad \operatorname{curl} H = \sigma_E \tag{7.6b}$$

we establish that the "source terms" coincide — *including the lower order terms* — with components of the modified Lie derivative $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$. This is a non-trivial consequence of our choice of N in (6.4), and is important for the application of the elliptic estimate of Section 7.3.

Recall first

$$n = \frac{1}{2}(e_3 + e_4)\,,$$

and also define

$$X = \frac{1}{2}\big(e_3 - e_4\big) \tag{7.7}$$

then

$$e_3 = n + X \qquad e_4 = n - X\,.$$

We record, c.f. (7.3.3e) in [CK93],

$$E_{XX} = \rho \qquad H_{XX} = \sigma \tag{7.8a}$$

$$E_{AX} = \frac{1}{2}\underline{\beta}_A + \frac{1}{2}\beta_A \qquad H_{AX} = \frac{1}{2}{}^*\underline{\beta}_A - \frac{1}{2}{}^*\beta_A \tag{7.8b}$$

$$E_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}\alpha_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}\underline{\alpha}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\rho \not{g}_{AB} \qquad H_{AB} = -\frac{1}{4}{}^*\alpha_{AB} + \frac{1}{4}{}^*\underline{\alpha}_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma\not{g}_{AB} \tag{7.8c}$$

We now compare the components of $\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}$, $\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H}$ to the null components of the modified Lie derivative of W with respect to $N = \Omega n$.

Lemma 7.1. *For any Weyl field W decomposed into electric and magnetic parts E, and H with respect to the normal n, we have the following relations to the null decomposition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W$ where $N = \Omega n$:*

$$\Big|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X) + \frac{1}{2}k\times E - \frac{1}{\Omega}\rho[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\Big| \leq C\big|\hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1} + q(2\hat{\underline{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}) + q^{-1}(2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi)\big||\rho| + C|\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q, \beta + \underline{\beta}\big)| + C|\Big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \alpha + \underline{\alpha}\Big)| \quad (7.9a)$$

$$\Big|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(e_A,X) + \frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(e_A,X) - \frac{1}{2\Omega}\Big(\underline{\beta}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + \beta_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\Big)\Big| \leq \big|\hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big|\big(|\underline{\beta}| + |\beta|\big) + 2\big(|\eta| + |\underline{\eta}| + |\not{d}\log q|\big)|\rho| + \big(|\alpha| + |\underline{\alpha}|\big)\big(|\eta| + |\underline{\eta}| + |\not{d}\log q|\big) + \big(q|\hat{\underline{\chi}}| + q^{-1}|\hat{\chi}|\big)\big(|\underline{\beta}| + |\beta|\big) \quad (7.9b)$$

$$\Big|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E} + \frac{1}{2}(k\times E) + \frac{1}{2}\big(\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X) + \frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(X,X)\big)\not{g} - \frac{1}{4\Omega}\big(\alpha_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] + \underline{\alpha}_q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]\big)\Big|_{\not{g}} \leq + q\big|2\hat{\underline{\omega}} - \operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi}\big||\rho| + q^{-1}\big|2\hat{\omega} - \operatorname{tr}\chi\big||\rho| + |\hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}||\rho| + \big(q|\hat{\underline{\chi}}| + q^{-1}|\hat{\chi}|\big)|\rho| + \Big(q\big|\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - 2\hat{\underline{\omega}}\big| + q^{-1}\big|\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2\hat{\omega}\big| + |\hat{\underline{L}}q| + |\hat{L}q^{-1}| + q|\hat{\underline{\chi}}| + q^{-1}|\hat{\chi}|\Big)\big(|\alpha_q| + |\underline{\alpha}_q|\big) + 2\big(|\zeta| + |\not{d}\log q|\big)\big(|\beta_q| + |\underline{\beta}_q|\big) \quad (7.9c)$$

Similarly for the magnetic part H.

Proof. Note first

$$g(X,X) = 1 \qquad g(X,e_A) = 0$$

Define

$$\overline{g}_r(E^\sharp\cdot Y, Z) = E(Y,Z)$$

then

$$E^\sharp\cdot X = \rho X + \frac{1}{2}\underline{\beta}_A e_A + \frac{1}{2}\beta_A e_A$$
$$E^\sharp\cdot e_A = \frac{1}{2}\big(\underline{\beta}_A + \beta_A\big)X + \frac{1}{4}\big(\alpha_A^{\sharp B} + \underline{\alpha}_A^{\sharp B}\big)\cdot e_B - \frac{1}{2}\rho e_A$$

Moreover

$$k^\sharp\cdot X = \frac{1}{2}\big(q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)X + \frac{1}{2}\eta^\sharp - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\eta}^\sharp$$
$$k^\sharp\cdot e_A = \frac{1}{2}\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)X + \frac{1}{2}q\underline{\chi}_A^{\sharp B}e_B + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\chi_A^{\sharp B}e_B$$

because

$$k(X,X) = g(\nabla_X n, X) = \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)$$
$$k(X,e_A) = \frac{1}{2}\eta_A - \frac{1}{2}\underline{\eta}_A$$
$$k(e_A,e_B) = \frac{1}{2}q\underline{\chi}_{AB} + \frac{1}{2}q^{-1}\chi_{AB}$$

Thus

$$g(k^\sharp\cdot X, E^\sharp\cdot X) = \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \underline{\hat{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho + \frac{1}{4}\big(\eta - \underline{\eta}, \beta + \underline{\beta}\big)$$

$$g(k^\sharp\cdot e_A, E^\sharp\cdot e_B) = \frac{1}{4}\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)\big(\beta_B + \underline{\beta}_B\big) + \frac{1}{8}\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)^{\sharp C}_B\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AC} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}_{AC}\big)$$
$$- \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)_{AB}\rho + \frac{1}{16}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)_{AB} - \frac{1}{8}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\not{g}_{AB}\rho$$

$$g(k^\sharp\cdot e_A, E^\sharp\cdot X) = \frac{1}{2}\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)\rho + \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\chi\big)^\sharp_A\cdot\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)$$

$$g(k^\sharp\cdot X, E^\sharp\cdot e_A) = \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\underline{\hat{L}}q+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)+\frac{1}{8}\big(\alpha^\sharp_A+\underline{\alpha}^\sharp_A\big)\cdot\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)-\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta_A-\underline{\eta}_A\big)\rho$$

$$k\cdot E = k^{ij}E_{ij} = k(X,X)E(X,X) + \not{g}^{AB}k(X,e_A)E(X,e_B) + \not{g}^{AC}\not{g}^{BD}k_{CD}E_{AB}$$
$$= \frac{1}{4}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+2\underline{\hat{L}}q+2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho+\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)+\frac{1}{8}\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\Big)$$

and by Lemma 4.4.2 in [CK93]

$$\frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(X,X) = g(k^\sharp\cdot X, E^\sharp\cdot X) - \frac{1}{3}(k\cdot E)g(X,X)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{6}\big(4q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 4q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 4\underline{\hat{L}}q + 4\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho + \frac{1}{6}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)$$
$$- \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{8}\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \alpha+\underline{\alpha}\Big)$$

$$(k\times E)(e_A,X) = \frac{1}{4}\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)\rho + \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)^\sharp_A\cdot\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{8}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A + \beta_A\big) + \frac{1}{8}\big(\alpha^\sharp_A + \underline{\alpha}^\sharp_A\big)\cdot\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)$$

$$(k\times E)(e_A,e_B)=\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)\hat{\otimes}\big(\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)_{AB}+\frac{1}{12}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)\not{g}_{AB}$$
$$+\frac{1}{24}\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha},q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)\not{g}_{AB}-\frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)_{AB}\rho+\frac{1}{8}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)_{AB}$$
$$-\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{4}\big(4q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+4q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+4\underline{\hat{L}}q+4\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\rho$$

Finally since

$$[n,X]=-\frac{1}{2}\Big(2\eta-2\underline{\eta}-\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+\underline{\hat{L}}q\big)e_4+\big(q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)e_3\Big)$$
$$[n,e_A]=\frac{1}{2}\big(\nabla\!\!\!/_3e_A+\nabla\!\!\!/_4e_A\big)+\frac{1}{2}\eta_Ae_3+\frac{1}{2}\underline{\eta}_Ae_4-\frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\chi\big)^{\sharp}_A-\big(\zeta+\not{d}\log q\big)_AX$$

we have

$$\mathcal{L}_nE(X,X)=n\rho+\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)+\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\underline{\hat{L}}q+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$

$$\mathcal{L}_nE(e_A,X)=\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{D}\underline{\beta}+\underline{D}\beta+D\underline{\beta}+D\beta\Big)+\frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+\underline{\hat{L}}q+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\big(\zeta+\not{d}\log q-2\eta+2\underline{\eta}\big)_A\rho+\frac{1}{4}\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)^{\sharp}_A\cdot\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_nE(e_A,e_B)=\frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\hat{D}}\alpha+\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}+\hat{D}\alpha+\hat{D}\underline{\alpha}\Big)-\frac{1}{4}\Big(2n\rho+q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\rho+q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\rho\Big)\not{g}_{AB}$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta_A-\underline{\eta}_A-4\zeta-4\not{d}\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_B+\beta_B\big)-\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta_B-\underline{\eta}_B-4\zeta-4\not{d}\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{8}\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha}+\alpha\Big)\not{g}_{AB}$$

and thus

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_nE}(X,X)=\mathcal{L}_nE(X,X)+\frac{2}{3}(k\cdot E)g(X,X)=$$
$$=n\rho+\frac{7}{6}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)+\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{4}\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\Big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{2}\big(8q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+8q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+8\underline{\hat{L}}q+8\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_nE}(e_A,e_B)=\mathcal{L}_nE(e_A,e_B)+\frac{2}{3}(k\cdot E)g_{AB}=\frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{\hat{D}}\alpha+\underline{\hat{D}}\underline{\alpha}+\hat{D}\alpha+\hat{D}\underline{\alpha}\Big)_{AB}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2}n\rho\not{g}_{AB}+\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{4}\big(4q\underline{\hat{\omega}}+4q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-5q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-5q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+4\underline{\hat{L}}q+4\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\rho$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)\hat{\otimes}\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)_{AB}+\big(\zeta_A+\not{d}_A\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_B+\beta_B\big)+\big(\zeta_B+\not{d}_B\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{5}{24}\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha}+\alpha\Big)\not{g}_{AB}-\frac{1}{12}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)\not{g}_{AB}$$

Therefore

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X)+\frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(X,X)=n\rho+\frac{4}{3}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)+\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{8}\Big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\Big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{6}\frac{1}{2}\big(20q\hat{\underline{\omega}}+20q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+20\hat{\underline{L}}q+20\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(e_A,X)+\frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(e_A,X)=\frac{1}{4}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\underline{D}\underline{\beta}+\underline{D}\beta+D\underline{\beta}+D\beta\Big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{16}\big(6q\hat{\underline{\omega}}+6q^{-1}\hat{\omega}+q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+6\hat{\underline{L}}q+6\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{8}\big(4\zeta+4\not{d}\log q-7\eta+7\underline{\eta}\big)_A\rho+\frac{5}{16}\big(\alpha^\sharp_A+\underline{\alpha}^\sharp_A\big)\cdot\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)+\frac{1}{8}\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)^\sharp_A\cdot\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(e_A,e_B)+\frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(e_A,e_B)+\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X)\not{g}_{AB}+\frac{1}{4}(k\times E)(X,X)\not{g}_{AB}=$$
$$=\frac{1}{8}\frac{1}{\Omega}\Big(\hat{\underline{D}}\alpha+\underline{\hat{D}\alpha}+\hat{D}\alpha+\hat{D}\underline{\alpha}\Big)+\frac{1}{2}\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}}+2q^{-1}\hat{\omega}-q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}-q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi+2\hat{\underline{L}}q+2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\rho$$
$$-\frac{1}{8}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}\big)\hat{\otimes}\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)_{AB}+\big(\zeta_A+\not{d}_A\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_B+\beta_B\big)+\big(\zeta_B+\not{d}_B\log q\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}\Big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\underline{\alpha}+\alpha\Big)\not{g}_{AB}+\frac{5}{8}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta},\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)\not{g}_{AB}$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)_{AB}\rho+\frac{1}{16}\big(q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}+q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big)\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)_{AB}$$

and in comparison to Lemma 6.5:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X)+\frac{1}{2}(k\times E)(X,X)=\frac{1}{\Omega}\rho[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W]+\frac{4}{3}\big(\hat{\underline{L}}q+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\rho$$
$$+\frac{1}{6}\big(4\zeta-3\not{d}\log q,\beta+\underline{\beta}\big)+\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{8}\Big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi},\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\Big)+\frac{11}{24}\big(q(2\hat{\underline{\omega}}-\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi})+q^{-1}(2\hat{\omega}-\mathrm{tr}\,\chi)\big)\rho$$

$$4\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(e_A,X)+2(k\times E)(e_A,X)=\frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(\tilde{\underline{\beta}}_q+\tilde{\beta}_q\Big)+\frac{5}{4}\big(\hat{\underline{L}}q+\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\underline{\beta}_A+\beta_A\big)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\big(\eta-\underline{\eta}+10\not{d}\log q\big)_A\rho+\frac{1}{4}\big(\alpha^\sharp_A+\underline{\alpha}^\sharp_A\big)\cdot\big(3\eta-3\underline{\eta}-2\not{d}\log q\big)+\frac{3}{2}\big(q\hat{\underline{\chi}}+q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)^\sharp_A\cdot\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
8\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(e_A,e_B) + 4(k\times E)(e_A,e_B) + 4\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}(X,X)\not{g}_{AB} + 2(k\times E)(X,X)\not{g}_{AB} = \\
= \frac{2}{\Omega}\Big(\tilde{\alpha}_q + \underline{\tilde{\alpha}}_q\Big)_{AB} + 4\big(2q\hat{\underline{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi + 2\hat{\underline{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\rho \\
+ \frac{1}{4}\big(3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 6q\hat{\underline{\omega}} - 6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - 7\hat{\underline{L}}q + \hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\alpha_{AB} \\
+ \frac{1}{4}\big(3q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi} + 3q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi - 6q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - 6q\hat{\underline{\omega}} - 7\hat{L}q^{-1} + \hat{\underline{L}}q\big)\underline{\alpha}_{AB} \\
+ 10\big(\zeta + \not{d}\log q\big)\hat{\otimes}\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)_{AB} + 2\not{d}\log q\hat{\otimes}\big(\underline{\beta}+\beta\big)_{AB} + 2\big(\zeta - 4\not{d}\log q, \beta + \underline{\beta}\big)\not{g}_{AB} \\
+ 2\Big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}, \underline{\alpha}+\alpha\Big)\not{g}_{AB} - 2\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)_{AB}\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

□

Also note that

$$
(E\wedge k)(X) = \frac{1}{4}\big({}^*\eta - {}^*\underline{\eta}, \underline{\beta}+\beta\big) + \frac{1}{8}\big(\alpha+\underline{\alpha}\big)\wedge\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big) \tag{7.10}
$$

contains no terms linear in $q\,\mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}$, $q^{-1}\,\mathrm{tr}\,\chi$ due to the antisymmetry of the product. Similarly for the other components.

7.3 Elliptic estimate for Maxwell system

We quote the following result from Section 4.4 in [CK93] for symmetric Hodge systems.

Lemma 7.2 (Corollary 4.4.2.1 in [CK93])**.** *Let E and H be symmetric traceless tensors on a 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(\Sigma,\overline{g})$ which satisfy the system* (7.6)*. Then there is a constant $C>0$ such that*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \Big(|\nabla E|^2 + |\nabla H|^2\Big)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}} \le C\int_\Sigma |\rho_E|^2 + |\rho_H|^2 + |\sigma_E|^2 + |\sigma_H|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}} \\
+ C\int_\Sigma |\,\mathrm{Ric}(\overline{g})|\big(|E|^2+|H|^2\big)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}}
\end{aligned} \tag{7.11}
$$

Here we will make for simplicity an assumption directly on the Ricci curvature of Σ_r:

$$
|\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}| \le \frac{C_0}{r^2} \qquad (\textbf{\textit{BA:III}}\textit{:ii})
$$

We note however that in view of the Gauss equations of the embedding of Σ_r in $(\mathcal{D},g)$ we can reduce this condition to an assumption on the scalar curvature of Σ_r, and further the Gauss curvature of the spheres $S_{u,v}\subset\Sigma_r$, modulo the assumptions on the second fundamental forms already made above. We point out, however, that in order to retrieve (***BA:III***:*ii*) we would have to make the stronger assumption that

$$
\Omega^2\big(2\hat{\omega} - \mathrm{tr}\,\chi\big) \le C \qquad \Omega^2\big(2\hat{\underline{\omega}} - \mathrm{tr}\,\underline{\chi}\big) \le C \tag{7.12}
$$

which reflects the behavior in (Schwarzschild-)de Sitter, but goes beyond the assumptions already made in (***BA:I****.ii*).

Lemma 7.3. *Suppose* $(\Sigma, \overline{g}_r)$ *is embedded as a spacelike hypersurface in* (M, g) *, which is a solution to* (1.1)*. Assume (****BA:I****.ii,iv-vii) hold for some* $C_0 > 0$*. Then there is a constant* $C > 0$*,*

$$|\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 \leq C\Big(\frac{1}{\Omega^2} + \overline{R}^2 + |W|^2\Big) \tag{7.13}$$

Proof. Recall the Gauss equation

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{ij} + \operatorname{tr} k k_{ij} - k_i{}^m k_{mj} = \mathrm{Ric}_{ij} + R_{0i0j}$$

From the Einstein equations

$$\mathrm{Ric}_{ij} = \Lambda g_{ij}$$

and with (3.5)

$$R_{0i0j} = W_{0i0j} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} g_{ij}\,.$$

Then using the formulas obtained in the proof of Lemma 7.1,

$$\operatorname{tr} k = \frac{1}{2}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + q^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi + \hat{\underline{L}} q + \hat{L} q^{-1}\big)$$
$$|k|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + \hat{\underline{L}} q + \hat{L} q^{-1}\big)^2 + \frac{1}{4}|q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}|^2 + \frac{1}{8}\big(q \operatorname{tr} \underline{\chi} + q^{-1} \operatorname{tr} \chi\big)^2$$

we have

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X, X) = -\operatorname{tr} k\, k(X, X) + g(k^\sharp \cdot X, k^\sharp \cdot X) + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda + E_{XX}$$
$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(e_A, X) = -\operatorname{tr} k\, k(X, e_A) + g(k^\sharp \cdot e_A, k^\sharp \cdot X) + E_{AX}$$
$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{AB} = -\operatorname{tr} k\, k_{AB} + g(k^\sharp \cdot e_A, k^\sharp \cdot e_B) + \frac{2}{3}\Lambda \not{g}_{AB} + E_{AB}$$

Now the Gauss equation tells us

$$\overline{R} + (\operatorname{tr} k)^2 - |k|^2 = 2\Lambda$$

so we can write

$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X, X) = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr} k\big(\operatorname{tr} k - 3k(X, X)\big) + \frac{1}{3}\big(3g(k^\sharp \cdot X, k^\sharp \cdot X) - |k|^2\big) + \frac{1}{3}\overline{R} + \rho$$
$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(e_A, X) = -\operatorname{tr} k\, k(X, e_A) + g(k^\sharp \cdot e_A, k^\sharp \cdot X) + \frac{1}{2}\big(\underline{\beta} + \beta\big)_A$$
$$\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{AB} = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr} k\big(\operatorname{tr} k \not{g}_{AB} - 3k_{AB}\big) + \frac{1}{3}\big(3g(k^\sharp \cdot e_A, k^\sharp \cdot e_B) - |k|^2 \not{g}_{AB}\big) + \frac{1}{3}\overline{R}\not{g}_{AB} + E_{AB}$$

Since

$$\operatorname{tr} k - 3k(X,X) = \frac{1}{2}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - 2\underline{\hat{L}}q - 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)$$

$$\begin{aligned}3g(k^\sharp\cdot X, k^\sharp\cdot X) - |k|^2 = \frac{1}{8}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\times\\ \times\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big) + 3|\zeta|^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}g(k^\sharp\cdot e_A, k^\sharp\cdot X) = \frac{1}{8}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)\\ + \frac{1}{4}\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}}^\sharp_A + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}^\sharp_A\big)\cdot\big(\eta - \underline{\eta}\big)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{tr} k\not{g}_{AB} - 3k_{AB} = \frac{1}{4}\big(2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\\ - \frac{3}{2}q\underline{\hat{\chi}}_{AB} + \frac{3}{2}q^{-1}\hat{\chi}_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}3g(k^\sharp\cdot e_A, k^\sharp\cdot e_B) - |k|^2\not{g}_{AB} = +\frac{3}{4}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi\big)\big(q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}\big)_{AB}\\ + \frac{3}{4}\big(\eta_A - \underline{\eta}_A\big)\big(\eta_B - \underline{\eta}_B\big) + \frac{1}{8}|q\underline{\hat{\chi}} + q^{-1}\hat{\chi}|^2\not{g}_{AB}\\ + \frac{1}{16}\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi - 2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} - 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} - 2\underline{\hat{L}}q - 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\times\\ \times\big(q\operatorname{tr}\underline{\chi} + q^{-1}\operatorname{tr}\chi + 2q\underline{\hat{\omega}} + 2q^{-1}\hat{\omega} + 2\underline{\hat{L}}q + 2\hat{L}q^{-1}\big)\not{g}_{AB}\end{aligned}$$

the result follows from

$$|\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}|^2 = \big(\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,X)\big)^2 + 2\not{g}^{AB}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,e_A)\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}(X,e_B) + \not{g}^{AC}\not{g}^{BD}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{AB}\overline{\mathrm{Ric}}_{CD}\,.$$

□

Corollary 7.4. *Let W be a solution to* (1.26)*, and E and H the electric and magnetic parts of W relative to Σ_r. Assume (**BA:I**,ii,iv-vi) and (**BA:III**:ii) hold for some $C_0 > 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\Sigma_r}|\overline{\nabla}E|^2 + |\overline{\nabla}H|^2\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r} \le C\int_{\Sigma_r}\frac{1}{\Omega^2}\Big[|\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\beta}}|^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 + |\tilde{\beta}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha}|^2\Big]\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r}\\ + C\int_{\Sigma_r}\Big(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{1}{\Omega^2}\Big)\Big(|E|^2 + |H|^2\Big)\mathrm{d}\mu_{\bar{g}_r}\end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Proof. Since W solves the Bianchi equations, E, and H solve (7.6) — c.f. (7.3) — with

$$\rho_E = H \wedge k \qquad \sigma_E = \overline{\nabla} \log \phi \wedge E - \frac{1}{2} k \times H - \widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H}$$
$$\rho_H = -E \wedge k \qquad \sigma_H = \overline{\nabla} \log \phi \wedge H + \frac{1}{2} k \times E + \widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E}$$

By Lemma 7.1, and the assumptions (***BA:I****,ii,iv-vi*) we have

$$|\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n E} + \frac{1}{2} k \times E|^2 + |\widehat{\mathcal{L}_n H} + \frac{1}{2} k \times H|^2 \leq$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\Omega^2} \big[\tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\beta}}|^2 + |\tilde{\beta}|^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha}|^2 + \rho^2 + \sigma^2 + |\underline{\beta}_q|^2 + |\beta_q|^2 + |\underline{\alpha}_q|^2 + |\alpha_q|^2 \big]$$

Moreover by (7.10), and the assumptions (***BA:I****,ii,iv-vi*),

$$|E \wedge k|^2 + |H \wedge k|^2 \leq \frac{C}{\Omega^2} \big[|\underline{\alpha}_q|^2 + |\underline{\beta}_q|^2 + \rho^2 + \sigma^2 + |\beta_q|^2 + |\alpha_q|^2 \big]$$

The statement of the Corollary then follows immediately from Lemma 7.2, and (7.8). □

8 Sobolev inequalties

We will prove a Sobolev trace inequality on the spacelike hypersurfaces Σ_r to relate the bounds on the energy fluxes obtained in Sections 5-6 to L^p estimates on the spheres.

8.1 Preliminaries

Here $(\Sigma_r, \overline{g}_r)$ are 3-dimensional Riemannian manifolds diffeomorphic to a cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^2$, and there exists a differentiable function $u : \Sigma_r \to \mathbb{R}$, namely the restriction of the null coordinate u to Σ_r, such that the level sets of $u|_{\Sigma_r}$ are spheres $S_{u,r}$ diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$, and u has no critical points. In fact, in Lemma 3.2 we proven that the metric on Σ_r in $(u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ coordinates, takes the canonical form

$$\overline{g}_r = q^{-2} \Omega^2 \mathrm{d}u^2 + \not{g}_{AB} \mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \,. \tag{8.1}$$

We also found that the volume form is given by

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} = q^{-1} \Omega \, \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = q^{-1} \Omega \sqrt{\det \not{g}} \, \mathrm{d}u \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta^2 \tag{8.2}$$

The "lapse function" of the foliation of Σ_r by spheres $S_u := S_{u,r}$ is thus given by

$$a = \frac{\Omega}{q} \tag{8.3}$$

Recall that in Section 7.2 we introduced X as the unit tangent vector to Σ_r, orthogonal to S_u. Thus we have $aXf = \partial_u f$, for any function $f(u, \vartheta^1, \vartheta^2)$ on Σ_r. We denote by θ the second fundamental form of the surfaces S_u embedded in Σ_r. Viewed as a tensor on Σ_r we can write

$$\theta(Y, Z) = \not{g}(\overline{\nabla}_{\Pi Y} X, \Pi Z) \tag{8.4}$$

where Π denotes the projection to the tangent space of S_u, and thus

$$\theta_{ij} = \nabla_i X_j + X_i \not{\nabla}_j \log a$$

because $\overline{\nabla}_X X = -\not{\nabla} \log a$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{tr}_{\overline{g}}\, \theta = \overline{g}^{ij}\theta_{ij} = \overline{\nabla}^i X_i = \overline{\mathrm{div}} X\,. \tag{8.5}$$

We also have the first variational formula

$$\theta_{AB} = \frac{1}{2a}\partial_u \gamma_{AB} \qquad \theta_{AB} = \theta(\partial_{\vartheta^A}, \partial_{\vartheta^B})$$

which gives

$$\mathrm{tr}\,\theta = \frac{1}{2a}\partial_u \log\det(\not{g}_{AB})$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} A(u) = \int_{S_u} a\,\mathrm{tr}\,\theta \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{8.6}$$

and more generally, for any function f,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u}\int_{S_u} f \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} = \int_{S_u} a\big\{Xf + f\,\mathrm{tr}\,\theta\big\}\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not{g}} \tag{8.7}$$

Remark 8.1. In the spherically symmetric setting, $\det \not{g} = r^4$ is constant on Σ_r, leading to the *vanishing of the mean curvature* $\mathrm{tr}\,\theta = 0$. Thus it cannot be expected that in the present setting $\mathrm{tr}\,\theta$ has a sign.

8.2 Isoperimetric Sobolev Inequalities

We can adapt the proof of the following Sobolev inequality of Proposition 3.2.1 in [CK93]. There the geometric setting is different, the manifold Σ being diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^3$, and u being a radial function whose level sets have *positive* mean curvature. However, as already remarked there, the proof carries over more generally, if the constant is allowed to depend on the mean curvature. Here we require that

$$r|\,\mathrm{tr}\,\theta| \leq C \tag{8.8}$$

Since

$$\theta_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} q \underline{\chi} - \frac{1}{2} q^{-1} \chi_{AB} \tag{8.9}$$

the assumption amounts to

$$|q\, r\, \mathrm{tr}\, \underline{\chi} - q^{-1}\, r\, \mathrm{tr}\, \chi| \leq C_0 \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA:I}.viii_w)$$

which follows from (***BA:I***:*vii,viii*); in fact (***BA:I***.$viii_w$) is *weaker* than (***BA:I***:*viii*).

Furthermore we will assume that the lapse function of the foliation of Σ_r by level sets of u is bounded above and below:

$$a_m = \inf_{\Sigma_r} a > 0 \qquad a_M = \sup_{\Sigma_r} a < \infty \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA:III}.iii)$$

The Sobolev inequality on Σ_r stated below relies on the isoperimetric inequalities on the spheres S_u, and we thus need some control on the *isoperimetric constant* $I(u)$ of each sphere S_u:

$$I := \sup_u I(u) < \infty \qquad (\boldsymbol{BA:III}.iv)$$

Lemma 8.2. *Assume (**BA:III**.iii,iv) hold. Moreover assume (**BA:I**.$viii_w$) for some $C_0 > 0$. Then for any S_u-tangent tensorfield θ we have*

$$\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} r^6|\theta|^6\Big)^{\frac{1}{6}} \leq C\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} |\theta|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8.10a}$$

$$\sup_u\Big(\int_{S_u} r^4|\theta|^4\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq C\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} |\theta|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8.10b}$$

where C depends on a_M/a_m, I, and

$$h = \sup_{\Sigma_r}|r\, \mathrm{tr}\, \theta| < \infty\,. \tag{8.11}$$

Proof. Recall the isoperimetric inequality on the sphere:

$$\int_{S_u} \big(\Phi - \overline{\Phi}\big)^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g} \leq I(u)\Big(\int_{S_u} |\nabla\!\!\!/\Phi|\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g}\Big)^2$$

Apply to $\Phi = |\theta|^3$ to obtain

$$\int_{S_u} |\theta|^6 \lesssim_I \Big(r^{-2}\int_{S_u} |\theta|^4\Big)\Big(\int_{S_u} |\theta|^2 + r^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\theta|^2\Big)$$

and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Sigma r^6|\theta|^6 = \int\int_{S_u} r^6|\theta|^6 a\mathrm{d}\mu_{\not g}\mathrm{d}u &\lesssim_I \int\Big(r^4\int_{S_u}|\theta|^4\Big)\Big(\int_{S_u}|\theta|^2 + r^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\theta|^2\Big)a_M(u)\mathrm{d}u \\ &\lesssim_I \frac{a_M}{a_m}\sup_u\Big(r^4\int_{S_u}|\theta|^4\Big)\int_\Sigma |\theta|^2 + r^2|\nabla\!\!\!/\theta|^2\end{aligned}$$

Now by the divergence theorem on [41]

$$\Sigma^u = \cup_{l\geq u} S_l$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{S_u} r^4|\theta|^4 = -\int_{\Sigma^u} \overline{\mathrm{div}}\big(r^4|\theta|^4 X\big) &\leq \int_{\Sigma^u} r^4|\theta|^4|\overline{\mathrm{div}}X| + 4r^4|\theta|^3|\overline{\nabla}_X\theta| + 4r^3|Xr||\theta|^4 \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma^u} r^4|\theta|^4\big(|\operatorname{tr}\theta| + \frac{2}{a}|\overline{a\operatorname{tr}\theta}|\big) + 4r^3|\theta^3|r|\overline{\nabla}_X\theta| \\ \lesssim \frac{a_M}{a_m}\sup_{\Sigma^u}|r\operatorname{tr}\theta|\Big(\int_{\Sigma^u} r^6|\theta|^6\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{\Sigma_u}|\theta|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} &+ 4\Big(\int_{\Sigma^u} r^6|\theta|^6\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\Big(\int_{\Sigma_u} r^2|\overline{\nabla}_X\theta|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

where we used (8.5) and

$$Xr = \frac{r}{2a}\overline{r\operatorname{tr}\theta}\,.$$

Inserting this above yields (8.10a):

$$\int_\Sigma r^6|\theta|^6 \lesssim_{I,\frac{a_M}{a_m},h} \Big(\int_\Sigma |\theta|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2\Big)^3$$

Finally inserting this again above then also yields (8.10b):

$$\int_{S_u} r^4|\theta|^4 \lesssim_{I,\frac{a_M}{a_m},h} \Big(\int_\Sigma |\theta|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2\Big)^2$$

□

See also Chapter 2 in [CK93] for a broader discussion.

8.3 Applications

Let θ be any of the null components of the Weyl curvature W:

$$\theta = \Big\{\underline{\alpha}, \underline{\beta}, \rho, \sigma, \beta, \alpha\Big\}$$

Note then θ is a function, or a 1-, or 2-covariant tensorfield on S_u. Consider the dimensionless L^4 norm[42] of θ on a sphere S_u:

$$\|\!|\theta|\!\|_{\mathrm{L}^4(S)} := \Big(\frac{1}{4\pi r^2}\int_{S_u}|\theta|_{\not g}^4\Big)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{8.12}$$

[41] We can think of the cylinder Σ being divided in two components by S_u, Σ_u being one component, a 3-dimensional manifold with boundary S_u.

[42] These norms will play a prominent role in the "recovery" of the assumptions (***BA***). Here they are introduced for convenience, but they also provide the correct scaling in comparison to the $\mathrm{L}^\infty(S_{u,v})$ norm.

Let us now assume the validity of all "bootstrap assumptions" (***BA:I-III***). Then by the Sobolev inequality of Lemma 8.2 above

$$\|\!|\theta|\!\|_{\mathrm{L}^4(S)} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{\frac{3}{2}}}\Big(\int_{\Sigma_r} |\theta|^2 + r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{8.13}$$

Moreover by Corollary 7.4 in view of (***BA:III***.i)

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} r^2|\overline{\nabla}\theta|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \lesssim \int_{\Sigma_r} |\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\beta}}|^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 + |\tilde{\beta}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha}|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} + \int_{\Sigma_r} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 + |\underline{\beta}|^2 + \rho^2 + \sigma^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\alpha|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \tag{8.14}$$

Finally by the main results of Proposition 6.15

$$\int_{\Sigma_r} |\underline{\tilde{\alpha}}|^2 + |\underline{\tilde{\beta}}|^2 + \tilde{\rho}^2 + \tilde{\sigma}^2 + |\tilde{\beta}|^2 + |\tilde{\alpha}|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \lesssim r^3 \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_N W] \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{3-\epsilon}}$$
$$\int_{\Sigma_r} |\underline{\alpha}|^2 + |\underline{\beta}|^2 + \rho^2 + \sigma^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\alpha|^2 \mathrm{d}\mu_{\overline{g}_r} \lesssim r^3 \int_{\Sigma_r} {}^*P^q[W] \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{3-\epsilon}}$$

which implies

$$\|\!|\theta|\!\|_{\mathrm{L}^4(S)} \lesssim \frac{1}{r^{3-\epsilon/2}}\,. \tag{8.15}$$

This concludes the proof of the theorem.

References

[ABK15a] Abhay Ashtekar, Béatrice Bonga, and Aruna Kesavan, *Asymptotics with a positive cosmological constant: I. Basic framework*, Classical Quantum Gravity **32** (2015), no. 2, 025004, 41. MR 3291776

[ABK15b] ———, *Asymptotics with a positive cosmological constant. II. Linear fields on de Sitter spacetime*, Phys. Rev. D **92** (2015), no. 4, 044011, 14. MR 3441014

[ABK16] ———, *Gravitational waves from isolated systems: Surprising consequences of a positive cosmological constant*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016), 051101.

[BZ09] Lydia Bieri and Nina Zipser, *Extensions of the stability theorem of the Minkowski space in general relativity*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 45, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Cambridge, MA, 2009. MR 2531716

[CBIP07] Yvonne Choquet-Bruhat, James Isenberg, and Daniel Pollack, *The constraint equations for the Einstein-scalar field system on compact manifolds*, Classical Quantum Gravity **24** (2007), no. 4, 809–828. MR 2297268

[Chr07] Demetrios Christodoulou, *The formation of shocks in 3-dimensional fluids*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007. MR 2284927

[Chr09] ———, *The formation of black holes in general relativity*, EMS Monographs in Mathematics, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2009. MR 2488976

[CI16] Piotr Chrusciel and Lukas Ifsits, *The cosmological constant and the energy of gravitational radiation*, Phys. Rev. **D93** (2016), no. 12, 124075.

[CK93] Demetrios Christodoulou and Sergiu Klainerman, *The global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 41, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. MR 1316662

[DHR16] Mihalis Dafermos, Gustav Holzegel, and Igor Rodnianski, *The linear stability of the Schwarzschild solution to gravitational perturbations*, arXiv:1601.06467v1, 2016.

[DR13] Mihalis Dafermos and Igor Rodnianski, *Lectures on black holes and linear waves*, Evolution equations, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 17, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 97–205. MR 3098640

[dS17] Willem de Sitter, *On einstein's theory of gravitation and its astronomical consequences*, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society **77** (1917), 155–184.

[Dya11a] Semyon Dyatlov, *Exponential energy decay for Kerr–de Sitter black holes beyond event horizons*, Math. Res. Lett. **18** (2011), no. 5, 1023–1035. MR 2875874

[Dya11b] ———, *Quasi-normal modes and exponential energy decay for the Kerr-de Sitter black hole*, Comm. Math. Phys. **306** (2011), no. 1, 119–163. MR 2819421 (2012g:58055)

[Ein17] Albert Einstein, *Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie*, Sitzungsberichte der Preußischen Akad. d. Wissenschaften (1917), 142–152, http://einsteinpapers.press.princeton.edu/vol6-doc/568.

[Fri86] Helmut Friedrich, *On the existence of n-geodesically complete or future complete solutions of Einstein's field equations with smooth asymptotic structure*, Comm. Math. Phys. **107** (1986), no. 4, 587–609. MR 868737

[GH77] Gary W. Gibbons and Stephen W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation*, Phys. Rev. D **15** (1977), no. 10, 2738–2751.

[Hin16] Peter Hintz, *Global analysis of quasilinear wave equations on asymptotically de Sitter spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **66** (2016), no. 4, 1285–1408. MR 3494174

[HS15] Mahir Hadžić and Jared Speck, *The global future stability of the FLRW solutions to the dust-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ. **12** (2015), no. 1, 87–188. MR 3335528

[HV14] Peter Hintz and András Vasy, *Non-trapping estimates near normally hyperbolic trapping*, Math. Res. Lett. **21** (2014), no. 6, 1277–1304. MR 3335848

[HV16a] ———, *Global analysis of quasilinear wave equations on asymptotically kerr-de sitter spaces*, International Mathematics Research Notices **2016** (2016), no. 17, 5355–5426.

[HV16b] ———, *The global non-linear stability of the kerr-de sitter family of black holes*, arXiv:1606.04014 [math.DG], 2016.

[Kot18] F. Kottler, *Über die physikalischen Grundlagen der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie*, Ann. Phys. **56** (1918), 401–462.

[Lem27] Georges Lemaître, *Un univers homogène de masse constante et de rayon croissant, redant compte de la vitesse radiale des nébuleuses extra-galactiques*, Annales de la Société scientifique de Bruxelles **47** (1927), no. A, 49–59.

[NB09] Harry Nussbaumer and Lydia Bieri, *Discovering the expanding universe*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[Pen11] Roger Penrose, *On cosmological mass with positive* Λ, Gen. Relativity Gravitation **43** (2011), no. 12, 3355–3366. MR 2863653

[Ren04] Alan D. Rendall, *Asymptotics of solutions of the Einstein equations with positive cosmological constant*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **5** (2004), no. 6, 1041–1064. MR 2105316

[Rin08] Hans Ringström, *Future stability of the Einstein-non-linear scalar field system*, Invent. Math. **173** (2008), no. 1, 123–208. MR 2403395

[RS13] Igor Rodnianski and Jared Speck, *The nonlinear future stability of the FLRW family of solutions to the irrotational Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 6, 2369–2462. MR 3120746

[RS14a] ———, *A regime of linear stability for the Einstein-scalar field system with applications to nonlinear big bang formation*, arXiv:1407.6293, 2014.

[RS14b] ———, *Stable big bang formation in near-FLRW solutions to the Einstein-scalar field and Einstein-stiff fluid systems*, arXiv:1407.6298, 2014.

[Sch15] Volker Schlue, *Global results for linear waves on expanding Kerr and Schwarzschild de Sitter cosmologies*, Comm. Math. Phys. **334** (2015), no. 2, 977–1023. MR 3306609

[Spe12] Jared Speck, *The nonlinear future stability of the FLRW family of solutions to the Euler-Einstein system with a positive cosmological constant*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **18** (2012), no. 3, 633–715. MR 2960029

[Spe13] ———, *The stabilizing effect of spacetime expansion on relativistic fluids with sharp results for the radiation equation of state*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **210** (2013), no. 2, 535–579. MR 3101792

[ST15] László B. Szabados and Paul Tod, *A positive Bondi-type mass in asymptotically de Sitter spacetimes*, Classical Quantum Gravity **32** (2015), no. 20, 205011, 51. MR 3406382

[Vas13] András Vasy, *Microlocal analysis of asymptotically hyperbolic and Kerr-de Sitter spaces (with an appendix by Semyon Dyatlov)*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 2, 381–513. MR 3117526

[Wey19] Hermann Weyl, *Über die statischen kugelsymmetrischen Lösungen von Einsteins kosmologischen Gravitationsgleichungen*, Phys. Z. **20** (1919), 31–34.